

APPRAISAL REVIEW REPORT

Proposed Cabin Site Sale #937, 938, 1036, 939, 940, 941, 942, & 943

Lots 1, 17, 19, 20, 27, 31, 38, & 40 of COS#6161

Section 4, T16N- R15W, P.M.M.

Missoula County, Montana

Effective Date of Review: June 20, 2018

Date of Valuation: June 20, 2018

Prepared for:

State of Montana

Department of Natural Resources and Conservation

Trust Land Management Division

Real Estate Management Bureau

1539 11th Avenue

Helena, MT 59620

Prepared by:

Mark Harvel, Real Estate Specialist

Department of Natural Resources and Conservation

Trust Land Management Division

Real Estate Management Bureau

1539 11th Avenue

Helena, MT 59620

REVIEWER'S SUMMARY OF SALIENT FACTS

Clients & Intended Users of Review: The clients and intended users are the State of Montana, the Montana Board of Land Commissioners, the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC), David Batcher & Bridget Laird, Olive Sol & Patricia Ann Doty, Brian & Nadine Pedersen, Richard & Elizabeth Meyn, Brian Bertsch, J & E Contracting, Marvin & Lynn Job, Brian & Marcey Campbell, David & Connie Murray.

Intended Use of Review: The purpose of the review is to provide the clients and intended users with an opinion of the credibility of the appraiser's opinion of current fair market value of the appraised properties, and if this appraisal should be reviewed by a Montana certified appraiser. The intended use of the review will be for utilization in the decision making process concerning the setting minimum bid amounts for the potential sale of the subject properties at public auction and setting the maximum amount of compensation for the home site improvements.

Present Owners:

Sale #	Home Site Parcel	Home Site Improvements
937	State of Montana	David Batcher & Bridget Laird
938	State of Montana	Olive Sol & Patricia Ann Doty
1036	State of Montana	Brian & Nadine Pedersen
939	State of Montana	Richard & Elizabeth Meyn
940	State of Montana	Brian Bertsch
941	State of Montana	J & E Contracting
942	State of Montana	Marvin & Lynn Job, Brian & Marcey Campbell
943	State of Montana	David & Connie Murray

Property Interest Appraised: Fee Simple

Subject Property, Acreage, Legal Description, & Location: See appraisal report for a more detailed description of the sites.

Sale #	Acreage	Legal Description, Section/Township/Range	Location	Access
937	1.656 ±	Lot 1, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Boy Scout Rd
938	1.449 ±	Lot 17, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Liberty Ln & access from Boy Scout Rd
1036	1.639 ±	Lot 19, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Liberty Ln & access from Boy Scout Rd
939	1.217 ±	Lot 20, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Liberty Ln & access from Double K Ln & Boy Scout Rd
940	3.148 ±	Lot 27, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Boy Scout Rd

941	2.189 ±	Lot 31, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Boy Scout Rd
942	1.293 ±	Lot 38, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Boy Scout Rd
943	1.291 ±	Lot 40, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Boy Scout Rd

Subject Property Improvements, Buildings and Improvement Description: See appraisal report for a more detailed description of improvements.

Sale #	Year Built DOR	Residence SF/Construction Type/Quality/Condition/Bedrooms/Baths	Other Improvements
937	1988	1,344 sqft/wood frame/good/good/3/1	Yes
938	1966	888 sqft/wood frame/average/good/2/1	Yes
1036	1955 (renovated)	968 sqft/wood frame/average/good/2/1	Yes
939	1970	1,121 sqft/wood frame/average/average2/1	Yes
940	Unknown	1,066 sqft /wood frame & mobile home/average/average/2/1	Yes
941	N/A	N/A	Yes
942	1966 (renovated)	1109 sqft/wood frame/average/good/3/1	Yes
943	1965	551 sqft/wood frame/fair/average/1/1	Yes

Highest and Best Use as Vacant and Improved: Recreational and/or Residential Use

Dates: The effective date of the appraisal and review is June 20, 2018.

Purpose and Intended Use of the Appraisal: The purpose of the appraisal is to provide the clients and intended users with a credible opinion of market values of the subject properties. The report is intended to be used in the decision-making process concerning the potential sale of the subject properties.

Appraiser's Opinion of Value of Real Estate: The appraiser reported the current fair market values for the subject properties as of June 20, 2018:

Sale #	Land Value	Improvements Value	Total Value
937	\$504,000	\$305,00	\$809,000
938	\$30,000	\$103,000	\$133,000
1036	\$30,000	\$119,000	\$149,000
939	\$30,000	\$104,000	\$134,000
940	\$30,000	\$182,000	\$212,000
941	\$30,000	\$2,000	\$32,000
942	\$30,000	\$127,000	\$157,000
943	\$30,000	\$59,000	\$89,000

Reviewer: This reviewer is not a Montana certified appraiser and makes a recommendation to the DNRC if the report should be reviewed by a Montana certified appraiser. This reviewer checks the accuracy of information and analysis of the report.

Reviewer's Comments: This reviewer finds the report appears credible and that it is acceptable. This review is not a stand-alone document and is expressly interrelated to the appraisal report.

Reviewer's Conclusion of Value: It is this reviewer's opinion that the appraiser's opinions of value for the subject sale parcels are appropriately supported.

APPRAISAL REVIEW REPORT

Clients & Intended Users of Review:

The clients and intended users are the State of Montana, the Montana Board of Land Commissioners, the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC), David Batchner & Bridget Laird, Olive Sol & Patricia Ann Doty, Brian & Nadine Pedersen, Richard & Elizabeth Meyn, Brian Bertsch, J & E Contracting, Marvin & Lynn Job, Brian & Marcey Campbell, David & Connie Murray.

Purpose and Intended Use of Review:

The purpose of the review is to provide the clients and intended users with an opinion of the credibility of the appraiser's opinion of current fair market value of the appraised properties, and if this appraisal should be reviewed by a Montana certified appraiser. The intended use of the review will be for utilization in the decision making process concerning the setting minimum bid amounts for the potential sale of the subject properties at public auction and setting the maximum amount of compensation for the home site improvements.

Scope of Review:

The reviewer will be reviewing and making an opinion of the quality of the appraisals, along with providing an opinion of the credibility of the values reported. The reviewer's opinion of appraisal quality and opinion of the credibility of the values reported will be based mostly on the material submitted in the report. Limited use will also be made of information on the cadastral system, (such as legal descriptions, maps, aerial photos and topography maps, etc...), and limited information on the subject properties in this reviewer's file. This reviewer did not perform a physical viewing of the subject properties. The comparable sales were not inspected and were only "viewed" through use of the appraisal report and cadastral system. No in-depth market research was done. The reviewer's valuation opinion is limited to an orientation prospective of the appraiser's opinion, i.e., "the appraiser's opinion of value appears high, low, appropriate, or unsupported", etc. In the development of this reviewer's opinion of the credibility of the value estimated, an extraordinary assumption has been made that the material about the subject properties and on the comparable sales, along with other market information submitted in the appraiser's report is credible. USPAP defines Extraordinary Assumption as: an assumption, directly related to a specific assignment, which, if found to be false, could alter the appraiser's opinions or conclusions. Use of the extraordinary assumption mentioned here and elsewhere in the report might have affected the assignment results. This review is not a stand-alone document and is expressly interrelated to the appraisal report, which the reader may need to refer to for further detail.

Subject Property, Acres, Legal Description, Location & Access:

See appraisal report for a more detailed description of the sites.

Sale #	Acreage	Legal Description, Section/Township/Range	Location	Access
937	1.656 ±	Lot 1, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Boy Scout Rd
938	1.449 ±	Lot 17, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Liberty Ln & access from Boy Scout Rd
1036	1.639 ±	Lot 19, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Liberty Ln & access from Boy Scout Rd
939	1.217 ±	Lot 20, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Liberty Ln & access from Double K Ln & Boy Scout Rd
940	3.148 ±	Lot 27, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Boy Scout Rd

941	2.189 ±	Lot 31, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Boy Scout Rd
942	1.293 ±	Lot 38, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Boy Scout Rd
943	1.291 ±	Lot 40, Seeley Lake Development T16N-R15W, Sec. 4	Missoula County	Driveway from Boy Scout Rd

The State of Montana has owned the properties since 1889.

Subject Property Improvements, Buildings and Improvement Description:

See appraisal report for a more detailed description of improvements.

Sale #	Year Built DOR	Residence SF/Construction Type/Quality/Condition/Bedrooms/Baths	Other Improvements
937	1988	1,344 sqft/wood frame/good/good/3/1	Yes
938	1966	888 sqft/wood frame/average/good/2/1	Yes
1036	1955 (renovated)	968 sqft/wood frame/average/good/2/1	Yes
939	1970	1,121 sqft/wood frame/average/average2/1	Yes
940	Unknown	1,066 sqft /wood frame & mobile home/average/average/2/1	Yes
941	N/A	N/A	Yes
942	1966 (renovated)	1109 sqft/wood frame/average/good/3/1	Yes
943	1965	551 sqft/wood frame/fair/average/1/1	Yes

Appraiser's Estimate of Value:

The appraiser reported the current fair market values for the subject properties as of June 20, 2018:

Sale #	Land Value	Improvements Value	Total Value
937	\$504,000	\$305,00	\$809,000
938	\$30,000	\$103,000	\$133,000
1036	\$30,000	\$119,000	\$149,000
939	\$30,000	\$104,000	\$134,000
940	\$30,000	\$182,000	\$212,000
941	\$30,000	\$2,000	\$32,000
942	\$30,000	\$127,000	\$157,000
943	\$30,000	\$59,000	\$89,000

Date of Appraisal, Estate Appraised & Date of Review:

The effective date of the appraisal is June 20, 2018, and the date of the report is August 13, 2018. Property rights appraised are fee simple estates, using the hypothetical condition that no lease or licenses exist on the home site parcel. USPAP defines hypothetical condition as: that which is contrary to what exists but is supposed for the purpose of analysis. Use of this hypothetical condition mentioned here in the report might have affected the assignment results. The appraisal report provides more detail about the rights appraised

and also the market value definition. The reader is referred to the report for more information. The effective date of the review is June 20, 2018, with the review report date being August 14, 2018.

Client & Intended Users and Purpose & Intended Use of Appraisal Report:

The clients and intended users are the State of Montana, the Montana Board of Land Commissioners, the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC), David Batchner & Bridget Laird, Olive Sol & Patricia Ann Doty, Brian & Nadine Pedersen, Richard & Elizabeth Meyn, Brian Bertsch, J & E Contracting, Marvin & Lynn Job, Brian & Marcey Campbell, David & Connie Murray. The purpose of the appraisal is to provide the clients and intended users with a credible opinion of current fair market values of the subject properties, and for utilization in the decision making process concerning the setting minimum bid amount for the potential sale of the subject properties at public auction and setting the maximum amount of compensation for the home site improvements.

Appraiser's Subject Property Data & Analysis Summary:

The appraiser appears to have provided sufficient information on, and done an adequate analysis of, the subject properties, the neighborhood, and the market in general. To state again, in the development of this reviewer's opinion of the credibility of the values estimated, an extraordinary assumption has been made by this reviewer that this material is credible. This review is not a stand-alone document and is expressly interrelated to the appraisal report.

Appraiser's Highest and Best Use Analysis:

The properties are currently used as rural recreational cabin sites. The appraiser determined that the Highest and Best Use of the subject properties is Recreational and/or Residential Use. This reviewer concurs with the Highest and Best Use determination made. The reader is referred to the appraisal report for the appraiser's analysis and conclusions.

Appraiser's Valuation Process:

The appraiser determined the Income Approach and Cost Approach were not appropriate for these types of properties and applied the Sales Comparison Approach to calculate value indicators for the subject properties, and this reviewer concurs. The appraiser appears to have done a thorough search of the subject's market area for comparable sales, comparable listings, and market data, done a sufficient job in the descriptions and explanations, done a rational examination of the various elements involved with the various value approaches and done a reasonable analysis of the vacant and improved sales information. The reader is referred to the actual appraisal report for the appraiser's descriptions, analysis and conclusions. Again, in the development of this reviewer's opinion of the credibility of the value estimated, this reviewer has made the **extraordinary assumption** that the elements in the value approaches, the comparable vacant land and improved sales, and other market information is credible.

Reviewer:

This reviewer is not a Montana certified appraiser and makes a recommendation to the DNRC if the report should be reviewed by a Montana certified appraiser. This reviewer checks the accuracy of information and analysis of the report.

Comments and Conclusions:

This reviewer finds the report appears credible and that it is acceptable given the intended use. The material appears sufficient, the data appears adequate and relevant, the adjustments appear proper, the appraisal methods and techniques appear appropriate, and the analysis, opinions and conclusions appear appropriate and generally reasonable. This review is not a standalone document and is expressly interrelated to the appraisal report.

Conclusions of Values:

This reviewer did not replicate the steps completed by the original appraiser. This reviewer has concluded that the work under review is credible and in general compliance with the applicable development standards. It is this reviewer's opinion that the appraiser's estimate of values for the subject parcels is appropriately supported.



Mark Harvel, Reviewer/Real Estate Specialist
Real Estate Management Bureau, Trust Land Management Division
Montana Dept. of Natural Resources & Conservation

Dated: August 14, 2018

ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

The certification of the reviewer appearing in the appraisal review report is subject to the following conditions and to such other specific and limiting conditions as set forth in the review report.

1. The reviewer is not a certified appraiser in the State of Montana. The reviewer is familiar with appraisal standards and principles. This review follows the standard review format for land appraisals.
2. The reviewer will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature affecting either the property being appraised or the title to it. Except for information that was provided or uncovered during the research involved in performing the appraisal review and ordinarily employed by real estate appraisers, no opinion is intended to be expressed for legal matters or that would require specialized knowledge or investigation. The reviewer assumes that the title is good and marketable, ("free and clear"), and, therefore, will not render any opinions about the title. The property is appraised on the basis of it being under responsible ownership and/or competent management. Unless otherwise mentioned in this review report, the property is appraised as if owned in fee simple title without encumbrances.
3. The reviewer assumes that the legal descriptions furnished are correct and the reviewer has not surveyed the property. Acreage of land types and measurements of improvements are based on physical inspection of the property or information provided unless otherwise noted in the report. Sketches or drawings in this report are included to assist the reader in visualizing the property. They are not to be considered a legal survey or engineer's plan of any kind. Any and all other sketches, drawings, maps, etc., are also provided for informational purposes only and are not for any legal reference. Access has been investigated only to the satisfaction of the appraiser. No assurance of legal access, or lack of, is expressed or implied as a legal opinion. The same is true of encroachment and trespass issues.
4. The reviewer has noted in the appraisal review report any adverse conditions, (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, depreciation, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, flood plains, etc), observed during the routine inspection of the subject property, and/or adjacent properties, or that was discovered during the normal research involved in performing the appraisal review. Unless otherwise stated in this report, the reviewer has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent conditions of the property, and/or adjacent properties, or adverse environmental conditions, (including, but not limited to, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.), that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied, regarding the condition of the property. The reviewer will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the reviewer is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, the appraisal review report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property. Concerns about soil conditions, actual condition of improvements or systems, or property conformity to zoning, building, fire, ADA, and other such applicable laws, regulations, rules and codes, should all be referred to the proper experts.
5. The reviewer is not an expert in minerals, mineral rights, timber, timber volumes, crops, farm programs or water requirements and rights. Unless otherwise noted, only surface rights will be appraised or reviewed with no value specifically allotted to the mineral rights or deposits. Timber values, if considered a part of the report, will rely on proper experts, as will farm programs. Typically, growing crops are not considered in the appraisal report. Usually it is assumed the water rights have been secured or perfected, with their value generally considered an inherent part of the land value, with any deviation from this to be included in the report. Rental and lease agreements, conservation plans, options and other situations may also require reliance on proper experts.
6. The reviewer has obtained information, opinions, estimates, surveys, plans, maps and information on regulations, restrictions and studies, etc., from various sources including the property owner, agent, or manager, as well as from real estate professionals, government agencies, appraisers and other sources. Unless otherwise noted, the sources are considered reliable and the information is complete and correct. However,

the reviewer does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of such items that were furnished by other parties.

7. The reviewer assumes no responsibility or liability for future conditions, about which information was not supplied or readily available or was not public knowledge at the time the appraisal is made, nor for the effect of events, which might concern the value of the subject property subsequent to date of appraisal. Montana is a non-disclosure state and as such sales prices of real estate are not publicly recorded. Therefore, with few consolidated sources of sales information existing, and no obligation to release or verify information by many of the parties associated with the transactions, sales of comparable properties may not be known by this reviewer, and absolute verification of the sales found may not be possible.


8. The scope of work has been disclosed in the report and is specific to the needs of the clients and intended users and the intended use. All extraordinary assumptions and hypothetical conditions, including, but not limited to, satisfactory completion and repairs or alterations, will be noted in the appraisal report. It is assumed there will be consistency with all the plans, estimates, specifications, planned work, projections, or requirements, initially provided. Deviation from those items may affect the value reported. Great effort has been taken to eliminate all error in identifying, developing and processing the report. However, if errors or omissions are found, they will have to be reviewed to see if they will affect the opinion of value reported.

9. The reviewer will not disclose the contents of this appraisal review report except as provided for in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, and/or applicable federal, state, or local laws, rules or regulations. The reviewer is not obligated to/by any unauthorized use of this report by third parties or the "extraction" of only parts of the report and attempting to apply those parts in any other process or to reach a conclusion.

10. It is assumed that there has not been any significant change, physical or otherwise, to the subject property between the inspection date and date the report is signed.

REVIEWER'S CERTIFICATION: This reviewer certifies and agrees that:

1. The reviewer is not a certified appraiser in the State of Montana. The reviewer is familiar with appraisal standards and principles. This review follows the standard review format for land appraisals.
2. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and review, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in the appraisal review report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
3. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal review or any future or anticipated appraisal reviews was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or develop or present any analysis, opinions or conclusions supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum or maximum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific event or action, or the subsequent event directly related to the use of this appraisal review report.
4. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value in the development of my opinion of market value for the subject property. I have noted in the appraisal review report any adverse conditions, (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, depreciation, the presence of hazardous materials, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing the appraisal review. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value to the extent that I had market evidence to support them, and have commented about the effect of the conditions on the marketability of the subject property.
5. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from the appraisal review report that would have an impact on value and I believe, to the best of my knowledge, that all statements and information in the appraisal review report are true and correct. I have stated in this appraisal review report only my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the limiting conditions specified in this appraisal review report.
6. To the best of my knowledge as a Real Estate Specialist, I have performed this appraisal review in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. I have personally analyzed and prepared all the conclusions and opinions about the real estate that are set forth in this appraisal review report. If I have relied on significant real property appraisal or review assistance from any individual(s) in the performance of the appraisal review or preparation of the appraisal review report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in the appraisal review report. I certify that any individual(s) so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in the appraisal review report; therefore, any change made to the appraisal review is unauthorized and I take no responsibility for it.



Mark Harvel, Reviewer/Real Estate Specialist
Real Estate Management Bureau, Trust Land Management Division
Montana Dept. of Natural Resources & Conservation

Dated: August 14, 2018