### APPRAISAL REPORT OF:

## LOT 7 OF COS # 5994 & LOT 9 OF COS #6627 SEELEY LAKE, MONTANA



### PREPARED FOR:

State of Montana, Montana Board of Land Commissioners, & Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation P.O. Box 201601

Helena, Montana 59620-1601 Attention: Ms. Kelly Motichka, Lands Section Supervisor

MARKET VALUES AS OF: July 16, 2018

PREPARED BY:

Elliott M. Clark, MAI & Christopher D. Clark
Clark Real Estate Appraisal
704-C East 13th Street, #509
Whitefish, Montana 59937
(406) 862-8151



### 704-C East 13<sup>th</sup> Street, #509 Whitefish, Montana 59937

#### LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

September 6, 2018

Ms. Kelly Motichka, Lands Section Supervisor State of Montana, Montana Board of Land Commissioners, & Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation P.O. Box 201601 Helena, Montana 59620-1601

Re: Lot 7 of COS #5994 & Lot 9 of COS #6627, of Section 16, Township 17 North, Range 15 West, Seeley Lake, Missoula County, Montana

Dear Ms. Motichka:

In compliance with your request, Elliott M. Clark, MAI and Christopher D. Clark viewed the above referenced properties on July 16, 2018. Applicable information regarding zoning was reviewed and trends in real estate activity in the area were researched and analyzed. This visual inspection, review and analyses were made in order to prepare the attached summary appraisal report.

There are three approaches to value in the appraisal of real property. They are the Cost, Sales Comparison, and Income Approaches. All three approaches and their applicability will be discussed in greater detail in the Scope of the Appraisal and the Appraisal Process sections of this report.

The values of the fee simple interests in the subject lots, the subject improvements, and the sites and improvements considered together are concluded in this report. These value conclusions were made after thorough study of available market data and other data felt to be pertinent to this appraisal. The attached summary appraisal report exhibits the factual data found and reasoning used in forming our opinions of value.

The values are based on the assumptions that all necessary governmental approvals have been obtained and will be maintained, and that the property owners will exhibit sound management and sales practices. The values are based upon the **Hypothetical Conditions** that the subject properties were legal parcels and that the parcels had legal and adequate access (as described in this report) as of the report effective date.

Telephone (406) 862-8151 • www.clarkappraisal.us • FAX (406) 862-8394

We were not provided with soil studies for the subject sites. We assume that the soils are capable of supporting construction similar to that in similar area subdivisions without unusual soil preparation. We are also unaware of the presence of any hazardous material, groundwater contamination, or toxic materials that may be on or in the subject sites. Should any of these conditions be present, the values stated in this report could be affected.

We certify that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, the statements and opinions contained in this appraisal report are full true and correct. We certify that we have no interest in the subject properties and that neither the employment to make this appraisal nor the compensation is contingent upon the value estimates of the properties.

This appraisal assignment was not made nor was the appraisal rendered on the basis of requested minimum valuations or specific valuations. This appraisal is subject to the attached Certification of Appraisal and Statement of Limiting Conditions. We further certify that this appraisal was made in conformity with the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics of the Appraisal Institute and the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Foundation (USPAP).

Respectfully submitted,

Elliott M. Clark, MAI

Montana Certified General Real Estate Appraiser

Elliott M. Clark

REA-RAG-LIC-683

Christopher D. Clark

Montana Licensed Real Estate Appraiser

REA-RAL-LIC-841

18-022ec

Telephone (406) 862-8151 • www.clarkappraisal.us • FAX (406) 862-8394

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	2
SUMMARY OF SALIENT DATA AND CONCLUSIONS	5
CERTIFICATION OF APPRAISAL	6
GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS	8
SCOPE OF THE APPRAISAL	10
IDENTIFICATION OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTIES	12
INTENDED USE & INTENDED USERS OF THE APPRAISAL	12
PURPOSE OF THE APPRAISAL	12
DATE OF PROPERTY VIEWINGS	
EFFECTIVE DATE OF MARKET VALUES	
PROPERTY RIGHTS APPRAISED	
DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE	
STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP & USE HISTORY	14
PROPERTY DESCRIPTIONS	
GENERAL DESCRIPTIONS	15
ACCESS AND VIEWS	
IMPROVEMENTSEASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS, AND ENCROACHMENTS	19
ZONINGZONING	
ASSESSMENT/REAL PROPERTY TAXES	
TOPOGRAPHY, VEGETATION, WETLANDS, SOILS AND DRAINAGE	20
UTILITIES	21
PUBLIC SAFTEY AND SERVICES	
SITE SUITABILITY	
SUBJECT BUILDING SKETCHES & PHOTOGRAPHS	
SUBJECT MARKET ANALYSIS	
HIGHEST AND BEST USE	42
THE APPRAISAL PROCESS	
LOT SALES	45
INTERIOR HOME SALES	50
PROPERTY VALUATIONS	57
LOT 7	
LOT 9	
RECAPITULATION OF VALUE INDICATIONS	
QUALIFICATIONS OF THE APPRAISERS	
APPRAISERS LICENSES	
ADDENDUM	
NATIONAL ECONOMIC DATA	
STATE ECONOMIC DATAMISSOULA COUNTY DATA	
SEELEY-SWAN VALLEY DATA	
SCOPE OF WORK & SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONS	

### SUMMARY OF SALIENT DATA AND CONCLUSIONS

#### IDENTIFICATION OF CLIENT/INTENDED USE

Client/Intended User State of Montana, State of Montana Board of Land Commissioners,

Montana Department of Natural Resources & Conservation/Client

Agencies & Individual Lessees Noted in the Report

Purpose/Intended Use Estimate Market Values/Potential Sale Purposes

**Property Owner(s)** Sites: State of Montana/Improvements: Individual Lessees

#### **SUBJECT PROPERTY**

Property Identifications Lot 7of COS #5994 & Lot 9 of COS #6627, Section 16, Township 17

North, Range 15 West, Missoula County, Montana

Site SizesSee Property DescriptionDescription of ImprovementsSee Property DescriptionAssessor Number(s)See Property Description

**Census Tract** 30-063-0018.00

Flood Zone Properties in an Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard

**Zoning** None

**HIGHEST AND BEST USE(S)** 

As Is Recreational and/or Residential Use As Improved Recreational and/or Residential Use

### DATES, VALUE CONCLUSION(S) AND ASSIGNMENT CONDITION(S)

Report Date September 6, 2018
Inspection Date(s) July 16, 2018
Effective Date of Value(s) July 16, 2018
Property Rights Appraised Fee Simple

**Estimate of Market Values** 

Individual Lot Values
Individual Improvement Values
Individual Total Market Values
Property Valuation Section of Report & Page 69 of Report
Property Valuation Section of Report & Page 69 of Report
Property Valuation Section of Report & Page 69 of Report

Extraordinary Assumption(s) None

**Hypothetical Condition(s)** See Scope of the Appraisal

#### MARKETING & EXPOSURE TIME

The appraised values for the subject lots, as if vacant, are based upon 8 to 12 month marketing and exposure times. The appraised values for the subject lots, as improved, are based upon 6 to 8 month marketing and exposure times. Estimated marketing and exposure times are addressed in detail in the Subject Market Analysis portion of this report.

#### APPRAISER INFORMATION

Appraiser(s) Elliott M. Clark, MAI & Christopher D. Clark

#### CERTIFICATION OF APPRAISAL

We certify that, to the best of our knowledge and belief,

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are our unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- Elliott M. Clark, MAI and Christopher D. Clark have no present or prospective interest in the properties that are the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- We have performed no services, as appraisers or in any other capacity, regarding the properties that are the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.
- We have no bias with respect to the properties that are the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- Our engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- The compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of predetermined values or directions in value that favor the cause of the clients, the amounts of the value opinions, the attainment of stipulated results, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- Our analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- Elliott M. Clark, MAI and Christopher D. Clark both personally viewed the subject properties.
- No one provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the persons signing this certification.

- The reported analyses, opinions and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Code of Professional Ethics and Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Institute.
- The use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.
- As of the date of this report Elliott M. Clark, MAI has completed the continuing education requirements of the Appraisal Institute.

Elliott M. Clark

Dated Signed: September 6, 2018 Elliott M. Clark, MAI MT REA-RAG-LIC-683 Date Signed: September 6, 2018

Charly De

Christopher D. Clark MT REA-RAL-LIC-841

### GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

The appraisal is subject to the following conditions and to such other specific and limiting conditions as are set forth in the appraisal report.

- 1. The legal description(s) from the most recently recorded deed(s) or plat(s) are assumed to be correct.
- 2. The appraisers assume no responsibility for matters legal in character, nor do they render any opinion as to the titles, which are assumed to be marketable. All existing liens, encumbrances and assessments have been disregarded and the properties are appraised, as though free and clear, under responsible ownership and competent management.
- 3. Any sketches in this report indicate approximate dimensions and are included to assist the reader in visualizing the properties.
- 4. The appraisers have not made a survey, engineering studies or soil analysis of the properties and assume no responsibility in connection with such matters or for engineering, which might be required to discover such factors.
- 5. Unless otherwise noted herein, it is assumed that there are no encroachments, zoning or restriction violations associated with the subject properties.
- 6. Information, estimates and opinions contained in this report are obtained from sources considered reliable and believed to be true and correct; however, no liability for them can be assumed by the appraisers.
- 7. The appraisers are not required to give testimony or attendance in court by reason of this appraisal, with reference to the properties in question, unless arrangements have been made previously therefore.
- 8. The division of the land and improvements (if applicable) as valued herein is applicable only under the program of utilization shown. These separate valuations are invalidated by any other application.
- 9. On all appraisals, subject to satisfactory completion, repairs or alterations, the appraisal report and value conclusion(s) are contingent upon completion of the improvements in a workmanlike manner.
- 10. Disclosure of the contents of this appraisal report is governed by the By-Laws and Regulations of the Appraisal Institute. Except as hereinafter provided, the party for whom this appraisal report was prepared may distribute copies of this report, in its entirety, to such third parties as may be selected by the party for whom this appraisal report was prepared; however, selected portions of this appraisal report shall not be given to third parties without prior written consent of the signatories of this appraisal report. Further, neither all nor any part of this appraisal report shall be disseminated to the general public by the use of advertising media, public

- relations media, sales media or other media for public communication without the prior written consent of the signatory of this appraisal report.
- 11. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) became effective January 26, 1992. The appraisers have not made a specific compliance survey and analysis of the subject properties to determine whether or not they are in conformity with the various detailed requirements of the ADA. It is possible that a compliance survey of the properties together with a detailed analysis of the requirements of the ADA could reveal that the properties are not in compliance with one or more of the requirements of the act. If so, this fact could have a negative effect upon the values of the properties. Since the appraisers have no direct evidence relating to this issue, we did not consider possible noncompliance with the requirements of ADA in estimating the values of the properties.
- 12. The appraisers are not experts at the identification of environmental hazards. This assignment does not cover the presence or absence of such substances. Any visually detected or obviously known environmental problems affecting the properties will be reported and their impact on the value will be discussed.
- 13. This appraisal assignment was not made nor was the appraisal rendered on the basis of a requested minimum valuation or specific valuation.
- 14. The appraisers are not building inspectors and this report does not constitute building inspections for the subject properties. Any obvious defects are noted (if applicable); however, this report is not to be relied upon for detection of unseen defects for the subject properties.
- 15. This appraisal was prepared for the clients and the intended users named in this report. The analysis and conclusions included in the report are based upon a specific Scope of Work determined by the clients and the appraisers, and are not valid for any other purpose or for any additional users other than noted in this report.

### **SCOPE OF THE APPRAISAL**

The subject properties are Lot 7 of COS #5994 and Lot 9 of COS #6627, Seeley Lake, Missoula County, Montana.

The appraisers were asked to provide opinions of the market values of the fee simple interests in the sites and improvements for the subject property for decisions regarding potential sale of the properties.

Information about the subject properties has been collected and analyzed and a narrative appraisal report for the subject properties has been prepared. The scope of the appraisal requires compliance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of the Appraisal Foundation and the Guide Notes to the Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice adopted by the Appraisal Institute. The standards contain binding requirements and specific guidelines that deal with the procedures to be followed in developing an appraisal, analysis, or opinion. The Uniform Standards set the requirements to communicate the appraiser's analyses, opinions and conclusions in a manner that will be meaningful and not misleading in the marketplace.

#### **Scope of Property Viewing**

Elliott M. Clark, MAI and Christopher D. Clark of Clark Real Estate Appraisal viewed the subject properties on July 16, 2018. We measured the improvements on the properties and walked the subject sites.

#### **Scope of Research**

The history of ownership, historical uses and current intended uses were researched via the Montana Department of Natural Resources, the lessees for the property, Missoula County Records, and the area Multiple Listing Service.

Area trends in development were researched based upon information from various offices of Missoula County; inspections of surrounding properties by the appraisers; interviews with area developers, property owners and property managers; and research regarding current and projected demographics in the immediate and greater subject market area.

Comparable market data was obtained through a combination of public record and area realtors, developers, and property owners. Every effort was made to verify all comparable data. **Montana is a non-disclosure state and realty transfer sales price information is not available via public record.** 

## Extraordinary Assumption(s)

An **Extraordinary Assumption** is defined in 2018-2019 version of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) published by the Appraisal Standards Board to be "an assumption, directly related to a specific assignment, as of the effective date of the assignment results which, if found to be false, could alter the appraiser's opinions or conclusions."

There are no **Extraordinary Assumptions** associated with the values concluded in this report.

#### **Hypothetical Conditions**

A **Hypothetical Condition** is defined in 2018-2019 version of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) published by the Appraisal Standards Board to be "a condition, directly related to a specific assignment, which is contrary to what is known by the appraiser to exist on the effective date of the assignment results, but is used for purpose of analysis."

The values concluded in this report for the subject properties are based upon the **Hypothetical Conditions** that the properties were legal parcels as of the report effective date and that there was legal and adequate access (as described in this report) to the properties.

#### **Highest & Best Use**

Our opinions of the highest and best uses for the subject properties were developed using the research collected relative to the subject properties, area development trends, and demographics. The information collected is considered comprehensive and provided a credible basis for carefully considered analyses. The appraisal process presented was based upon the highest and best use conclusions for the subject properties.

## **Appraisal Process**

The Sales Comparison Approach was developed to determine the values of the subject sites as if vacant. This is typically the most reliable approach for determining values of vacant sites.

All three approaches to value were considered for the valuation of the subject properties as improved. Most market participants interested in purchasing lake front homes in the subject market area do not base decisions upon the depreciated cost of the improvements. For this reason the Cost Approach is not considered applicable and was not developed in this report. The subject properties are not utilized for income generation. For this reason, the Income Approach is not considered applicable and was not developed in this report. The Sales Comparison Approach is developed to determine the value of the subject properties as improved.

#### **Environmental**

The appraisers do not possess the requisite expertise and experience with respect to the detection and measurement of hazardous substances, unstable soils, or freshwater wetlands. Therefore, this assignment does not cover the presence or absence of such substances as discussed in the Limiting Conditions section of this report. However, any visual or obviously known problems affecting the property will be reported and any impact on the value will be discussed.

### **General Data Sources**

Individuals and offices consulted in order to complete this appraisal include the following:

- Missoula County Various Offices;
- Montana Department of Revenue;
- Various Area Real Estate Agents, Property Managers, Property Owners, and Builders

Specific data sources are noted in the body of the report where appropriate.

### IDENTIFICATION OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTIES

The subject properties are identified on the table below;

Lot#	Sale #	Certificate of Survey	Section/Township/Range	County
7	944	5994	S16/T17N/R15W	Missoula
9	945	6627	S16/T17N/R15W	Missoula

## INTENDED USE & INTENDED USERS OF THE APPRAISAL

It is understood that the intended use of this appraisal is for decisions regarding possible sale of the subject properties by the client. This report was prepared for the, the client, (State of Montana, Montana Board of Land Commissioners, & Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation) and is their exclusive property. The client is an intended user of this report. The Lessee for each lot are additional intended users of this report. They are listed below;

Lot #	Sale #	Lessee
7	944	Dan Larson
9	945	Stephen & Kathleen Thompson

No additional parties may rely upon this report without the express written consent from both the appraisers and the client.

### PURPOSE OF THE APPRAISAL

The purpose of this appraisal is to estimate the market values of the fee simple interests in the subject properties for possible sale purposes.

### **DATE OF PROPERTY VIEWINGS**

July 16, 2018

## EFFECTIVE DATE OF MARKET VALUES

July 16, 2018

## PROPERTY RIGHTS APPRAISED

The values concluded in this report are for the **fee simple** interests in the subject properties. The fee simple interest is full, complete, and unencumbered ownership subject only to the governmental rights of taxation, police power, eminent domain and escheat. This is the greatest right and title, which an individual can hold in real property.

### **DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE**

At the request of the client, the definition of market value utilized in this report is the Current Fair Market Value as defined in MCA 70-30-313 which is as follows;

Current Fair Market Value is the price that would be agreed to by a willing and informed seller and buyer, taking into consideration, but not limited to, the following factors:

- 1) the highest and best reasonable available use and its value for such use, provided current use may not be presumed to be the highest and best use;
- 2) the machinery, equipment, and fixtures forming part of the real estate taken; and
- 3) any other relevant factors as to which evidence is offered

### STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP & USE HISTORY

The subject sites are owned by the State of Montana. The improvements on the subject lots are owned by the lessees. The lessees and the most recent transfer documents located for the improvements are identified below;

Lot #	Sale #	Lessee	Last Transfer Document
7	944	Dan Larson	Quitclaim Deed, Bill of Sale, & Assignment of Lease - 2013
9	945	Stephen & Kathleen Thompson	Unknow n

The lessees for both properties reported that they had been leasing the sites for approximately 40 years.

### **USE/MARKETING HISTORIES**

The Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation manages hundreds of residential cabin sites which are owned by the State of Montana. The subject lots are in this program. According to the available information, the subject lots have been used for recreational/residential purposes for the three years prior to the report effective date. Houses were constructed on both subject sites. The house construction dates and any recent listing information for the improvements via the area MLS for each applicable property are below;

Lot#	Sale #	Lessee	House Built	Listing History of Improvements via Area MLS
7	944	Dan Larson	1915	N/A
9	945	Stephen & Kathleen Thompson	1920	N/A

According to our research, the improvements on the subject lots were not available for sale via the area MLS as of the report effective date.


### PROPERTY DESCRIPTIONS

#### **GENERAL DESCRIPTIONS**


The subject properties are Lot 7 of Certificate of Survey #5994 and Lot 9 of Certificate of Survey #6627 in Section 16, Township 17 North, Range 15 West, in Missoula County, Montana. The subject properties are described on the table below;

Lot#	Sale #	Lessee	Gross Acres
7	944	Dan Larson	1.420
9	945	Stephen & Kathleen Thompson	0.959

Subject Lot 7 is identified on COS #5994 below;





# Subject Lot 9 is identified on COS #6627 below;



Individual images depicting each subject site recorded as part of COS #5994 or COS #6627and topographic maps are included on the following pages.


**LOT 7** 





LOT 9

**Enlarged View of COS #6627** 



Area Topographic Map



### **ACCESS AND VIEWS**

The subject properties have vehicular access from driveways off of Montana Highway 83 North. The subject properties have wooded views.

Lot#	Sale #	Lessee	Address	Access
7	944	Dan Larson	3688 MT Highw ay 83 N	Drivew ay from MT Highw ay 83
9	945	Stephen & Kathleen Thompson	3698 MT Highw ay 83 N	Drivew ay from MT Highw ay 83

### **IMPROVEMENTS**

The improvements on the subject lots are described on the table below.

Lot#	7	9
Residence SF	<b>ence SF</b> 2,251 1,344	
Construction Type	Wood Frame	Wood Frame
Foundation	Basement & Craw I Space	Craw I Space
Quality	Good	Average
Condition	Good	Average
Year Built	1952 (Additions & Renovations) 1920	
# of Bedrooms	3	3
# of Bathrooms	2	1
Porches	24 SF Stoop, 300 SF Patio, & 738 SF Deck	None
Outbuildings	1,500 SF Shop, 1,035 SF Garage, 240 SF Shop Mezzanine, 894 SF Concrete Parking Pad, 156 Sf Wood Shed, & 902 SF Open Shed  672 SF Detached Garage, 22 Open Shed, 196 SF Wood She 63 SF Play House	
Well/Septic	Well/Septic Well/Septic	
Landscaping	Lawn	Lawn

## EASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS, AND ENCROACHMENTS

We were provided with Land Status Reports by the Montana Department of Natural Resources. These reports detail recorded easements relative to each subject property. The easements are summarized on the table below;

Lot#	Sale #	Lessee	Address	Easement Affecting Property
7	944	Dan Larson		Easement for overhead electrical line and Rights-of-Way for Missoula County for a highway, buried telephone cable, and US Forest Service for Saw yer 16 Road No. 17468.
9	945	Stephen & Kathleen Thompson		Easement for overhead electrical line and Rights-of-Way for Missoula County for a highway, buried telephone cable, and US Forest Service for Saw yer 16 Road No. 17468.

The easements and Rights-of-Way associated with the subject properties are typical for similar area properties and are not considered to have an impact on marketability. If additional easements, restrictions, or encroachments other than those noted in this report are present on the subject properties, the values concluded in this report may be affected.

#### **ZONING**

The subject properties are in an area of Missoula County that is not zoned.


#### ASSESSMENT/REAL PROPERTY TAXES

The subject lots are tax exempt; however, the lots are valued by the Montana Department of Revenue to assist with determination of lease rates. The improvements on each site are taxable. The 2017 tax bills and taxable market values for the **subject improvements** (as per the Montana Department of Revenue) are included on the table below;

	Tax Bills for Improvements				
Lot#	Lessees	Assessor # for	2017 Taxable Market Value	2017 Tax Bill Amount	
7	Dan Larson	Improvements 0030409	\$214.340	\$597.82	
-			Ψ2 14,540	Ψ097.02	
9	Stephen & Kathleen Thompson	1918908	\$63,640	\$674.10	

### TOPOGRAPHY, VEGETATION, WETLANDS, SOILS AND DRAINAGE

According to the Missoula County GIS flood mapping feature, the subject lots are in an area of undetermined flood hazard. An exhibit from the applicable FEMA Flood Map Panel is below;



It appears that drainage and storm water runoff are adequate and/or properly designed and engineered for the subject sites. Lessees reported that there was some standing water in spring. Water was not near either subject residence. We have not been provided with a soil study for the subject sites. We assume the soil can accommodate the type of construction, which is typically seen in the subject area.

The subject lots include native vegetation and/or landscaping.

We have not been provided with environmental audits for the subject sites and assume there are no toxic or hazardous materials and no groundwater contamination on or in the subject lots. We are not aware of the presence of unstable soils. Should any of these conditions be present, the values concluded in this report may be affected.

#### **UTILITIES**

The subject lots have access to and/or are connected to electricity and phone lines. Information regarding septic systems, wells, and water rights for each subject lot is below;

L	ot#	Lessees	Septic	Water Source	Water Right	Notes
	7	Dan Larson	Yes	Well	Yes	No online data for septic
	9	Stephen & Kathleen Thompson	Yes	Well	Yes	1986 Septic Permit on File

Mr. Dan Larson, Lessee for Lot 7, reported that the septic tank on this site had been in place since 1979 or 1980. He also reported that there was a cesspool but no drain field.

#### **PUBLIC SAFTEY AND SERVICES**


Police, fire protection, and other services are provided by Missoula County and area volunteer emergency services.

#### SITE SUITABILITY


The subject lots are legally and physically suited for residential improvements.

## SUBJECT BUILDING SKETCHES & PHOTOGRAPHS

## **LOT 7 - BUILDING SKETCH**



## **LOT - 7 BUILDING SKETCH**



## **SUBJECT PHOTOGRAPHS**



Shop and Residence on Lot 7



West Side of Shop and Residence



West Side of Residence



North Side of Residence



East Side of Shop and Residence



South Side of Residence and East Side of Shop/Garage



South Side of Shop Building



Deck



Den



Kitchen



Living Room




Office





Office







Sun Room

Bedroom







Bathroom





Kitchen Bathroom 2





Hot Tub Woodstove in Den





Stairs to Basement Basement



Furnace and Woodstove in Basement



Basement



Shop Building Interior



Garage



Garage



Breezeway





Deck Open Shed





Wood Shed

Lawn Looking North





Lot 7 Interior Looking Northeast

Southeast Property Boundary Marker Looking East



Southeast Property Boundary Looking West



Northeast Property Boundary Marker Looking South



Northeast Property Boundary Marker Looking West



Southwest Property Boundary Marker Looking East



View North along West Boundary



View East along North Boundary



View South along West Boundary




MT Highway 83 Looking North



View South along West Boundary

## **LOT 9 - BUILDING SKETCH**



# **LOT 9 - SUBJECT PHOTOGRAPHS**



Lot 9 Looking Northeast



Lot 9 Looking East



Residence on Lot 9



East Side of Residence



North Side of Residence



South Side of Residence



Living Room



Dining Area



Kitchen



Laundry



Water Softener



Bathroom



Dining and Living Room



Bunk Room



Bedroom



Bedroom



Garage



Garage Interior





Wood Shed

Open Shed





Well and Lot 9 Interior

Property Interior Looking West





Southwest Property Boundary Marker Looking North

Southwest Property Boundary Marker Looking East

## ADDITIONAL PHOTOGRAPHS



Southeast Property Boundary Marker Looking West



View North along East Property Boundary



Northeast Property Boundary Marker Looking West



Northeast Property Boundary Marker Looking South




Northwest Property Boundary Marker Looking East



Northwest Property Boundary Marker Looking South

## ADDITIONAL PHOTOGRAPHS







MT Highway 83 Looking North

### SUBJECT MARKET ANALYSIS

Detailed county and local demographic and economic information is included in the Addendum of this report. General national and statewide data is included as well.

## **Subject Productivity Analysis**

### General Property Description

The subject sites are 1.420 and 0.959 in gross acres. Both sites include one include single family residence and outbuildings. Both sites have frontage along Montana Highway 83 North and have wooded views to the rear and across the highway.

### Area Land Use Trends

Seeley Lake is a residential/resort community in Missoula County, Montana. The community consists of year round residents and second or vacation home owners who are in the area on a seasonal basis. Area properties (especially those with frontage along area lakes, rivers, and streams) are frequently purchased for vacation or seasonal use.

## **Potential Users of Subject Property**

The potential users of the subject lots would be market participants seeking to own recreational/residential properties in the Seeley Lake area.

## **Demand Analysis**

Analysis of historical activity (also known as Inferred Demand Analysis) can shed light on future demand. We conducted a search of the area MLS for sales of vacant residential sites, with no water frontage, and up to 4.00 acres in size. Montana is a non-disclosure state and every sale does not transfer via the area MLS; however, the regional MLS data is considered to provide an accurate depiction of general trends in real estate transfers. The results of this search is below;

	Seeley Lake Vacant Lot Market Activity					
	Up to 4.0	0 Acres in Size	- Non-Waterfro	nt Location		
Year	# of Sales	Average Sales Price	Average List Price	Average Site Size/Acres	Average Days on Market	
2014	8	\$48,063		2.15	325	
2015	10	\$46,735		2.43	238	
2016	15	\$44,737		1.83	215	
2017	23	\$48,789		1.78	396	
2018 Year-to-Date	4	\$41,125		1.73	276	
ACTIVE	54		\$68,557	2.22	357	

This data indicates that the average sales prices for sites in these search parameters has fluctuated somewhat over the past few years but has remained in a relatively tight range.

We also conducted a search of the area MLS for sales of improved residential properties on similar sized sites with no water frontage in Seeley Lake. The results of our search are below;

Seeley Lake Residential Improved Properties - Market Activity						
Homes	Homes on Non-Waterfront Lots up to 3 Acres in Size					
Year	Year # of Sales Average Sales Price					
2014	21	\$234,590	270			
2015	26	\$224,429	255			
2016	29	\$216,443	254			
2017	22	\$216,325	174			
2018 YTD	15	\$245,093	205			
ACTIVES	20	\$287,987	186			

This data indicates that the average home price fluctuated between 2014 and 2018 Year-to-Date but has remained within a relatively tight range.

## **Competitive Supply**

There were 54 active listings of vacant home sites with 4 acres or less (non-waterfront) in Seeley Lake as of the report effective date. The average list price for vacant interior sites in the size range studied far exceeds the average sales prices received in recent years.

There were 20 active listings of homes on interior sites with 3 acres or less in Seeley Lake as of the report effective date. The average list price is approximately 18% greater than the average price received in 2018 Year-to-Date.

## **Interaction of Supply and Demand**

Based upon the average sales volume from 2016, 2017, and 2018 Year-to-Date; there is an over 3 year supply of vacant residential lots, with no water frontage, for sale with 4 acres or less in Seeley Lake. The average list price far exceeds the average sales prices received in recent years. Supply far exceeds annual demand and there will likely be significant downward price pressure on the active listings in order for them to sell within typical marketing times.

Based upon the average sales volume from 2014 through 2018 Year-to-Date, there is less than 1 year supply of homes for sale on interior lots with 3 acres or less in Seeley Lake. Supply and demand are in relative balance. Based upon the average sales prices received in prior years, there may be some downward price pressure on the active listings for them to sell within typical marketing times.

## **Subject Marketability Conclusion**

The subject lots, as if vacant, are considered to have similar marketability to other similar sized, interior sites in Seeley Lake.

The subject properties, as improved, are considered to have similar marketability compared to other residential properties (with improvements of similar quality and condition) on interior sites in Seeley Lake.

The most applicable sales of vacant and improved properties in Seeley Lake during the period studied where selected as comparables for determination of the values of the subject sites as if vacant, the values of the subject improvements, and the values of the subject properties as improved.

## **Estimated Marketing and Exposure Times**

The 23 interior lot sales in Seeley Lake that closed in 2017 were marketed for an average of 396 days. The 4 interior lot sales in Seeley Lake that closed in 2018 Year-to-Date were marketed for 276 days. **Marketing times** between 8 to 12 months are appropriate for the interior subject properties, as if vacant. If these subject properties had sold, as if vacant, on the effective date of this report, at the appraised values concluded in this report, 8 to 12 month **exposure times** would have been reasonable.

The 22 homes sales on interior lots in Seeley Lake that closed in 2017 were marketed for an average of 174 days. The 15 home sales in Seeley Lake that closed in 2018 Year-to-Date were marketed for 205 days. **Marketing times** between 6 to 8 months are appropriate for the interior subject properties, as improved. If these subject properties had sold, as improved, on the effective date of this report, at the appraised values concluded in this report, 6 to 8 month **exposure times** would have been reasonable.

### **HIGHEST AND BEST USE**

The four basic economic principles of supply and demand, substitution, balance and conformity are considered to be the basic tools of analyzing the relationship between economic trends and an appraisal. Market forces create market value. For this reason, the analysis of highest and best use is very important. When the purpose of an appraisal is to estimate market value, a highest and best use analysis identifies the most profitable, competitive use to which a property can be used.

According to <u>The Appraisal of Real Estate</u> – 14th Edition by the Appraisal Institute, Highest and Best Use is defined as follows:

"The reasonably probable and legal use of vacant land or an improved property that is physically possible, appropriately supported, financially feasible, and that results in the highest value."

The analysis for Highest and Best Use considers first the reasonably probable uses of a site that can be legally undertaken. The final Highest and Best Use determination is based on the following four criteria:

### Legally Permissible:

The availability of land for a particular use in terms of existing regulations and restrictions, deed restrictions, lease encumbrances, or any other legally binding codes, restrictions, regulations, or interests.

### **Physically Possible:**

The physical adaptability of the site for a particular use.

### Financially Feasible:

All uses that are legally permissible and physically possible that are likely to produce an income, or return, equal or greater than the amount needed to satisfy operating expenses, financial obligations, and capital amortization are considered to be financially feasible.

### Maximally Productive:

Of the financially feasible uses, the use that produces the highest net return or the highest present worth.

The Highest and Best Use analysis and conclusions for the subject properties are included on the following pages.

### SUBJECT PROPERTIES - AS IF VACANT

### Legally Permissible

The subject lots are in an area of Missoula County with no zoning. There are numerous legally permissible uses.

### Physically Possible

There is sufficient space on each subject site for a single family residence and/or a manufactured home, and related outbuildings. There is not sufficient space on each site for most other types of uses.

### Financially Feasible

Most similar interior lots in the area are improved with single family residences. According to our research, some area residences are occupied year round and some are utilized seasonally for recreational purposes. Use of the subject lots for construction of single family residences is financially feasible.

## Maximally Productive

Based upon the analysis of the legally permissible, physically possible, and financially feasible uses of the subject lots, the maximally productive highest and best use for each lot as if vacant, is for construction of a single family residence for recreational and/or residential use.

### REMAINING SUBJECT PROPERTIES WITH RESIDENCES - AS IMPROVED

The subject properties are improved with single family residences and outbuildings. There is market acceptance of many types of residences in Seeley Lake. Alteration of the subject residences for any use other than as single family homes would require large capital expenditures. Continued use as single family residences for these subject properties is the highest and best use as improved.

### THE APPRAISAL PROCESS

In the foregoing sections of this report, we have examined and discussed the subject properties. To arrive at estimates of market values for the subject properties, it is necessary to collect and analyze all available data in the market which might tend to indicate the values of the subject properties. The subject properties must be compared to similar properties that can be constructed, purchased, or from which a similar monetary return may be received.

### APPROACHES IN THE VALUATION OF REAL PROPERTY

The three recognized approaches in the valuation of real property are Sales Comparison, Cost Approach and Income Capitalization. According to <a href="https://example.com/The Appraisal">The Appraisal of Real Estate</a> – 14th Edition by the Appraisal Institute, the approaches are described as follows:

### Cost Approach

In the Cost Approach, value is estimated as the current cost of reproducing or replacing the improvements (including an appropriate entrepreneurial incentive or profit), minus the loss in value from depreciation, plus land value.

### Sales Comparison Approach

In the Sales Comparison Approach, value is indicated by recent sales of comparable properties in the market.

### Income Capitalization Approach

In the Income Capitalization Approach, value is indicated by a property's earning power based on the capitalization of income.

Each of the three approaches to value requires data collection from the market and each is governed equally by the principle of substitution. This principle holds "when several similar or commensurate commodities, goods or services are available, the one with the lowest price will attract the greatest demand and widest distribution."

The Sales Comparison Approach is developed to determine the value of each subject site as if vacant. This is typically the most reliable approach for determining values of vacant sites.

All three approaches to value were considered for the valuation of subject lots as improved. Most market participants interested in purchasing homes in the subject market area do not base decisions upon the depreciated cost of the improvements. For this reason the Cost Approach is not considered applicable and was not developed in this report. The residences on the subject lots are not utilized for income generation. For this reason, the Income Approach is not considered applicable and was not developed in this report. The Sales Comparison Approach is developed to determine the values of the subject properties as improved.

Comparable lot sales and home sales are presented in the following two sections of this report. After presentation of the comparables, the subject sites and improvements are valued for each property.


### **LOT SALES**

We conducted a search for sales of interior sites in Seeley Lake similar in size and overall utility compared to the subject sites. We located 4 recent sales that compare well with the subject sites. These sales are considered the best and most appropriate comparables available. These comparables are described on the table below;

Sale #	Address	City	Site Size/Acres	Sale Date	Sales Price
1	NHN Riverview Dr	Seeley Lake	0.337	2017	\$33,000
2	Lot 18 Lemar Dr	Seeley Lake	1.070	2018	\$25,000
3	Lot 14 Lemar Dr	Seeley Lake	1.180	2017	\$20,603
4	NHN Eagle Port	Seeley Lake	0.460	2018	\$38,500

A complete description of each comparable is included in the individual land comparable writeups provided in this section of this report. A map depicting the location of the subject properties in relation to the comparable sales is below;

**Map of Comparable Lot Sales** 



	COMPARABLE SA	ALE INFORMATION		
生 1 4 美人	1000	Location	NHN Riverview Drive	
	34 35 342 101			
No. of Lot, Lot, Lot, Lot, Lot, Lot, Lot, Lot,		City/State	Seeley Lake, MT	
The second second		County	Missoula	
		Assessor Number	00000591108	
	1 - 1	Zoning	Unzoned	
		Site Size: Acres	0.337	
line (tra)		Square Feet	14,680	
A CONTRACTOR	A STATE OF THE STA	Date of Sale	November 17, 2017	
		Sales Price	\$33,000	
		Less Cost of Improvements*		
12		Sales Price Adjusted	\$33,000	
	A Company of the Comp	MLS #	21711574	
	ANAI VQI	S OF SALE		
	ARALISI	3 OF SALL		
Price per Acre	\$97,923	Price per Square Foot	\$2.25	
Price per Acre	\$97,923	Price Per Square Foot	N/A	
		Finde Fei Front Foot	IVA	
	TRANSFERI	NFORMATION		
	THE STATE OF THE S			
Grantor	Rodney J. Flake & Joyce A. Flake	Grantee	Dwight H. Kelley	
Type of Instrument	Warranty Deed	Document#	201723314	
		Marketing Time	424 Days on Market	
Financing/Conditions	Cash/Market	Verified By	Scott Kennedy, Listing Agent	
Legal Description	Lot 25 of J&M Suburban Homesites #2	Intended Use/Comments	Purchaser constructed a large storage building on the property.	
Section/Township/Range	S3/T16N/R15W			
•				
-	PROPERT	TY DETAILS		
			,	
Access	Riverview Drive, Paved County Rd.	View	Lake, Mountains	
Topography	Level	Lot Dimensions	98.34' x 147.24' x 100.07' x 150.13	
Flood Plain	According to Flood Map # 30063C0740E, the property is not located in an area of elevated flood risk.	Improvements	None	
	The water			
Water	N/A	Value of Improvements	\$0	
	RECT.	Value of Improvements	\$0	
Water Water Frontage Utilities	N/A	Value of Improvements  Miscellaneous		
Water Frontage	RECT		According to the listing agent, the buyer purchased the lot for storage purposes.	

	COMPARABLE SA	ALE INFORMATION		
Course Or		Location City/State County	Lot 18 Lemar Drive Seeley Lake, MT Missoula	
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1	OFFICE STATES	Assessor Number	0003884200	
		Zoning	Unzoned	
		Site Size: Acres	1.070	
		Square Feet	46,609	
		Date of Sale	April 4, 2018	
M to the second		Sales Price	\$25,000	
A STATE OF STREET		Less Cost of Improvements		
the said of the last				
Taractura Constitution	2011年	Sales Price Adjusted	\$25,000	
	<b>建筑是</b> 发生。	MLS #	21802893	
	ANALYSI	S OF SALE		
Price per Acre	\$23,364	Price per Square Foot	\$0.54	
, mo par mare	<b>7</b> -5,001	Price Per Front Foot	N/A	
	TRANSFER I	NFORMATION		
Grantor	Smith/Hauser Investments, LLC	Grantee	Bryce Stevenson	
Type of Instrument	Warranty Deed	Document#	201805182	
		Marketing Time	6 Days on Market	
Financing/Conditions	Cash/Market	Verified By	Kim Koppen, Listing Agent	
Legal Description	Lot 18 of Hillcrest Heights Phase 2	Intended Use/Comments	Purchased for Residential Use	
Section/Township/Range	S2/T16N/R15W			
occurrence and a second control of the secon				
	PROPERT	TY DETAILS		
Access	Lemar Drive, Paved County Rd.	View	Woods, Mountains	
Topography	Level	Lot Dimensions	299.35' x 155' x 299.41' x 155'	
Flood Plain	According to Flood Map # 30063C0740E, the property is not located in an area of elevated flood risk.	Improvements	None	
Water	N/A	Value of Improvements	\$0	
Water Frontage	N/A			
Utilities	Underground Electricity & Telephone at road.	Miscellaneous	The property is located in Hillcres Heigts Subdivision and is subject	
			to CC&R's.	

	COMPARABLE S	ALE INFORMATION		
		Location City/State County Assessor Number Zoning Site Size: Acres Square Feet Date of Sale Sales Price Less Cost of Improvements' Sales Price Adjusted MLS #	Lot 14 Lemar Drive Seeley Lake, MT Missoula  0003883804 Unzoned 1.180 51,401 August 10, 2017 \$20,603 \$0 \$20,603 21605768	
	ANALYS	S OF SALE		
Price per Acre	\$17,460	Price per Square Foot Price Per Front Foot	\$0.40 N/A	
	TRANSFER	INFORMATION		
Grantor Type of Instrument Financing/Conditions	Smith/Hauser Investments, LLC Warranty Deed Conventional / Market	Grantee  Document #  Marketing Time  Verified By	Jenna L. Sage, James W. Sage 201716071 435 Days on Market Kim Koppen, Listing Agent	
Legal Description	Lot 14 of Hillcrest Heights Phase 2	Intended Use/Comments	Purchased for Residential Use	
Section/Township/Range	S2/T16N/R15W			
-	PROPER	TY DETAILS		
Access	Lemar Drive, Paved County Rd.	View Lot Dimensions	Woods, Mountains	
Topography Flood Plain	According to Flood Map # 30063C0740E, the property is not located in an area of elevated flood risk.	Improvements	Various None	
Water Water Frontage	N/A N/A	Value of Improvements	\$0	
Utilities	Underground Electricity & Telephone at road.	Miscellaneous	The property is located in Hillcres Heigts Subdivision and is subject to CC&R's.	
			Report File # 18-021ec	


	COMPARABLE S	ALE INFORMATION		
The second	31 1 THE 2 TH			
	1.1 2 . 1.1 10 . 1 10 . 10 . 10 . 10 . 1	Location	NHN Eagle Port	
	人多的建筑建筑	City/State	Seeley Lake, MT	
		County	Missoula	
	- (a) Front	Assessor Number	0002398800	
	No.	Zoning	Unzoned	
		Site Size: Acres	0.460	
	MAN THE STATE OF T	Square Feet	20,038	
	FARM DEPARTMENT OF THE PARTY OF	Date of Sale		
	<b>网络沙兰等</b>		May 24, 2018 \$38.500	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sales Price	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	
	HillomPost	Less Cost of Improvements	1	
Company of the Compan		Sales Price Adjusted	\$38,500	
		MLS #	21706599	
1 18)	ANALYS	S OF SALE		
Price per Acre	\$83,696	Price per Square Foot	\$1.92	
		Price Per Front Foot	N/A	
	TRANSFER	INFORMATION		
	TIVANOT EK	IN OKIMATION		
Grantor	Steven G. Lindemer & Jennifer S. Lindemer	Grantee	Robert W. Foley & Mary S. Fole	
Type of Instrument	Warranty Deed	Document#	201808344	
		Marketing Time	355 Days on Market	
Financing/Conditions	Conventional / Market	Verified By	Kevin Wetherell, Selling Agent	
Legal Description	Lot 9 of Block 1, Seeley Lake Sky Park #2	Intended Use/Comments	Purchased for Residential Use	
Section/Township/Rang	s1/T16N/R15W			
	PROPER	TY DETAILS		
Access	Gravel Road	View	Mountains	
Topography	Level	Lot Dimensions	154' x 130'	
Flood Plain	According to Flood Map # 30063C0740E, the property is not located in an area of elevated flood risk.	Improvements	None	
Water	N/A	Value of Improvements	\$0	
Water Frontage	N/A			
Utilities	Underground Electricity & Telephone at road.	Miscellaneous	The property is located in Sky Park Subdivision and had direct access to the Seeley Lake Airport.	
			Report File # 18-021ec	

### **INTERIOR HOME SALES**

We conducted a search for sales of homes in Seeley Lake similar to the subject properties as improved. The most applicable and recent sales located are described on the table below;

	Home Sales						
Sale #	Address	City	Sale Date	Sales Price	Less Site Value	Sale Price of Improvements	
1	400 Black Bear Dr	Seeley Lake	2018	\$220,000	\$60,000	\$160,000	
2	601 Morrell Creek Dr	Seeley Lake	2017	\$250,000	\$60,000	\$190,000	
3	1055 Whitetail Dr	Seeley Lake	2017	\$250,000	\$60,000	\$190,000	
4	656 Juniper Ln	Seeley Lake	2017	\$140,000	\$25,000	\$115,000	
5	700 Spruce St	Seeley Lake	2017	\$148,000	\$35,000	\$113,000	
6	193 Larch Ln	Seeley Lake	2017	\$144,000	\$25,000	\$119,000	

A complete description of each comparable is included in the individual land comparable writeups provided in this section of this report. A map depicting the location of the subject properties in relation to the comparable sales is below;



### COMPARABLE SALE INFORMATION Location 400 Black Bear Drive City/State Seeley Lake, MT County Missoula 0001546058 Assessor Number Unzoned Portion of Missoula Co. Zoning Site Size: Acres 1.510 Square Feet 65,776 May 29, 2018 Date of Sale Sales Price \$220,000 Adjustment to Sales Price \$0 Adjusted Sales Price \$220,000 MLS# 21707460 TRANSFER INFORMATION Grantor Andrew J. Wilson Grantee Raymond G. Cebulski & Barbara A. Cebulski Warranty Deed #201808507 Days on Market Recording Data Marketing Time 347 Financing/Conditions Conventional/Market Verified By Scott Kennedy, Listing Agent Legal Description Lot 12 of Double Arrow Ranch Intended Use Residential Phase 2, Missoula County, Montana S11/T16N/R15W Section/Township/Range DESCRIPTION OF IMPROVEMENTS ANALYSIS OF SALE \$220,000 Water Frontage N/A Sales Price Private, Asphalt Road Estimated Site Value \$60,000 Access 1,508 House Square Feet Sales Price of Improvements \$160,000 3 BR/2 BA Improvement Price/SF Bedroom/Bathrooms \$106 1990 Year Built or Renovated Basement Crawl Space Construction Wood Frame Quality Good Condition Good Water/Sewer Community Water/Septic Utilities Electricity/Telephone Level Topography 3 Car Carport - Attached Garage Outbuildings 728 SF Garage & 480 SF Wood Miscellaneous Home includes large covered porch. Report File # 18-024ec

### COMPARABLE SALE INFORMATION Location 601 Morrell Creek Drive City/State Seeley Lake, MT County Missoula 0001477709 Assessor Number Unzoned Portion of Missoula Co. Zoning Site Size: Acres 1.080 Square Feet 47,045 September 28, 2017 Date of Sale Sales Price \$250,000 Adjustment to Sales Price \$0 Adjusted Sales Price \$250,000 MLS# 21701388 TRANSFER INFORMATION Grantor Peggy Walker Grantee LeeRoy L. Strong & Sherry S. Strong Recording Data Warranty Deed #201719940 Marketing Time 224 Days on Market Financing/Conditions Conventional/Market Verified By Robin Matthews-Barns, Listing Agent Legal Description Lot 67 of Double Arrow Ranch Intended Use Residential Phase II, Missoula, Montana S15/T16N/R15W Section/Township/Range **DESCRIPTION OF IMPROVEMENTS** ANALYSIS OF SALE Water Frontage Sales Price \$250,000 \$60,000 Private, Gravel Road Estimated Site Value Access House Square Feet 1.612 Sales Price of Improvements \$190,000 2 BR/2 BA Improvement Price/SF \$118 Bedroom/Bathrooms Year Built or Renovated 2002 Basement Crawl Space Construction Wood Frame Quality Good Condition Good Water/Sewer Community Water/Septic Utilities Electricity/Telephone Topography Level Garage 2 Car Garage - Attached Outbuildings Home includes tile flooring and Miscellaneous vaulted ceilings. Report File # 18-024ec

	COMPARABLE SAL	E INFORMATION	
-			
	4		
	THE PARTY NAMED IN	Location	1055 Whitetail Drive
500		City/State	Seeley Lake, MT
	The same of the sa	County	Missoula
	31.00000	Assessor Number	0005901434
	The state of the s	Zoning	Unzoned Portion of Missoula Co.
The second second		Site Size: Acres	2.230
		Square Feet	97,139
		Date of Sale	June 26, 2017
Back to the second of the seco	Marie Commission of the Commis	Sales Price	\$250,000
		Adjustment to Sales Price	\$0
	- 11 AL	Adjusted Sales Price	\$250,000
		MLS#	21705164
2002.30			
	TRANSFER INF	ORMATION	
	TO THE THE	O'CHIANTON .	57,001
Grantor	Barbara A. Ralston	Grantee	Eric Nicholas Hayhurst & Lisa Ar Benassi Hayhurst
Recording Data	Warranty Deed #201712507	Marketing Time	48 Days on Market
Financing/Conditions	Conventional/Market	Verified By	Laurie Page, Listing Agent
Legal Description	Lot 65 of the Amended Plat of Trail Creek or Phase VI to Double Arrow	Intended Use	Residential
	Ranch, Missoula County, Montana		
Section/Township/Range	S11/T16N/R15W		
DESCRIPTION	OF IMPROVEMENTS	ANAL	SIS OF SALE
Water Frontage	N/A	Sales Price	\$250,00
Access	Private, Gravel Road	Estimated Site Value	\$60,00
House Square Feet	2.111	Sales Price of Improvemen	
Bedroom/Bathrooms	3 BR/2 BA	Improvement Price/SF	\$100,00
Year Built or Renovated	1984	proromont mod of	*
Basement	Basement (200 SF Finished)		
Basement Construction	Wood Frame	The state of the s	12 110 100
The state of the s	Good		
Quality	Good	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Condition Water/Source			
Water/Sewer	Community Water/Septic		
Utilities Topography	Electricity/Telephone	To Take the second of the seco	
Topography Commo	Level		
Garage	2 Car Garage -Attached	50	unit see
Outbuildings	None		100
M iscellaneous	Home includes rock fireplace and	A 7 4 3 4 7 4 8 8	A STATE OF THE STA
	indoor hot tub room. Property		
	adjacent to 16 acre common area.	eg.	
		Delly Ordiz	$M^{\prime\prime\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$

#### COMPARABLE SALE INFORMATION Location 656 Juniper Lane City/State Seeley Lake Missoula County Assessor Number 2291956 Unzoned Portion of Missoula Co. Zoning Site Size: Acres 0.190 Square Feet 8,276 May 1, 2017 Date of Sale Sales Price \$140,000 Adjustment to Sales Price \$140,000 Adjusted Sales Price MLS# 21611173 TRANSFER INFORMATION Grantor William F. Grone Grantee John R. Sisko Recording Data Warranty Deed #201707262 Marketing Time Days on Market Financing/Conditions Verified By Kevin Wetherell, Listing Agent Conventional/Market Lot 11 of Block 8, Seeley Lake Intended Use Legal Description Residential Homesites #2 S02/T16N/R15W Section/Township/Range **DESCRIPTION OF IMPROVEMENTS** ANALYSIS OF SALE Water Frontage \$140,000 N/A Sales Price Access Juniper Drive, Gravel Estimated Site Value \$25,000 1.398 \$115,000 House Square Feet Sales Price of Improvements 2BR/1BA Improvement Price/SF Bedroom/Bathrooms \$82 Year Built or Renovated 1968 Basement Crawl Space Construction Log Quality Average Condition Average Water/Sewer City Water/Septic Utilities Electricity/Telephone/Propane Level Topography 2 Car Detached Garage Outbuildings Storage Shed Miscellaneous Report File # 17-028ec

#### COMPARABLE SALE INFORMATION Location 700 Spruce Drive City/State Seeley Lake County Missoula Assessor Number 0336603 Unzoned Portion of Missoula Co. Zoning Site Size: Acres 0.425 Square Feet 18,513 May 12, 2017 Date of Sale Sales Price \$148,000 Adjustment to Sales Price \$0 \$148,000 Adjusted Sales Price MLS# 21603859 TRANSFER INFORMATION Grantor George R. Blendermann & Pamela Grantee Brenda M. Flanagan C. Blendermann Recording Data Warranty Deed #201708012 Marketing Time Days on Market Financing/Conditions Cash/Market Verified By Kim Koppen, Listing Agent Legal Description Lots 10 & 11 of Block 6, Seeley Intended Use Residential Lake Homesites #2 S03/T16N/R15W Section/Township/Range **DESCRIPTION OF IMPROVEMENTS** ANALYSIS OF SALE Sales Price \$148,000 Water Frontage Access Locust Ln, Paved/Spruce Dr, Gravel Estimated Site Value \$35,000 \$113,000 House Square Feet 1,260 Sales Price of Improvements 3BR/1.5BA Improvement Price/SF \$90 Bedroom/Bathrooms Year Built or Renovated 1980 Basement Crawl Space Construction Wood Frame Quality Average Condition Average Water/Sewer City Water/Septic Utilities Electricity/Telephone/Gas Topography Level Garage None Outbuildings Sheds Property consists of 2 city lots. Miscellaneous Report File # 17-028ec

#### COMPARABLE SALE INFORMATION Location 193 Larch Lane City/State Seeley Lake County Missoula Assessor Number 2132200 Unzoned Portion of Missoula Co. Zoning Site Size: Acres 0.220 Square Feet 9,583 February 7, 2017 Date of Sale Sales Price \$144,000 Adjustment to Sales Price \$0 \$144,000 Adjusted Sales Price 21612047 MLS# TRANSFER INFORMATION Grantor Lucretia Ann Beaudry Grantee Kevin J. Peterson Days on Market Recording Data Warranty Deed #201702353 Marketing Time Financing/Conditions Verified By Bruce Wold, Listing Agent Rural Development/Market Lot 3 of Block 4, Seeley Lake Intended Use Legal Description Residential Homesites #1 S03/T16N/R15W Section/Township/Range ANALYSIS OF SALE **DESCRIPTION OF IMPROVEMENTS** \$144,000 Water Frontage N/A Sales Price Access Larch Ln, Gravel, Juniper Dr, Paved Estimated Site Value \$25,000 \$119,000 House Square Feet 1.456 Sales Price of Improvements 4BR/2BA Improvement Price/SF Bedroom/Bathrooms \$82 Year Built or Renovated 1980 Basement Crawl Space Construction Wood Frame Quality Average Condition Average Water/Sewer City Water/Septic Utilities Electricity/Telephone/Propane Topography Level Garage 1 Car Attached, 2 Car Detached Outbuildings Storage Shed M iscellaneous Report File # 17-028ec

## **PROPERTY VALUATIONS**

## **LOT 7**

## Site Value Estimate

The comparable site sales presented were utilized to derive the value of this subject site as if vacant. Adjustments have been considered for differences between the sales and this subject site. Any adjustments made are noted on the spreadsheet below;

	COMPARABLESAL	ES ANALYSIS FOR SU	BJECT SITE		
L	OT 7, COS #5994, SEELEY	LAKE NORTH, SEELE	Y LAKE, MONTANA		
DESCRIPTION	SUBJECT	SALE1	SALE 2	SALE 3	SALE4
IDENTIFICATION		NHN Riverview Dr	Lot 18 Lemar Dr	Lot 14 Lemar Dr	NHN Eagle Port
CITY		Seeley Lake, MT	Seeley Lake, M T	Seeley Lake, MT	Seeley Lake, MT
SALES PRICE		\$33,000	\$25,000	\$20,603	\$38,50
ADJUSTMENT FOR IMPROVEMENTS		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
PROPERTY RIGHTS	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simpl
PROPERTY RIGHTS ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
FINANCING	Market	Market	Market	Market	Marke
FINANCING ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
CONDITIONS OF SALE	Market	Market	Market	Market	Marke
CONDITIONS OF SALE ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
ADJUSTMENTS FOR BUYER EXPENDITURES			·		
DEMOLITION		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
ENV IRONMENTA L		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1
OTHER		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1
LEGAL/ZONING		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1
DATE OF SALE		11/17/17	04/04/18	08/10/17	05/24/1
MARKET CONDITIONS FACTOR		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.0
ADJUSTED PRICE		\$33,000	\$25,000	\$20,603	\$38,500
		700,000	7=0,000	4=0,000	+,
SITE SIZE/ACRES	1.420	0.337	1.070	1.180	0.460
ADJUSTED SALES PRICE	25	\$33,000	\$25,000	\$20,603	\$38,500
7.5500 (125 G) (125 (1462		400,000	<b>\$20,000</b>	<b>\$20,000</b>	<b>400,00</b>
ADJUSTMENT FOR:					
LOCATION	Interior Site	Interior Site	Interior Site	Interior Site	Interior Site
2007111011		0%	0%	0%	0%
SHAPE	Irregular	Rectangular	Rectangular	Irregular	Square
OIP.	ii rogului	0%	0%	0%	0%
TOPOGRAPHY	Level	Level	Level	Level	Leve
TOI OCIONI III	Level	0%	0%	0%	0%
FRONTAGE/ACCESS	Highway	County Road	County Road	County Road	County Roa
TRONTAGEACCESS	I ligitway	0%	0%	0%	0%
ZONING	Not Zoned	Not Zoned	Not Zoned	Not Zoned	Not Zone
ZONING	Not Zolled	0%	0%	0%	0%
EASEMENTS AFFECTING USE	No	No.	No.	No.	N
EASEMENTS AFFECTING USE	NO	0%	0%	0%	09
ELECTRICITY/TELEPHONE	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available
ELECTRICIT T/TELEPHONE	Available	Available 0%	Available 0%	Available 0%	Available 0%
OUTE OUTE/A ODEO	4 400			***	
SITE SIZE/ACRES	1.420	0.337	1.070	1.180	0.46
		0%	0%	0%	09
TOTAL DEDOCRITAGE ( 2		001	001	001	-00
TOTAL PERCENTAGE ADJUSTMENT		0%	0%	0%	09
TOTAL ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
ADJUSTED PRICE		\$33,000	\$25,000	\$20,603	\$38,50

### **Discussion of Adjustments**

Adjustments for Improvements: There were no improvements noted on the comparables. No adjustments were necessary in this category.

*Property Rights*: The ownership interest in this report for the subject site and for the land sales are the fee simple interests. Consequently no adjustments were necessary in this category.

*Financing*: All sales were cash or cash equivalent; therefore, no adjustments were necessary in this category.

Conditions of Sale: No adjustment is necessary to any of the comparables in this category.

Buyer Expenditures: No adjustments were necessary for the comparable sales in this category.

*Market Conditions*: The comparable sales closed in 2017 and 2018. The sales utilized were the most recent located. There is not market data available on which to base an adjustment in this category. For that reason, no adjustment was made.

Location: The locations of Land Sale 1 and 4 are considered superior to the subject. Land Sale 1 has a view of a pond and Land Sale 4 has direct access to the Seeley Lake Airport. There is not sufficient market data on which to credibly base an adjustment in this category. Location differences are addressed in the Reconciliation portion of this section of this report.

*Shape:* The subject site and comparables have shapes that are suitable for development and no adjustment was necessary in this category.

*Topography:* The subject lot and comparables have topographies that are suitable for residential construction and no adjustment was necessary in this category. The subject lessee reported seasonal flooding but did not report flooding extending into the residence.

Frontage/Access: The subject site includes highway frontage and the comparables have frontage along public roads. No adjustment was necessary in this category.

Zoning: The subject sites and comparables are in areas with no zoning and no adjustment was necessary in this category.

Easements Affecting Value: The subject site and comparables do not include easements that adversely affect value. No adjustments were necessary for the comparables in this category.

*Electricity/Telephone:* The subject property and all of the comparables have similar access to all necessary utilities. No adjustment was necessary in this category.

Size/Acres: The subject site is larger than the comparables. There was no market data indicating that an adjustment was necessary for size differences in the size range of the subject and comparables.

## Reconciliation of Sales Comparison Approach for Subject Site

The comparables provide adjusted indications of value for the subject site of \$33,000, \$25,000, \$20,603, and \$38,500. The verifying party for Land Sales 2 and 3 indicated that these sales prices may not be indicative of market values for similar lots in Seeley Lake. Land Sale 2 was purchased by a builder who was also interested in the purchase of a second lot in the subdivision. It was the opinion of the listing/selling agent for this property that the seller accepted a lower price as an incentive for the purchaser to buy a second lot. The listing/selling agent for Land Sale 3 indicated that this lot backed up to a machine shop. It was her opinion that the proximity to this business negatively impacted the marketability of Land Sale 3.

Land Sales 1 and 4 are considered superior to the subject lot in location. Land Sale 1 has a pond view and Land Sale 4 has direct access to Seeley Lake Airport.

The comparables bracket the subject site in overall marketability. It is our opinion that all four comparables, considered together, provide a credible market value indication for the subject lot. A value of \$30,000 for the subject lot is well supported by this analysis. Consequently;

**Subject Site Value** 

\$30,000

<u>Improvement Value Estimate</u>
House Sales 1, 2, and 3 are appropriate comparables for the subject residence. A sales comparison analysis for the subject property utilizing the comparables selected is below;

	ALES COMPARISON ANA 14, SEELEY LAKE NORTH,		NTANA	
DESCRIPTION	SUBJECT	SALE 1	SALE 2	SALE 3
IDENTIFICATION		400 Black Bear Dr	301 Morrell Creek Dr	1055 Whitetail Dr
LOCATION		Seeley Lake, M T	Seeley Lake, M T	Seeley Lake, MT
SALES PRICE		\$220,000	\$250,000	\$250,000
LIST ADJUSTMENT		<b>4</b> 0,000	<b>\$200,000</b>	4200,000
PROPERTY RIGHTS	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple
PROPERTY RIGHTS ADJUSTMENT	ree omipie	\$0	\$0	\$0
FINANCING	Market	Market	Market	Market
FINANCING ADJUSTMENT	Walket	\$0	\$0	Warket \$0
	Market	φυ Market	Market	Market
CONDITIONS OF SALE	Warket			
CONDITIONS OF SALE ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0
ADJUSTMENTS FOR BUYER EXPENDITURES		•		•
DEMOLITION		\$0	\$0	\$0
ENV IRONMENTAL		\$0	\$0	\$0
OTHER		\$0	\$0	\$0
LEGAL/ZONING		\$0	\$0	\$0
DATE OF SALE		05/29/18	09/28/17	05/12/17
MARKET CONDITIONS FACTOR		1.00	1.00	1.00
ADJUSTED PRICE		\$220,000	\$250,000	\$250,000
LESS SITE VALUE		(\$60,000)	(\$60,000)	(\$60,000)
ADJUSTED IMPROVEMENT PRICE		\$160,000	\$190,000	\$190,000
ADJUSTMENT FOR:				
LOCATION/SITE	Interior Site	Interior Site	Interior Site	Interior Site
		\$0	\$0	\$0
QUALITY	Good	Good	Good	Good
		\$0	\$0	\$0
CONDITION	Good	Good	Good	Good
		\$0	\$0	\$0
BATHROOMS	2	2	2	2
		\$0	\$0	\$0
HOUSE SIZE/SF	2,251	1,508	1,612	2,111
		\$37,150		\$7,000
FINISHED BASEMENT SIZE/SF	0	0		200
		\$0	\$0	-\$5,000
	Shop, Shop Mezzanine,	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	-φ5,000
OUTBUILDINGS	Garage, Parking Pad, Wood Shed, & Open Shed	Superior	Superior	Superior
		\$45,000	\$51,000	\$51,000
		Ţ 12,3 <b>00</b>	72.,200	<b>+</b> 2.,300
TOTAL ADJUSTMENT		\$82,150	\$82,950	\$53,000
		<b>402</b> , 100		<b>400,300</b>
NET ADJUSTMENT PERCENTAGE		51%	44%	28%
ADJUSTED PRICE INDICATION		\$242,150	\$272,950	\$243,000

### **Discussion of Adjustments**

Property Rights, Financing, Conditions of Sale, & Adjustments for Buyer Expenditures: Based upon the information we verified, no adjustments were necessary in these categories for the comparables.

*Market Conditions:* The comparable sales closed in 2018 and 2017. There is little relevant market data on which to base an adjustment in this category and the sales selected were the most recent available. For these reasons, no adjustments were made in this category.

Location: The contributory site values for the sales were removed. This results in the comparison of the subject improvements to the improvements associated with each sale. The site sales utilized to determine the contributory site values for the improved sales are retained in the appraisal work file.

*Quality:* The subject residence and comparables are similar in overall quality of construction. No adjustment was necessary in this category.

*Condition:* The subject residence and comparables are similar in overall condition. No adjustment was necessary in this category.

*Bathrooms:* The subject residence and comparables included the same number of bathrooms and no adjustment was necessary in this category.

House Size: Based upon the indications of sales prices per residence square footage for each sale, an adjustment for size differences between the comparables and the subject of \$50 per square foot is considered reasonable and appropriate. This equates to approximately half of the averages of the sales prices per square foot for the comparable residences without the contributory site values. Market participants do not typically pay dollar for dollar for size differences. This adjustment amount is considered appropriate and indicative of the actions of market participants with respect to house size.

Finished Basement Size: The subject residence and Improved Sales 1 and 2 do not include finished basements areas. House Sale 3 includes 200 square feet of finished basement area. A downward adjustment of \$25 per square foot is considered reasonable and appropriate for the finished basement area of Improved Sale 3. This equates to approximately half of the adjustment utilized for differences in above grade square footage. Market participants typically pay less for finished basement area compared to above grade square footage.

Outbuildings/Amenities: Adjustments were made for any differences between our estimates of contributory values of outbuildings for the comparables compared to the subject property. The contributory values of the subject outbuildings were estimated based upon depreciated costs calculated on the table on the following page.

Building Description	Size/SF	Marshall Valuation	Cost/SF	Total Cost New	
Shop	1,500	Section 14/Page 26	\$27.00	\$40,500	
Shop Mezzanine	240	Section 14/Page 27	\$16.25	\$3,900	
Wood Shed	156	Section 17/Page 11	\$7.62	\$1,189	
Open Shed	902	Section 17/Page 11	\$10.75	\$9,697	
Parking Pad	894	Section 66/Page 1	Section 66/Page 1 \$5.08		
Garage	1,035	Section 12/Page 35	\$60.50	<u>\$62,618</u>	
	Total Cost New				
Less D	<u>-\$61,222</u>				
Depreciated Cost Estimate				\$61,222	
	R	ounded To		\$61,000	

## **Reconciliation of Sales Comparison Approach for Subject Improvements**

The comparables provided adjusted indications of market value for the subject improvements of \$242,150, \$272,950, and \$243,000. All weight is accorded Improved Sale 3 as it is the most similar in size and required the least adjustment. A value of \$243,000 is reasonable and well supported for the subject improvements.

### **Total Value Conclusion**

The total value conclusion is derived by adding the subject site value to the estimated value of improvements. The calculations are below;

<b>Total Value Indication</b>	\$273,000
Subject Improvements Value	\$243,000
Subject Site Value	\$ 30,000

## LOT 9

## Site Value Estimate

The comparable site sales presented were utilized to derive the value of this subject site as if vacant. Adjustments have been considered for differences between the sales and this subject site. Any adjustments made are noted on the spreadsheet below;

		ES ANALYSIS FOR SU			
Li	OT 9, COS #6627, SEELEY	LAKE NORTH, SEELEY	Y LAKE, MONTANA		
DESCRIPTION	SUBJECT	SALE 1	SALE 2	SALE3	SALE 4
IDEA TICAL TICAL		NUM Diversion De	1 - 4 40 1 D -	1 - 4 44 1 D -	NUN FI- DI
IDENTIFICATION		NHN Riverview Dr	Lot 18 Lemar Dr	Lot 14 Lemar Dr	NHN Eagle Port
CITY		Seeley Lake, M T	Seeley Lake, M T	Seeley Lake, MT	Seeley Lake, MT
SALES PRICE		\$33,000	\$25,000	\$20,603	\$38,50
ADJUSTMENT FOR IMPROVEMENTS		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
PROPERTY RIGHTS	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simpl
PROPERTY RIGHTS ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
FINANCING	Market	Market	Market	Market	Marke
FINANCING ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
CONDITIONS OF SALE	Market	Market	Market	Market	Marke
CONDITIONS OF SALE ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
ADJUSTMENTS FOR BUYER EXPENDITURES					
DEMOLITION		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
ENVIRONMENTAL		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
OTHER		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
LEGAL/ZONING		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
DATE OF SALE		11/17/17	04/04/18	08/10/17	05/24/1
MARKET CONDITIONS FACTOR		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.0
ADJUSTED PRICE		\$33,000	\$25,000	\$20,603	\$38,500
		, ,	, ,,,,,,	, ,,,,,	, ,
SITE SIZE/ACRES	0.959	0.337	1.070	1.180	0.46
ADJUSTED SALES PRICE	0.000	\$33,000	\$25,000	\$20,603	\$38,50
7,5500 125 0, 1220 11402		φου,σου	<b>\$25,000</b>	<b>\$20,000</b>	400,00
ADJUSTMENT FOR:					
LOCATION	Interior Site	Interior Site	Interior Site	Interior Site	Interior Sit
		0%	0%	0%	00
SHAPE	Irregular	Rectangular	Rectangular	Irregular	Squar
OTAL E	irregulai	0%	0%	0%	09
TOPOGRAPHY	Level	Level	Level	Level	Leve
TOPOGRAPHI	Level	0%	0%	0%	00
FRONTAGE/ACCESS	Lieburar		County Road	County Road	County Roa
PRONTAGE/ACCESS	Highw ay	County Road			County Roa
TONING	Not 7 and 4	0%	0%	0%	
ZONING	Not Zoned	Not Zoned	Not Zoned	Not Zoned	Not Zone
		0%	0%	0%	00
EASEMENTS AFFECTING USE	No	No	No	No	N
		0%	0%	0%	0'
ELECTRICITY/TELEPHONE	Available	Available	Available	Available	Availab
		0%	0%	0%	0'
SITE SIZE/ACRES	0.959	0.337	1.070	1.180	0.46
		0%	0%	0%	0'
TOTAL PERCENTAGE ADJUSTMENT		0%	0%	0%	0
TOTAL ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
ADJUSTED PRICE		\$33,000	\$25,000	\$20,603	\$38,50

### **Discussion of Adjustments**

Adjustments for Improvements: There were no improvements noted on the comparables. No adjustments were necessary in this category.

*Property Rights*: The ownership interest in this report for the subject site and for the land sales are the fee simple interests. Consequently no adjustments were necessary in this category.

*Financing*: All sales were cash or cash equivalent; therefore, no adjustments were necessary in this category.

Conditions of Sale: No adjustment is necessary to any of the comparables in this category.

Buyer Expenditures: No adjustments were necessary for the comparable sales in this category.

*Market Conditions*: The comparable sales closed in 2017 and 2018. The sales utilized were the most recent located. There is not market data available on which to base an adjustment in this category. For that reason, no adjustment was made.

Location: The locations of Land Sale 1 and 4 are considered superior to the subject. Land Sale 1 has a view of a pond and Land Sale 4 has direct access to the Seeley Lake Airport. There is not sufficient market data on which to credibly base an adjustment in this category. Location differences are addressed in the Reconciliation portion of this section of this report.

*Shape:* The subject site and comparables have shapes that are suitable for development and no adjustment was necessary in this category.

*Topography:* The subject lot and comparables have topographies that are suitable for residential construction and no adjustment was necessary in this category. The subject lessee reported seasonal flooding but did not report flooding extending into the residence.

Frontage/Access: The subject site and comparables have frontage along public roads and no adjustment was necessary in this category.

Zoning: The subject sites and comparables are in areas with no zoning and no adjustment was necessary in this category.

Easements Affecting Value: The subject site and comparables do not include easements that adversely affect value. No adjustments were necessary for the comparables in this category.

*Electricity/Telephone:* The subject property and all of the comparables have similar access to all necessary utilities. No adjustment was necessary in this category.

*Size/Acres*: The subject site is bracketed in size by the comparables. There was no market data indicating that an adjustment was necessary for size differences in the size range of the subject and comparables.

## Reconciliation of Sales Comparison Approach for Subject Site

The comparables provide adjusted indications of value for the subject site of \$33,000, \$25,000, \$20,603, and \$38,500. The verifying party for Land Sales 2 and 3 indicated that these sales prices may not be indicative of market values for similar lots in Seeley Lake. Land Sale 2 was purchased by a builder who was also interested in the purchase of a second lot in the subdivision. It was the opinion of the listing/selling agent for this property that the seller accepted a lower price as an incentive for the purchaser to buy a second lot. The listing/selling agent for Land Sale 3 indicated that this lot backed up to a machine shop. It was her opinion that the proximity to this business negatively impacted the marketability of Land Sale 3.

Land Sales 1 and 4 are considered superior to the subject lot in location. Land Sale 1 has a pond view and Land Sale 4 has direct access to Seeley Lake Airport.

The comparables bracket the subject site in overall marketability. It is our opinion that all four comparables, considered together, provide a credible market value indication for the subject lot. A value of \$30,000 is well supported by this analysis. Consequently;

**Subject Site Value** 

\$30,000

## **Improvement Value Estimate**

Improved Sales 4, 5, and 6 are appropriate comparables for the subject residence. A sales comparison analysis for the subject property utilizing the comparables selected is below;

SALES ( LOT 9, COS #6627, SE	COMPARISON ANA		NTANA	
DESCRIPTION	SUBJECT	SALE 4	SALE 5	SALE 6
IDENTIFICATION		656 Juniper Ln	700 Spruce Dr	193 Larch Ln
LOCATION		Seeley Lake, MT	Seeley Lake, MT	Seeley Lake, MT
SALES PRICE		\$140,000	\$148,000	\$144,000
LIST ADJUSTMENT				
PROPERTY RIGHTS	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple
PROPERTY RIGHTS ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0
FINANCING	Market	Market	Market	Market
FINANCING ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0
CONDITIONS OF SALE	Market	Market	Market	Market
CONDITIONS OF SALE ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0
ADJUSTMENTS FOR BUYER EXPENDITURES				
DEMOLITION		\$0	\$0	\$0
ENVIRONMENTAL		\$0	\$0	\$0
OTHER		\$0	\$0	\$0
LEGAL/ZONING		\$0	\$0	\$0
DATE OF SALE		05/01/17	05/12/17	02/07/17
MARKET CONDITIONS FACTOR		1.00	1.00	1.00
ADJUSTED PRICE		\$140,000	\$148,000	\$144,000
LESS SITE VALUE		(\$25,000)	(\$35,000)	(\$25,000)
ADJUSTED IMPROVEMENT PRICE		\$115,000	\$113,000	\$119,000
ADJUSTMENT FOR:				
LOCATION/SITE	Interior Site	Interior Site	Interior Site	Interior Site
		\$0	\$0	\$0
QUALITY	Average	Average	Average	Average
		\$0	\$0	\$0
CONDITION	Average	Average	Average	Average
		\$0	\$0	\$0
BATHROOMS	1	1	1.5	2
		\$0	-\$2,500	-\$5,000
HOUSE SIZE/SF	1,344	1,398	1,260	1,456
		-\$2,430	\$3,780	-\$5,040
OUTBUILDINGS	Garage, Oepn Shed, Wood Shed, & Play	Superior	Superior	Superior
	Sned, & Play House			
	liouse	\$13,000	\$22,000	\$7,000
TOTAL ADJUSTMENT		\$10,570	\$23,280	-\$3,040
NET ADJUSTMENT PERCENTAGE		9%	21%	-3%
ADJUSTED PRICE INDICATION		\$125,570	\$136,280	\$115,960

### **Discussion of Adjustments**

Property Rights, Financing, Conditions of Sale, & Adjustments for Buyer Expenditures: Based upon the information we verified, no adjustments were necessary in these categories for the comparables.

*Market Conditions:* The comparable sales closed in 2017. There is little relevant market data on which to base an adjustment in this category and the sales selected were the most recent available. For these reasons, no adjustments were made in this category.

Location: The contributory site values for the sales were removed. This results in the comparison of the subject improvements to the improvements associated with each sale. The site sales utilized to determine the contributory site values for the improved sales are retained in the appraisal work file.

*Quality:* The subject residence and comparables are similar in overall quality of construction. No adjustment was necessary in this category.

*Condition:* The subject residence and comparables are similar in overall condition. No adjustment was necessary in this category.

*Bathrooms:* The subject residence and Improved Sale 4 include one bathroom and no adjustment was necessary in this category for this sales. Improved Sale 5 includes an additional half bath and Improved Sale 6 includes an additional full bath. Downward adjustments of \$2,500 for a half bath and \$5,000 for a full bath were considered to be indicative of the actions of market participants with respect to bathrooms.

House Size: Based upon the indications of sales prices per residence square footage for each sale, an adjustment for size differences between the comparables and the subject of \$45 per square foot is considered reasonable and appropriate. This equates to approximately half of the averages of the sales prices per square foot for the comparable residences without the contributory site values. Market participants do not typically pay dollar for dollar for size differences. This adjustment amount is considered appropriate and indicative of the actions of market participants with respect to house size.

Outbuildings/Amenities: Adjustments were made for any differences between our estimates of contributory values of outbuildings for the comparables compared to the subject property. The

contributory values of the subject outbuildings were estimated based upon depreciated costs calculated to the right.

Building Description	Size/SF	Marshall Valuation	Cost/SF	Total Cost New
Garage	672	Section 12/Page 35	\$60.50	\$40,656
Open Shed	220	Section 17/Page 11	\$10.75	\$2,365
Wood Shed	196	Section 17/Page 11	\$7.62	\$1,494
Play House	63	Section 17/Page 12	\$12.90	\$813
Total Cost New			\$45,327	
Less Depreciation - Age/Life - 10/20 Years = 50%		-\$22,664		
Depreciated Cost Estimate		\$22,664		
Rounded To		\$23,000		

## **Reconciliation of Sales Comparison Approach for Subject Improvements**

The comparables provided adjusted indications of market value for the subject improvements of \$125,570, \$136,280, and \$115,960. All weight is accorded Improved Sale 1 as it is most similar in size and bathroom count compared to the subject residence. A value of \$126,000 is reasonable and well supported for the subject improvements.

### **Total Value Conclusion**

The total value conclusion is derived by adding the subject site value to the estimated value of improvements. The calculations are below;

Subject Site Value	\$ 30,000
Subject Improvements Value	<u>\$126,000</u>
<b>Total Value Indication</b>	\$156,000

# RECAPITULATION OF VALUE INDICATIONS

The market value for the subject property is recapitulated on the table below;

Lot#	Site Value	Value of Improvements	Total Value	Effective Date of Market Values
7	\$30,000	\$243,000	\$273,000	7/16/2018
9	\$30,000	\$126,000	\$156,000	7/16/2018

## QUALIFICATIONS OF THE APPRAISERS ELLIOTT (ELLIE) M. CLARK, MAI

### PROFESSIONAL DESIGNATIONS

MAI Designated Member of the Appraisal Institute (2004)

### **FORMAL EDUCATION**

College of Charleston, Charleston, SC Bachelor of Science – Geology (1985)

### **REAL ESTATE EDUCATION**

### **Appraisal Institute**

- 1990 Basic Valuation Procedures
- 1990 Real Estate Principles
- 1992 Capitalization Theory and Technique
- 1994 Advanced Income Capitalization
- 2001 Highest and Best Use and Market Analysis
- 2001 Advanced Sales Comparison and Cost Approaches
- 2002 Standards of Professional Practice, Part A
- 2002 Standards of Professional Practice, Part B
- 2002 Report Writing and Valuation Analysis
- 2002 Advanced Applications
- 2003 Comprehensive Exam
- 2003 Separating Real & Personal Property from Intangible Business Assets
- 2004 Demonstration Appraisal
- 2006 7 Hour National USPAP Update Course
- 2006 Business Practices and Ethics
- 2006 Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions
- 2008 7 Hour National USPAP Update Course
- 2010 7 Hour National USPAP Update Course
- 2012 7 Hour National USPAP Update Course
- 2012 Fundamentals of Separating Real Property, Personal Property and Intangible Business Assets
- 2012 Valuation of Conservation Easements
- 2014 7 Hour National USPAP Update Course
- 2015 Real Estate Finance Statistics and Valuation Modeling
- 2016 7 Hour National USPAP Update Course
- 2016 Eminent Domain & Condemnation
- 2017 Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions: Practical Applications
- 2018 7 Hour National USPAP Update Course

### **Institute of Financial Education**

- 1985 Real Estate Law I
- 1986 Real Estate Law II

### **IAAO**

1991 - Standards of Practice and Professional Ethics

## **Citadel Evening College**

1993 - Residential Appraisal Reports Using URAR Form

### William H. Sharp & Associates

1995 - The Home Inspection

### **Trident Technical College**

1997 - Uniform Standards of Appraisal

### **Historic Preservation Consulting**

1998 - Appraising Historic Property

### The Beckman Company

2004 - The Technical Inspection of Real Estate

### **WORK EXPERIENCE**

2003 - Present	Clark Real Estate Appraisal – Owner/Commercial Real Estate Appraiser
1995 - 2003	Sass, Herrin & Associates, Inc. – Commercial Real Estate Appraiser
1990 - 1995	Charleston County Assessor's Office – Sr. Staff Real Estate Appraiser
1986 - 1989	First Sun Capital Corporation - Mortgage Loan Officer
1985 - 1986	First National Bank of Atlanta - Mortgage Loan Processor
1984 - 1985	South Carolina Federal Savings Bank - Mortgage Loan Processor

### **STATE LICENSES/CERTIFICATIONS**

Montana State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser - REA-RAG-LIC-683

### **APPRAISAL SEMINARS ATTENDED**

- 2000 JT&T Seminars: Financial Calculator HP-12C
- 2000 Appraisal Institute: Highest and Best Use Applications
- 2004 Appraisal Institute: Evaluating Commercial Construction
- 2005 Appraisal Institute: Scope of Work: Expanding Your Range of Services
- 2006 Appraisal Institute: Subdivision Valuation
- 2006 Appraisal Institute: Appraising from Blueprints and Specifications
- 2007 Appraisal Institute: Analyzing Commercial Lease Clauses
- 2007 Appraisal Institute: Condominiums, Co-ops, and PUDs
- 2008 Appraisal Institute: Spotlight on USPAP
- 2008 Appraisal Institute: Quality Assurance in Residential Appraisals: Risky Appraisals = Risky Loans
- 2008 Appraisal Institute: Office Building Valuation: A Contemporary Perspective
- 2009 Appraisal Institute: Appraisal Curriculum Overview (2-Day General)
- 2010 Appraisal Institute: Hotel Appraising New Techniques for Today's Uncertain Times
- 2010 Appraisal Institute: The Discounted Cash Flow Model: Concepts, Issues & Applications
- 2011 Appraisal Institute: Understanding & Using Investor Surveys Effectively
- 2011 Appraisal Institute: Advanced Spreadsheet Modeling for Valuation Applications
- 2012 Appraisal Institute: Appraising the Appraisal: Appraisal Review-General
- 2013 Appraisal Institute: Business Practices and Ethics
- 2018 Appraisal Institute: Real Estate Finance, Value, and Investment Performance

PARTIAL LIST OF CLIENTS
United States Department of Interior United States Government Services Administration State of Montana Department of Natural Resources

Montana Department of Transportation

City of Whitefish

City of Kalispell

Flathead County

Glacier Bank

Rocky Mountain Bank

Whitefish Credit Union

Parkside Credit Union

First Interstate Bank

Three Rivers Bank

Stockman Bank

### CHRISTOPHER D. CLARK

### FORMAL EDUCATION

Millikin University, Decatur, Illinois Bachelor of Arts in Political Science

### REAL ESTATE EDUCATION

### **Appraisal Institute**

Course 110 – Appraisal Principles, 2005

Course 120 – Appraisal Procedures, 2005

Course 410 – 15- Hour National USPAP Course, 2005

Course 203R – Residential Report Writing & Case Studies, 2006

Course REA070513 – Analyzing Commercial Lease Clauses, 2007

Course 06RE0638 – Condominiums, Co-ops, PUD's, 2007

Course REA071154 - Hypothetical Conditions, Extraordinary Assumptions, 2008

Course 07RE0734 – 7-Hour National USPAP Update, 2008

Course 06RE0641 – Quality Assurance in Residential Appraisals, 2008

Course 06RE1286 – Office Building Valuation: A Contemporary Perspective, 2008

Course 430ADM 0 Appraisal Curriculum Overview – 2009

Course I400 - 7-Hour National USPAP Update - 2010

Course OL-202R - Online Residential Sales Comparison and Income Approach – 2011

Course OL-200R - Online Residential Market Analysis and Highest & Best Use – 2011

Course OL-201R - Online Residential Site Valuation & Cost Approach – 2011

Course I400 – 7-Hour National USPAP Update Course – 2012

Course REA110436 – Appraising the Appraisal: Appraisal Review General – 2012

Course 08REO643 – Business Practices and Ethics -2013

Course I400 – 7-Hour National USPAP Update – 2014

Course REA4380 – Online Introduction to Green Buildings: Principles and Concepts

Course REA120108 – Online Cool Tools: New Technology for Real Estate Appraisers

Course REA6260 – Real Estate Finance Statistics & Valuation Modeling 2015

Course REA-REC-REC-7415 – 2016-2017 7-Hour USPAP Update – 2016

Course REA-CEC-REC-7494 – Eminent Domain and Condemnation - 2016

Course REA-CEC-REC-8806-Uniform Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions - 2017

Course REA-CEC-REC-9788 – 7 Hour National USPAP Update – 2018

Course REA-CEC-REC- Real Estate Finance, Value, & Investment Performance – 2018

### **WORK EXPERIENCE**

2005 - Present	Clark Real Estate Appraisal, Inc. – Real Estate Appraiser
2003 - 2005	IKON Office Solutions – Technology Marketing
2002 - 2003	Relational Technology Services – Technology Marketing
1998 - 2003	IKON Office Solutions – Technology Marketing
1988 - 1998	CMS Automation (Formerly Entré Computer Center)—Tech. Marketing

### **STATE LICENSES/CERTIFICATIONS**

Montana Licensed Appraiser # REA-RAL-LIC-841

### **APPRAISERS LICENSES**

State of Montana Business Standards Division Board of Real Estate Appraisers

REA-RAG-LIC-683

Status: Active Expiration Date: 03/31/2019

License #:

ELLIOTT M CLARK CLARK REAL ESTATE APPRAISAL 704C E 13TH STREET #509 WHITEFISH, MT 59937 This certificate verifies licensure as:

#### **CERTIFIED GENERAL APPRAISER**

With endorsements of: REAL ESTATE APPRAISER MENTOR



RENEW OR VERIFY YOUR LICENSE AT:

State of Montana Business Standards Division Board of Real Estate Appraisers

License #: REA-RAL-LIC-841

Status: Active
Expiration Date: 03/31/2019

CHRISTOPHER D CLARK CLARK REAL ESTATE APPRAISAL 704C E 13TH STREET #509 WHITEFISH, MT 59937 This certificate verifies licensure as: LICENSED APPRAISER




# **ADDENDUM**

#### NATIONAL ECONOMIC DATA

Real GDP increased by 3.2% in the third quarter of 2017 after increasing 3.1% in the second quarter of 2017 according to the Bureau of Economic Analysis of the US Department of Commerce (BEA). According to the BEA, the increase in real GDP reflected positive contributions from personal consumption expenditures, private inventory investment, nonresidential fixed

investment, exports, federal government spending, and state and local government spending that were partly offset by a negative contribution from residential fixed investment. Imports, which are a subtraction in the calculation of GDP, decreased.



According to the US Bureau of Labor and Statistics, the seasonally adjusted national unemployment rate for December 2017 was 4.1 %. This is lower than the December 2016 rate of 4.7%. This is the lowest national unemployment rate for the prior ten years.

### STATE ECONOMIC DATA

Montana is the 44<sup>th</sup> most populous state in the US. 2010 US Census data estimated a population of 989,415 indicating a growth in population of 9.7% from 2000 to 2010. According to ESRI using US Census data, the 2015 population of Montana was forecasted to be 1,027,698. This estimate shows a 3.87% increase since the 2010 census. The state economy is diverse with a wide variety of industries. The top five employment categories in the state are;


- Trade, Transportation, and Utilities
- Government (Federal, State, & Local)
- Education & Health Services
- Healthcare & Social Assistance
- Leisure & Hospitality

These industries employ from 11% to 16% of the workforce in Montana per category. The remaining categories employ less than 10% each.

The Montana Bureau of Business and Economic Development forecasted issues with cattle prices and wheat production for 2017. State production of pulse crops such as lentils and peas greatly increased in 2016, coal production dropped dramatically in 2016, forest industry employment dropped in 2016, manufacturing in the state increased by 2.0% in 2016, high-tech and manufacturing companies were projected to grow seven times faster during 2017. State airport deboardings were up by 4% in 2016, Medicaid expansion in Montana pushed the uninsured rate to 8.7%, and Montana's housing market resembles the market conditions prior to recession.

#### MISSOULA COUNTY DATA

The subject properties are in Missoula County which is the western half of the state. The total land area of the county is approximately 2,618 square miles. The county seat is the city of Missoula which is in the southern portion of the county. A map of Montana with counties identified is below.



### **Geographical Information**

Missoula County is bordered to the north by Flathead, Lake, Sanders and Mineral Counties of Montana. It is bordered to the south and east by Ravalli, Granite and Powell Counties of Montana. A small portion of Missoula County is bordered to the west by Idaho and Clearwater Counties in Idaho. The general geography of the county is mountainous. Missoula County is comprised of five valleys and includes two significant rivers. There are a number of national protected areas in the county. These include; the Rattlesnake National Recreation Area and portions of Bitterroot, Flathead, and Lolo National Forests.

### **City and Communities**

Missoula is the only incorporated city in Missoula County. Towns and Census designated places in Missoula County include; Bonner, Clinton, Condon, East Missoula, Evaro, Frenchtown, Huson, Lolo, Milltown, Orchard Homes, Seeley Lake and Wye

### **Population**


Missoula County is the 2nd most populous county in Montana. The 2015 county population estimate from ESRI based upon US Census Bureau data was 113,665. The population is projected to increase to 118,223 by 2020 or 0.8% per year.

#### Income

According to data from the US Census the median household income for Missoula County was \$47,534 in 2015. This is 0.40% less than the median household income for the same period for the state of Montana. Approximately 16.8% of the population of Missoula County was below the poverty level between 2008 and 2012. This is higher than the percentage below the poverty level for the state of Montana for the same period of 13.7%.

### **Employment**

According to ESRI there were 56,415 people over 16 years of age in the workforce in Missoula County in 2010. The workforce percentages by industry are included on the chart below;



Services comprise the largest employment be substantial margin. The next largest category is retail trade.

### **Real Estate**

According to ESRI estimates based upon US Census data there were 52,799 housing units in Missoula County in 2015. The home ownership rate was estimated at 51.6% in 2015. The median home value was estimated to be \$224,299 in 2015. It is expected to increase by approximately 4.5% per year to \$275,920 in 2020.

#### **Education & Healthcare**

There are elementary, middle schools and high schools in the various population centers of Missoula County. The University of Montana and The University of Montana College of Technology are both located in Missoula County. There are two acute care hospitals in Missoula County.

## **Linkages & Transportation**

United States Interstate Highway 90 runs through Missoula County. US Highway 12 and 93 both go through the county. There are Montana Highways in the county as well. There is an International Airport in Missoula.

### **County Data Conclusion**


Missoula County is one of the most populous counties in Montana. The population is slated to increase approximately 0.8% per year through 2020. Missoula County is the home of the University of Montana. The location of the university provides some stability in employment. The county unemployment rate is similar to that of the state but lower than of the nation. The economy and the real estate market have remained relatively stable for the past several years. Missoula County did experience the most recent real estate "bubble"; however, the market appears to have stabilized. The economy of Missoula County is considered stable with growth likely in the foreseeable future

#### SEELEY-SWAN VALLEY DATA

### **General Information**

The subject properties are located in a relatively remote, rural area generally known as the "Seeley-Swan Valley". There is relatively little privately owned land in the area.

For report purposes the neighborhood boundaries consist of properties between Bigfork to the north and Montana Highway 200 to the south. Montana Highway 83 runs north to south through the entire area. The Mission Mountain Range forms the west side neighborhood boundary and the Swan Mountain Range forms the east side neighborhood boundary. The subject neighborhood is approximately 1 to 1.5 hour drive from Kalispell and approximately 1 to 1.5 hour drive from Missoula. A map depicting the area and the location of the subject properties is below;



Much of the land in the subject market area is protected. A recent cooperative project that involved a large amount of acreage in the area is known as "The Montana Legacy Project." It is a cooperative project of The Nature Conservancy, The Trust for Public Land and state, federal and private partners. The Nature Conservancy and The Trust for Public Land have acquired approximately 310,000 acres of land formerly owned by Plum Creek since 2009. The land will eventually be conveyed to a mix of public and private owners. Under this partnership, actual land ownership and management responsibilities rest with The Nature Conservancy.

### Geography

The subject area is generally bounded by the Swan Mountains on the east and the Mission Mountains on the west. Area mountain peaks extend as high as 9,000 feet. Portions of two national forests are in this area. They are the Lolo and Flathead National Forests. There are number of lakes, rivers and creeks in the area. Seeley Lake is the nearest relatively large lake to the subject properties.

### **Population**

According to 2015 US Census data the population of the subject neighborhood (as defined by the map presented) was 2,911. According to ESRI forecasts the population is expected to increase to 3,038 by 2020. This equates to an increase of approximately 1% per year.

### **Economy/Income**

There is no major employment in the area. Major employment is located in Kalispell or Missoula which are both over an hour drive away. According to ESRI, the 2015 median household income for the neighborhood was indicated to be \$50,843. The median household income is projected to increase by approximately 3% per year through 2020.

### **Housing & Real Estate**

According to the ESRI there were 3,033 housing units in the identified subject neighborhood in 2015. Approximately 36% of the housing units were identified as owner occupied, approximately 10% were identified as renter occupied, and approximately 54% were identified as vacant. The relatively high percentage of vacant housing units is likely due to the remote nature of the subject area. Many of these properties are utilized only a portion of the year and are second or vacation homes. The population density increases along the area lakes, rivers and creeks and is less dense further from these amenities. Prices for real estate in the area typically increase substantially with water frontage.

According to ESRI forecasts the median home value for the neighborhood in 2015 was \$207,169. The home value is projected to increase to \$257,411 or approximately 34% by 2020. The most expensive homes are typically on navigable water or on large acreage tracts.

There are commercial properties located mostly along the highway. These primarily consist of service type businesses to provide for the area residents. There are some lodging facilities; however, they are mostly oriented to or based upon proximity to an area natural amenity or a particular activity.

#### Recreation

There are a large number of camp grounds and hiking trails in the subject area. The Bob Marshall Wilderness is located near (to the east) of this area. It is a popular destination for hikers and hunters. The numerous lakes, rivers and creeks provide many recreational opportunities. Area winter activities include snowmobiling, cross country skiing, and snowshoeing.

#### Conclusion

The immediate subject neighborhood is a remote area comprised of rural properties that are mostly residential in nature. There is little employment in the area. There is relatively little privately owned land in the area. The area is very attractive for recreation. Recreational opportunities include hiking, mountain biking, Nordic skiing, snowmobiling, hunting, boating, and fishing.

#### SCOPE OF WORK & SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONS

(Page 1 of 5)

#### ATTACHMENT A

#### **DNRC TLMD Real Estate Management Bureau**

Cabin/Home Site Sale Program

Scope of Work for the Appraisal of Potential Property Sales Through the Cabin/Home Site Sales Program: 2018 Seeley Lake North Appraisals

#### CLIENT, INTENDED USERS, PURPOSE AND INTENDED USE:

The clients are the State of Montana, the Montana Board of Land Commissioners (Land Board), and the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC). The intended users are State of Montana, the Montana Board of Land Commissioners (Land Board), the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC), and Lessees Dan Larson and Stephen & Kathleen Thompson. The purpose of the appraisal is to provide the clients with a credible opinion of current fair market value of the appraised subject properties and is intended for use in the decision-making process concerning the potential sale of said subject properties.

#### **DEFINITIONS:**

Current fair market value. (MCA 70-30-313) Current fair market value is the price that would be agreed to by a willing and informed seller and buyer, taking into consideration, but not limited to, the following factors:

- the highest and best reasonably available use and its value for such use, provided current use may not be presumed to be the highest and best use;
- (2) the machinery, equipment, and fixtures forming part of the real estate taken; and
- (3) any other relevant factors as to which evidence is offered.

Highest and best use. The reasonably probable and legal use of vacant land or an improved property, which is physically possible, appropriately supported, financially feasible, and that results in the highest value. The four criteria the highest and best use must meet are legal permissibility, physical possibility, financial feasibility, and maximum profitability.

#### PROPERTY RIGHTS APPRAISED:

State of Montana lands are always to be appraised as if they are in private ownership and could be sold on the open market and are to be appraised in Fee Simple interest. For analysis purposes, properties that have leases or licenses on them are to be appraised with the Hypothetical Condition the leases/licenses do not exist.

### EFFECTIVE DATE OF VALUATION AND DATE OF INSPECTION:

The latest date of inspection by the appraiser will be the effective date of the valuation.

#### SUBJECT PROPERTY DESCRIPTION & CHARACTERISTICS:

The legal descriptions and other characteristics of the state's property that are known by the state will be provided to the appraiser. However, the appraiser should verify, as best as possible, any information provided. Further, should any adverse conditions be found by the appraiser in the course of inspecting the property and neighborhood, or through researching information about the property, neighborhood, and market, those conditions shall be communicated to the clients and may change the scope of work required.

The legal descriptions and other characteristics of the Lessee's property that are known by the Lessee will be provided to the appraiser. However, the appraiser should verify, as best as possible, any information provided. Further, should any adverse conditions be found by the appraiser in the course of inspecting the property, or through researching information about the property, neighborhood and market, those conditions shall be communicated to the clients and may change the scope of work required.

### ASSIGNMENT CONDITIONS:

The appraiser must be a Montana certified general appraiser and be competent to appraise the subject property. The appraisal is to conform to the latest edition of USPAP, and the opinion of value must be credible. The appraiser is to physically inspect the subject properties at a level that will allow the appraiser to render a credible opinion of value about the properties. The appraiser must have knowledge of the comparables through

Rev 201610 Page 12

### (Page 2 of 5)

either personal inspection or with use of sources the appraiser deems reliable, and must have at least viewed the comparables.

The appraiser will consider the highest and best use of the subject properties. (Note: It may be possible that because of the characteristics of a subject property or market, there may be different highest and best uses for different components of the property. Again, that will depend on the individual characteristics of the subject property and correlating market. The appraiser must look at what a typical buyer for the property would consider.)

Along with using the sales comparison approach to value in this appraisal (using comparable sales of like properties in the subject's market or similar markets), the appraiser will also consider the cost and income approaches to value. The appraiser will use those approaches, as applicable, in order to provide a credible opinion of value. Any approaches not used are to be noted, along with a reasonable explanation as to why the approach or approaches were not applicable.

The appraisal will be an Appraisal Report, as per USPAP, that will describe adequately the information analyzed, appraisal methods, and techniques employed, and reasoning that support the analyses, opinions, and conclusions. All hypothetical conditions and extraordinary assumptions must be noted. The appraiser will provide one appraisal report that includes analysis and appraised values of the two (2) cabin sites identified in the Supplemental Appraisal Instructions.

The subject property must be valued with the actual or hypothetical condition that the cabin site or home site has legal access.

All appraisals are to describe the market value trends, and provide a rate of change, for the markets of the subject property. Comparable sales used should preferably be most recent sales available or be adjusted for market trends if appropriate. The comparable sales must be in reasonable proximity to the subject, preferably within the same county or a neighboring county. Use comparable sales of like properties.

The cabin site (land) should be valued under the hypothetical condition that it is vacant raw land, without any site improvements, utilities, or buildings.

The appraisal report must list all real property improvements that were considered when arriving at the appraised value for the improvements. Improvements means a home or residence, outbuildings and structures, sleeping cabins, utilities, water systems, septic systems, docks, landscaping or any other improvements to the raw land.

The appraised value of state-owned land added to the allocated market value of the non-state-owned improvements value will not be greater than total market value of the property, with the hypothetical condition that land and improvements are in fee simple ownership, with one owner.

### APPRAISED VALUES REQUIRED:

The appraisal for each cabin and home site must:

- Include a total market value of the property, with the hypothetical condition that land and improvements are in fee simple ownership, with one owner.
- Include a separate market value for the state-owned cabin or home site (land), under the hypothetical condition of it being vacant raw land exclusive of real property improvements.
- Allocate a separate market value for the non-state-owned improvements, from the total market value derived in 1 above.
- 4. Valuation of the improvements must account for all forms of obsolescence.

Rev 201610 Page 13

### ATTACHMENT B

#### **DNRC TLMD Real Estate Management Bureau**

Cabin/Home Site Sale Program

Supplemental Appraisal Instructions: 2018 Seeley Lake North Appraisals

This Scope of Work and Supplemental Appraisal Instructions are to be included in the appraiser's addendum.

#### Subject Properties Located in the Seeley Lake North in Missoula County:

Sale #	Acres	Legal Description
944	1.420 ±	Lot 7, Seeley Lake North
		T17N-R15W, Sec. 16,
		Missoula County
945	0.959 ±	Lot 9, Seeley Lake North
		T17N-R15W, Sec. 16,
		Missoula County

#### **DNRC Contact Information:**

Kelly Motichka, Lands Section Supervisor

PO Box 201601 Helena, MT 59620-1601 Phone: (406) 444-4165 kmotichka@mt.gov

#### Lessees:

Sale 944	Sale 945
Dan Larson	Stephen & Kathleen Thompson
PO Box 162	HC 31 Box 402
Seeley Lake, MT 59868 (406) 677-2252	Seeley Lake, MT 59868 (406) 499-0330


#### The following will be located in the body of the contract:

The appraisal report will be one document containing the parcel data and the analysis, opinions, and conclusions of value(s) for the parcel. If deemed necessary by the contractor rather than including the specific market data in the appraisal report, a separate addendum may be submitted containing the specific market data as a stand-alone document, which must be reviewed and accepted along with the appraisal, and will be returned to the appraiser for retention in his/her files. The appraiser must submit an electronic copy as well as a printed copy of the appraisal report.

The definition of market value is that as defined in 70-30-313 MCA.

The DNRC will provide access to the state parcel record, as maintained by the land office, including but not limited to aerial photos, land improvements, property issues, surveys (if any), and production history. The local land office will provide contact information to the appraiser, if necessary, in order for the appraiser to obtain access to the property.

Rev 201610 Page 14



# (Page 5 of 5)

