APPRAISAL REPORT OF:

LOT 1A, COS #6021 MISSOULA, MONTANA



PREPARED FOR:

State of Montana, Montana Board of Land Commissioners, & Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation P.O. Box 201601 Helena, Montana 59620-1601 Attention: Ms. Emily Cooper, Lands Section Supervisor

MARKET VALUES AS OF: July 13, 2016

PREPARED BY:

Elliott M. Clark, MAI & Christopher D. Clark Clark Real Estate Appraisal 704-C East 13th Street, #509 Whitefish, Montana 59937 (406) 862-8151



704-C East 13th Street, #509 Whitefish, Montana 59937

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

September 2, 2016

Ms. Emily Cooper, Lands Section Supervisor State of Montana, Montana Board of Land Commissioners, & Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation P.O. Box 201601 Helena, Montana 59620-1601

Re: Lot 1A of COS #6021, Missoula, Montana

Dear Ms. Cooper:

In compliance with your request, Elliott M. Clark, MAI and Christopher D. Clark viewed the above referenced properties on July 13, 2016. Applicable information regarding zoning was reviewed and trends in real estate activity in the area were researched and analyzed. The property viewings, reviews, and analyses were made in order to prepare the attached summary appraisal report.

There are three approaches to value in the appraisal of real property. They are the Cost, Sales Comparison, and Income Approaches. All three approaches and their applicability will be discussed in greater detail in the Scope of the Appraisal and the Appraisal Process sections of this report.

The values of the fee simple interests in the individual subject lot, the individual subject improvements, and the site and improvements considered together are estimated in this report. These estimates were made after thorough study of available market data and other data felt to be pertinent to this appraisal. The attached summary appraisal report exhibits the factual data found and reasoning used in forming our opinions of value.

The values are based on the assumptions that all necessary governmental approvals have been obtained and will be maintained, and that the property owners will exhibit sound management and sales practices. The values are based upon the **Hypothetical Conditions** that each property is a legal parcel and that each parcel has legal and adequate access.

Telephone (406) 862-8151 • www.clarkappraisal.us • FAX (406) 862-8394

We were not provided with soil studies for the subject site. We assume that the soils are capable of supporting construction similar to that in similar area subdivisions without unusual soil preparation. We are also unaware of the presence of any hazardous material, groundwater contamination, or toxic materials that may be on or in the subject site. Should any of these conditions be present, the values stated in this report could be affected.

We certify that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, the statements and opinions contained in this appraisal report are full true and correct. We certify that we have no interest in the subject property and that neither the employment to make this appraisal nor the compensation is contingent upon the value estimates of the property.

This appraisal assignment was not made nor was the appraisal rendered on the basis of requested minimum valuations or specific valuations. This appraisal is subject to the attached Certification of Appraisal and Statement of Limiting Conditions. We further certify that this appraisal was made in conformity with the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics of the Appraisal Institute and the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Foundation (USPAP).

Respectfully submitted,

Elliott M. Clark, MAI

Montana Certified General Real Estate Appraiser

Elliott M. Clark

REA-RAG-LIC-683

Christopher D. Clark

Montana Licensed Real Estate Appraiser

REA-RAL-LIC-841

16-042ec

Telephone (406) 862-8151 • www.clarkappraisal.us • FAX (406) 862-8394

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	2
SUMMARY OF SALIENT DATA AND CONCLUSIONS	5
CERTIFICATION OF APPRAISAL	6
GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS	8
IDENTIFICATION OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY	12
INTENDED USE & INTENDED USERS OF THE APPRAISAL	12
PURPOSE OF THE APPRAISAL	12
DATE OF PROPERTY VIEWING	12
EFFECTIVE DATE OF MARKET VALUE	12
PROPERTY RIGHTS APPRAISED	12
DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE	13
STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP & USE HISTORY	13
PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	
GENERAL DESCRIPTION	
ACCESS AND VIEWS	
IMPROVEMENTSESTRICTIONS, AND ENCROACHMENTS	
ZONING	
ASSESSMENT/REAL PROPERTY TAXES	17
TOPOGRAPHY, VEGETATION, WETLANDS, SOILS AND DRAINAGE	
UTILITIES	
PUBLIC SAFTEY AND SERVICESSITE SUITABILITY	
SUBJECT MARKET ANALYSIS	
HIGHEST AND BEST USE	
THE APPRAISAL PROCESS	
COMPARABLE LOT SALES	32
COMPARABLE HOME SALES	38
SITE VALUE ESTIMATE	43
IMPROVEMENT VALUE ESTIMATE	46
RECAPITULATION OF VALUE INDICATIONS	
QUALIFICATIONS OF THE APPRAISERS	50
APPRAISERS LICENSES	53
ADDENDUM	
NATIONAL ECONOMIC DATA	55
STATE ECONOMIC DATA	
MISSOULA COUNTY DATACITY OF MISSOULA DATA	
SCOPE OF WORK	

SUMMARY OF SALIENT DATA AND CONCLUSIONS

IDENTIFICATION OF CLIENT/INTENDED USE

Client/Intended User State of Montana, State of Montana Board of Land Commissioners,

Montana Department of Natural Resources & Conservation/Client

Agencies & Individual Lessees Noted in the Report

Purpose/Intended Use Estimate Market Values/Potential Sale Purposes

Property Owner(s) Sites: State of Montana/Improvements: MMP Investors

SUBJECT PROPERTY

Property Identifications Lot 1A, COS #6021, Grant Creek Area, Missoula, Montana

Site Size 1.534 Acres

Description of ImprovementsSee Property DescriptionAssessor Number(s)See Property Description

Census Tract 30-063-0014.00

Flood Zone Zone X, Map Panel 30063C1205E - Dated 6/6/2015

Zoning None

HIGHEST AND BEST USE(S)

As If Vacant Residential As Improved Residential

DATES, VALUE CONCLUSION(S) AND ASSIGNMENT CONDITION(S)

Report Date September 2, 2016
Inspection Date(s) July 13, 2016
Effective Date of Value(s) July 13, 2016
Property Rights Appraised Fee Simple

Estimate of Market Values

Individual Lot Values \$165,000 Individual Improvement Values \$180,000 Individual Total Market Values \$345,000

Extraordinary Assumption(s) None

Hypothetical Condition(s) See Scope of the Appraisal

MARKETING & EXPOSURE TIME

The appraised value for the subject property as improved is based upon a 3 to 6 month marketing time and a 3 to 6 month exposure time. Estimated marketing and exposure times are addressed in detail in the Subject Market Analysis portion of this report.

APPRAISER INFORMATION

Appraiser(s) Elliott M. Clark, MAI & Christopher D. Clark

CERTIFICATION OF APPRAISAL

We certify that, to the best of our knowledge and belief,

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are our unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- Elliott M. Clark, MAI and Christopher D. Clark have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- We have performed no services, as appraisers or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.
- We have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- Our engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- The compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of predetermined values or directions in value that favor the cause of the client, the amounts of the value opinions, the attainment of stipulated results, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- Our analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- Elliott M. Clark, MAI and Christopher D. Clark both personally viewed the subject property.
- No one provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the persons signing this certification.

- The reported analyses, opinions and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Code of Professional Ethics and Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Institute.
- The use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.
- As of the date of this report Elliott M. Clark, MAI has completed the continuing education requirements of the Appraisal Institute.

Elliott M. Clark

Dated Signed: September 2, 2016 Elliott M. Clark, MAI MT REA-RAG-LIC-683 Date Signed: September 2, 2016

and pale

Christopher D. Clark MT REA-RAL-LIC-841

GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

The appraisal is subject to the following conditions and to such other specific and limiting conditions as are set forth in the appraisal report.

- 1. The legal description(s) from the most recently recorded deed(s) or plat(s) are assumed to be correct.
- 2. The appraisers assume no responsibility for matters legal in character, nor do they render any opinion as to the title, which is assumed to be marketable. All existing liens, encumbrances and assessments have been disregarded and the properties are appraised, as though free and clear, under responsible ownership and competent management.
- 3. Any sketches in this report indicate approximate dimensions and are included to assist the reader in visualizing the property.
- 4. The appraisers have not made a survey, engineering studies or soil analysis of the property and assume no responsibility in connection with such matters or for engineering, which might be required to discover such factors.
- 5. Unless otherwise noted herein, it is assumed that there are no encroachments, zoning or restriction violations associated with the subject property.
- 6. Information, estimates and opinions contained in this report are obtained from sources considered reliable and believed to be true and correct; however, no liability for them can be assumed by the appraisers.
- 7. The appraisers are not required to give testimony or attendance in court by reason of this appraisal, with reference to the properties in question, unless arrangements have been made previously therefore.
- 8. The division of the land and improvements (if applicable) as valued herein is applicable only under the program of utilization shown. These separate valuations are invalidated by any other application.
- 9. On all appraisals, subject to satisfactory completion, repairs or alterations, the appraisal report and value conclusion(s) are contingent upon completion of the improvements in a workmanlike manner.
- 10. Disclosure of the contents of this appraisal report is governed by the By-Laws and Regulations of the Appraisal Institute. Except as hereinafter provided, the party for whom this appraisal report was prepared may distribute copies of this report, in its entirety, to such third parties as may be selected by the party for whom this appraisal report was prepared; however, selected portions of this appraisal report shall not be given to third parties without prior written consent of the signatories of this appraisal report. Further, neither all nor any part of this appraisal report shall be disseminated to the general public by the use of advertising media, public

- relations media, sales media or other media for public communication without the prior written consent of the signatory of this appraisal report.
- 11. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) became effective January 26, 1992. The appraisers have not made a specific compliance survey and analysis of the subject property to determine whether or not it is in conformity with the various detailed requirements of the ADA. It is possible that a compliance survey of the property together with a detailed analysis of the requirements of the ADA could reveal that the property is not in compliance with one or more of the requirements of the act. If so, this fact could have a negative effect upon the values of the property. Since the appraisers have no direct evidence relating to this issue, we did not consider possible noncompliance with the requirements of ADA in estimating the values of the property.
- 12. The appraisers are not experts at the identification of environmental hazards. This assignment does not cover the presence or absence of such substances. Any visually detected or obviously known environmental problems affecting the property will be reported and their impact on the value will be discussed.
- 13. This appraisal assignment was not made nor was the appraisal rendered on the basis of a requested minimum valuation or specific valuation.
- 14. The appraisers are not building inspectors and this report does not constitute a building inspection for the subject property. Any obvious defects are noted (if applicable); however, this report is not to be relied upon for detection of unseen defects for the subject property.
- 15. This appraisal was prepared for the clients and the intended users named in this report. The analysis and conclusions included in the report are based upon a specific Scope of Work determined by the clients and the appraisers, and are not valid for any other purpose or for any additional users other than noted in this report.

SCOPE OF THE APPRAISAL

The subject property is Lot 1A of Certificate of Survey #6021, Missoula County, Montana.

The appraisers were asked to estimate the values of the fee simple interests in the site and improvements for the subject property for decisions regarding potential sale of the property.

Information about the subject property has been collected and analyzed and a narrative appraisal report for the subject property has been prepared. The scope of the appraisal requires compliance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of the Appraisal Foundation and the Guide Notes to the Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice adopted by the Appraisal Institute. The standards contain binding requirements and specific guidelines that deal with the procedures to be followed in developing an appraisal, analysis, or opinion. The Uniform Standards set the requirements to communicate the appraiser's analyses, opinions and conclusions in a manner that will be meaningful and not misleading in the marketplace.

Scope of Property Viewing

Elliott M. Clark, MAI and Christopher D. Clark of Clark Real Estate Appraisal viewed the subject property on July 13, 2016. We measured the improvements on the subject property and walked the subject site.

Scope of Research

The history of ownership, historical uses and current intended uses were researched via the Montana Department of Natural Resources, Missoula County Records, and the area Multiple Listing Service.

Area trends in development were researched based upon information from various offices of the Missoula County; inspections of surrounding properties by the appraisers; interviews with area developers, property owners and property managers; and research regarding current and projected demographics in the immediate and greater subject market area.

Comparable market data was obtained through a combination of public record and area realtors, developers and property owners. Every effort was made to verify all comparable data. **Montana is a non-disclosure state and realty transfer sales price information is not available via public record.**

Extraordinary Assumption(s)

An **Extraordinary Assumption** is defined in 2016-2017 version of the Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) published by the Appraisal Standards Board to be "an assumption, directly related to a specific assignment, as of the effective date of the assignment results which, if found to be false, could alter the appraiser's opinions or conclusions."

There are no **Extraordinary Assumptions** associated with the values concluded in this report.

Hypothetical Conditions

A **Hypothetical Condition** is defined in 2016-2017 version of the Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) published by the Appraisal Standards Board to be "a condition, directly related to a specific assignment, which is contrary to what is known by the appraiser to exist on the effective date of the assignment results, but is used for purpose of analysis."

The values concluded in this report for the subject properties are based upon the **Hypothetical Conditions** that each property was a legal parcel as of the report effective date and that there was legal and adequate access to each property.

Highest & Best Use

Our opinion of the highest and best uses for the subject property were developed using the research collected relative to the subject property, area development trends, and demographics. The information collected is considered comprehensive and provided a credible basis for a carefully considered analysis. The appraisal process presented was based upon the highest and best use conclusions for the subject property.

Appraisal Process

The Sales Comparison Approach is developed to determine the value of the subject site as if vacant. This is typically the most reliable approach for determining value of vacant sites.

All three approaches to value were considered for the valuation of the subject property as improved. Most market participants interested in purchasing single family residences in the subject market area do not base decisions upon the depreciated cost of the improvements. For this reason the Cost Approach is not considered applicable and was not developed in this report. Single family residences in the subject area are not typically purchased for income generation. For this reason, the Income Approach is not considered applicable and was not developed in this report. The Sales Comparison Approach is developed to determine the value of the subject property as improved.

Environmental

The appraisers do not possess the requisite expertise and experience with respect to the detection and measurement of hazardous substances, unstable soils, or freshwater wetlands. Therefore, this assignment does not cover the presence or absence of such substances as discussed in the Limiting Conditions section of this report. However, any visual or obviously known problems affecting the properties will be reported and their impact on the value will be discussed.

General Data Sources

Individuals and offices consulted in order to complete this appraisal include the following:

- Missoula County Various Offices;
- Montana Department of Revenue;
- Various Area Real Estate Agents, Property Managers, Property Owners, Tenants, and Builders

Specific data sources are noted in the body of the report where appropriate.

IDENTIFICATION OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY

The subject property is identified Lot 1A of COS # 6021 in the Northeast Quarter of Section 16, Township 14 North, Range 19 West in Missoula County, Montana.

INTENDED USE & INTENDED USERS OF THE APPRAISAL

It is understood that the intended use of this appraisal is for decisions regarding possible sale of the subject properties. This report was prepared for the, the client, (State of Montana, Montana Board of Land Commissioners, & Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation) and is their exclusive property. The Lessee for the subject lot (MMP Investors) are additional intended users of this report. No additional parties may rely upon this report without the express written consent from both the appraisers and the client.

PURPOSE OF THE APPRAISAL

The purpose of this appraisal is to estimate the market values of the fee simple interests in the subject property for possible sale purposes.

DATE OF PROPERTY VIEWING

July 13, 2016

EFFECTIVE DATE OF MARKET VALUE

July 13, 2016

PROPERTY RIGHTS APPRAISED

The values indicated in this report are for the **fee simple** interests in the subject property. The fee simple interest is full, complete, and unencumbered ownership subject only to the governmental rights of taxation, police power, eminent domain and escheat. This is the greatest right and title, which an individual can hold in real property.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE

At the request of the client, the definition of market value utilized in this report is the Current Fair Market Value as defined in MCA 70-30-313 which is as follows;

Current Fair Market Value is the price that would be agreed to by a willing and informed seller and buyer, taking into consideration, but not limited to, the following factors:

- 1) the highest and best reasonable available use and its value for such use, provided current use may not be presumed to be the highest and best use;
- 2) the machinery, equipment, and fixtures forming part of the real estate taken; and
- 3) any other relevant factors as to which evidence is offered

STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP & USE HISTORY

The subject site is owned by the State of Montana. The improvements are owned by the Lessee, MMP Investors.

USE/MARKETING HISTORY

The Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation manages hundreds of residential cabin sites which are owned by the State of Montana. The subject lot is in this program. According to the available information, the subject lot has been used for recreational/residential purposes for the three years prior to the report effective date.

According to our research, the subject improvements transferred via a Sherriff's Deed recorded as Document # 201521476 on November 12, 2015. We do not know the consideration for this sale.


The subject improved transferred in 2010 via the area MLS for a price of \$142,000. The subject improvements were marketed via the area MLS from June 2013 to June 2014 for \$239,000. This listing expired in June of 2014. The subject improvements were not available for sale via the area MLS as of the report effective date.

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

GENERAL DESCRIPTION


The subject property is are Lot 1A of Certificate of Survey #6021 in Section 16, Township 14 North, Range 19 West, in Missoula County, Montana. The subject site totals 1.534 acres. The site has an irregular shape and the property slopes up toward the east side of the site.

The subject property is identified as Lot 1A on Page 1 of COS# 6021 below;



Additional images of the subject site are included on the following pages.

Enlarged View of COS #6021





Area Topographic Map

ACCESS AND VIEWS

The subject property is accessed via Grant Creek Drive. According to COS #5747, the subject portion of Grant Creek Road is an "unrecorded encumbrance". The road is paved to the subject site and appears to be traveled as a public road. The subject property abuts two privately owned parcels and a residential parcel leased by the State of Montana. The subject site has wooded views.

IMPROVEMENTS

The subject improvements consists of a 1,975 square foot single family residence with a 976 square foot basement constructed in 1984. The residence is wood framed with a metal roof. The residence includes 418 square foot deck, 47 square foot stoop and walkway, 48 square foot covered entry, and a 36 square foot balcony. The site includes fencing and a 204 square foot storage building.

The residence floor plan above grade consists of a living room, a kitchen, 2 bedrooms, two bathrooms and a loft area. The finished basement area includes a kitchen, a living room, a bedroom, and a bath with a laundry area. Interior finishes include wood, carpet and tile flooring, drywall walls, and drywall ceilings. The kitchen includes granite and tile covered counter tops. The residence is heated by hot water baseboard type heaters.

The overall construction quality is considered to be good and the overall condition was average as of the report effective date.

EASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS, AND ENCROACHMENTS


No easements are noted on the recorded COS for the subject property. If any easements, restrictions, or encroachments exist for this property, the value concluded in this report may be affected.

ZONING

The subject property is located in a CA-3, Residential Zoning District of Missoula County. The intent of this zoning district is below;

"This district provides for low density residential development of an open and rural character in areas best suited for such purposes and provides for environmental protections of those areas that are fragile and cannot support more intensive urbanized activities due to physiographic, hydrologic, biologic, and economic conditions."

An image depicting the subject portion of the Missoula County GIS Map with a zoning overlay is included on the following page.



The allowable use are; single family dwelling, mobile homes on lots with 5 acres or more, accessory buildings and uses, and agriculture on lots with 5 acres or more.

Conditionally permitted uses are; home occupation or community residential facility serving less than nine persons.

The maximum density is 1 dwelling per 5 acres. The minimum lot width is 1/3 of the average depth. The front, side, and rear setbacks are all 50 feet. There is not maximum building height.


ASSESSMENT/REAL PROPERTY TAXES

The subject lot was tax exempt as of the report effective date; however, the lot is valued by the Montana Department of Revenue to assist with determination of lease rates. The improvements on each site are taxable. The 2015 tax bill for the subject improvements was \$2,065.82 and taxable market value for the subject improvements for 2015 (as per the Montana Department of Revenue) was \$223,070.

TOPOGRAPHY, VEGETATION, WETLANDS, SOILS AND DRAINAGE

The subject site slopes up relatively steeply along the east side of the site. A topographical map of the subject site was included on a prior page in this section of this report.

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Zone Map (Map Panel #30063C1205E), the subject property is located in Zone X. Zone X is an area designated as low to moderate flood risk. An exhibit derived from the FEMA flood map panel is below;



The subject lot all includes native vegetation and landscaped areas. We assume that drainage and storm water runoff is adequate and was properly designed and engineered for the subject site. We have not been provided with soil studies for the subject site. We assume the soils can accommodate the type of construction, which is typically seen in the subject area.

We have not been provided with an environmental audit for the subject site and assume there are no toxic or hazardous materials, groundwater contamination or unstable soils that may be on or in the subject lot. Should any of these conditions be present, the values concluded in this report may be affected.

UTILITIES

There is electricity and telephone service along Grant Creek Drive. The subject lot had access and was connected to electricity as of the report effective date. The subject lot includes a wells and septic system. It is assumed that the septic systems were in working order as of the report effective date. We located three septic permits associated with the subject property via Missoula County. These permits were dated from 1997 to 2001.

PUBLIC SAFTEY AND SERVICES


Police, fire protection, and other services are provided by Missoula County and the area rural fire district.

SITE SUITABILITY

The subject lot is legally and physically suited for residential improvements.

SUBJECT BUILDING SKETCHES & PHOTOGRAPHS

LOT 1A - BUILDING SKETCH



LOT 1A - PHOTOGRAPHS



West Side of House on Lot 1A



North Side of House



South Side of House



East Side of House



Ground Level Bedroom



Entry on South Side of House



Stairway in Entry Area



Den in Basement



Basement Kitchen



Main Floor Kitchen







Bedroom





Bathroom





Living Room



Upper Level Loft Area



2nd Floor Bedroom



Balcony from 2^{nd} Floor Bedroom



Deck on Main Level of House



North Driveway Entrance from Deck Looking West



South Driveway Entrance Looking West



Storage Shed



Driveway Looking West across Grant Creek Rd.



Southeast Property Boundary Marker



View West along South Property Boundary



Fencing on East End of Property Looking North



Lot 1A Sloping Upward toward East Property Boundary



Sloping Terrain at East End of Lot 1A



View West along North Property Boundary



House and Lot 1A Interior from East Portion of Property



View Looking Northwest along North Property Boundary



North Property Boundary Marker Looking South



View Looking Southeast along North Property Boundary



View Looking Southwest along West Property Boundary



Grant Creek Road Looking Northeast



Grant Creek Road Looking Southwest

SUBJECT MARKET ANALYSIS

Detailed county and local demographic and economic information is included in the Addendum of this report. General national and statewide data is included as well.

Subject Productivity Analysis

General Property Description

The subject sites is 1.543 acres. The subject site includes residential improvements. The subject property is in the Grant Creek area of greater Missoula and is approximately 7 miles northwest of the central business district of Missoula.

Area Land Use Trends

The subject neighborhood is best described as rural/residential. The area consists of a combination of platted residential subdivision lots, privately owned acreage tracts, and land available for public use. Most residences are occupied by full time residents of Missoula.

Potential Users of Subject Property

The potential users of the subject property would be market participants seeking to own a year-round residence in the greater Missoula area but in a rural/residential neighborhood.

Demand Analysis

Analysis of historical activity (also known as Inferred Demand Analysis) can shed light on future demand. We conducted searches of the area MLS for sales (vacant and improved) similar to the subject properties. While we located sales of similar vacant sites, there were not a sufficient number of sales of similar vacant sites to prepare a market analysis.

The subject properties as improved would appeal to market participants seeking single family residences on parcels with 1.00 to 3.00 acres in Missoula. We did locate a sufficient number of improved residential properties on such parcels to prepare to prepare a credible analysis.

Montana is a non-disclosure state and every sale does not transfer via the area MLS; however, the MLS data is considered to provide an accurate depiction of general trends in real estate transfers. The results of our search are below;

Missoula Residential Improved Properties - Market Activity			
	Homes on L	ots 1.0 to 3.0 Acres in Size	
Year # of Sales Median Sales Price DOM			
2013	40	\$331,950	97
2014	64	\$354,750	133
2015	56	\$380,000	141
2016 YTD	65	\$375,000	147
ACTIVES	48	\$365,250	94

This data indicates that the median home price was increased each year from 2013 through 2015. There is not sufficient information regarding 2016 to make a final conclusion regarding annual median home price.

Competitive Supply

There were 48 active listings of homes on sites with 1.0 to 3.0 acres in the Missoula area as of the report effective date.

Interaction of Supply and Demand

Based upon the average sales volume from 2013 through 2015, there is an approximately 11 month supply of homes for sale on lots with 1.0 to 3.0 acres or less in Missoula.

Subject Marketability Conclusion

There are typically a limited number of properties available for sale each in the subject neighborhood. The subject property is considered to have superior marketability compared to much of the competitive properties.

Estimated Marketing and Exposure Times

The 56 homes sales in Missoula that closed in 2015 using the defined search parameters were marketed for an average of 141 days. The 65 home sales in Missoula that closed in 2016 Year-to-Date were marketed for 147 days. A **marketing time** between 3 to 6 months is appropriate for the subject property as improved. If the subject property as improved had sold on the effective date of this report, at the appraised value indicated in this report, 3 to 6 month **exposure time** would have been reasonable.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE

The four basic economic principles of supply and demand, substitution, balance and conformity are considered to be the basic tools of analyzing the relationship between economic trends and an appraisal. Market forces create market value. For this reason, the analysis of highest and best use is very important. When the purpose of an appraisal is to estimate market value, a highest and best use analysis identifies the most profitable, competitive use to which a property can be used.

According to <u>The Appraisal of Real Estate</u> – 14th Edition by the Appraisal Institute, Highest and Best Use is defined as follows:

"The reasonably probable and legal use of vacant land or an improved property that is physically possible, appropriately supported, financially feasible, and that results in the highest value."

The analysis for Highest and Best Use considers first the reasonably probable uses of a site that can be legally undertaken. The final Highest and Best Use determination is based on the following four criteria:

Legally Permissible:

The availability of land for a particular use in terms of existing regulations and restrictions, deed restrictions, lease encumbrances, or any other legally binding codes, restrictions, regulations, or interests.

Physically Possible:

The physical adaptability of the site for a particular use.

Financially Feasible:

All uses that are legally permissible and physically possible that are likely to produce an income, or return, equal or greater than the amount needed to satisfy operating expenses, financial obligations, and capital amortization are considered to be financially feasible.

Maximally Productive:

Of the financially feasible uses, the use that produces the highest net return or the highest present worth.

The Highest and Best Use analysis and conclusions for the subject property are included on the following page.

AS IF VACANT

Legally Permissible

The subject lot is in the CA-3, Residential zoning district of Missoula County. Legally permissible uses are; single family dwelling, mobile homes, accessory buildings, and agriculture (for lots with 5 acres or more).

Physically Possible

There is sufficient space on the subject site for a single family residence and/or a manufactured home, and related outbuildings. There is not sufficient space on the site for agricultural use.

Financially Feasible

Most lots in the subject neighborhood include single family residences. Use of the subject lot for construction of a single family residence is financially feasible.

Maximally Productive

Based upon the analysis of the legally permissible, physically possible, and financially feasible uses of the subject lot, the maximally productive highest and best use for the subject lot as if vacant, is for construction of a single family residence.

AS IMPROVED

The subject property is improved with a single family residence. The subject residence is considered to have similar marketability compared to other improved properties in the neighborhood. Alteration of the subject residence for any use other than as a single family home would require large capital expenditures. Continued use as single family residence for the subject property is the highest and best use as improved.

THE APPRAISAL PROCESS

In the foregoing sections of this report, we have examined and discussed the subject property. To arrive at estimates of market values for the subject property, it is necessary to collect and analyze all available data in the market which might tend to indicate the values of the subject property. The subject property must be compared to similar properties that can be constructed, purchased, or from which a similar monetary return may be received.

APPROACHES IN THE VALUATION OF REAL PROPERTY

The three recognized approaches in the valuation of real property are Sales Comparison, Cost Approach and Income Capitalization. According to The Appraisal of Real Estate – 14th Edition by the Appraisal Institute, the approaches are described as follows:

Cost Approach

In the Cost Approach, value is estimated as the current cost of reproducing or replacing the improvements (including an appropriate entrepreneurial incentive or profit), minus the loss in value from depreciation, plus land value.

Sales Comparison Approach

In the Sales Comparison Approach, value is indicated by recent sales of comparable properties in the market.

Income Capitalization Approach

In the Income Capitalization Approach, value is indicated by a property's earning power based on the capitalization of income.

Each of the three approaches to value requires data collection from the market and each is governed equally by the principle of substitution. This principle holds "when several similar or commensurate commodities, goods or services are available, the one with the lowest price will attract the greatest demand and widest distribution."

The Sales Comparison Approach is developed to determine the value of the subject site as if vacant. This is typically the most reliable approach for determining values of vacant sites.

All three approaches to value were considered for the valuation of the subject property as improved. Most market participants interested in purchasing single family residences in the subject market area do not base decisions upon the depreciated cost of the improvements. For this reason the Cost Approach is not considered applicable and was not developed in this report. Most market participants do not make purchasing decisions for residences in the subject market area on potential income producing ability. For this reason, the Income Approach is not considered applicable and was not developed in this report. The Sales Comparison Approach is developed to determine the value of the subject property as improved.


Comparable lot sales and home sales are presented in the following two sections of this report. After presentation of the comparables, the subject site and improvements are valued for the property.

COMPARABLE LOT SALES

We conducted a search for sales of sites in the size ranges of the subject site and in the Grant Creek area or similar neighborhoods in Missoula. We selected the most recent and/or most similar of these sales as comparables for the subject lots. The recognized unit of comparison is price per lot. These comparables are described on the table below;

Sale #	Address	City	Neighborhood	Front Feet	Sale Date	Sale or Contract Price	Value of Improvements	Sales Price Less Improvements
1	9560 Keegan Trails	Missoula	Grant Creek	1.53	2015	\$220,000	\$0	\$220,000
2	5114 Elk Ridge Rd	Missoula	Rattlesnake	2.97	2015	\$250,000	\$10,000	\$240,000
3	NHN Polk St	Missoula	Rattlesnake	0.93	2015	\$159,900	\$0	\$159,900
4	Lot 15, ⊟k Ridge Rd	Missoula	Rattlesnake	1.29	2015	\$165,000	\$5,000	\$160,000
Pending Sale 1	7855 Lime Springs Trail	Missoula	Grant Creek	4.34	PENDING	\$165,000	\$0	\$165,000

A complete description of each comparable is included in the individual land comparable writeups provided in this section of this report. A map depicting the location of the subject properties in relation to the comparable sales is below;



	COMPARABLE S	SALE INFORMATION		*
		Location City/State County Assessor Number Zoning Site Size: Acres Square Feet Date of Sale Sales Price Less Cost of Improvements' Sales Price Adjusted MLS #	1.530 66,647 October 23, 201 \$220,000	-RR1 Residential
	ANALYS	IS OF SALE		
Price per Acre	\$143,791	Price per Square Foot Price Per Front Foot	\$3.30 #VALUE	
	TRANSFER	INFORMATION		
Grantor	Bruce Fuglei & Bridget Byrnes	Grantee	Steven W. Timn	nons & Barbara L
Type of Instrument	Warranty Deed	Document # Marketing Time	201520090 569 Days on Market	
Financing/Conditions	Conventional/Market	Verified By	Patsy Plaggemeyer, Listing Age	
Legal Description	Lot 36A of Grantland Five Lot 36 & Grantland 6 Lot 39	Intended Use/Comments	Purchased for Residential Use	
Section/Township/Range	s21/T14N/R19W			
	PROPER	TY DETAILS		
Access	Paved Road	Vious	Creek, Woods	
Topography	Level, Sloped	View Lot Dimensions	144.62' x 388.05' x 205.52' x 36	
Flood Plain	According to Flood Map # 30063C1185E, the property is not in an area of Elevated Flood Risk.	Improvements	144.62' x 388.05' x 205.52' x 368.	
Feet of Water Frontage	Approximately 150' of Dark Horse Creek runs though lot.	Value of Improvements	\$0	
Utilities	Power and Telephone to Lot.	Miscellaneous	According to the the lot is the las area. Dark Hors through the prop bordered by a 2	t available in the e Creek runs erty which is
			area. This comr a portion of Gran	non area includes nt Creek.

	COMPARABLES	SALE INFORMATION		
		Location City/State County Assessor Number Zoning Site Size: Acres Square Feet Date of Sale Sales Price Less Cost of Improvements Sales Price Adjusted MLS #	5114 Elk Ridge Rd. Missoula, MT Missoula 0001970200 Missoula Co. C-RR1 Residential 2.970 129,373 October 15, 2015 \$250,000 *\$10,000 \$240,000 20156074	
	ANALYS	SIS OF SALE		
Price per Acre	\$80,808	Price per Square Foot Price Per Front Foot	\$1.86 N/A	
	TRANSFER	INFORMATION		
Grantor	John Lents & Sally Lentz	Grantee	Susan Carol Colyer & Kenneth Edward Colyer	
Type of Instrument	Warranty Deed	Document # Marketing Time	201519575 24 Days on Market	
Financing/Conditions	Cash/Market	Verified By	Lewis Matelich, Listing Agent	
Legal Description	Lot 14 of Elk Ridge Addition No. 3	Intended Use/Comments	Purchased for Residential Use	
Section/Township/Range	S1/13N/R19W			
	PROPER	RTY DETAILS		
Access	Paved Road	View	Mountains, City Skyline	
Topography	Sloped with level building areas.	Lot Dimensions	Various	
Flood Plain	According to Flood Map # 30063C1215E, the property is not in an area of Elevated Flood Risk.	Improvements	Connection to City Water, Drain Field, Driveway	
Feet of Water Frontage	None	Value of Improvements	\$10,000	
Utilities	Electricity, Phone, City Water, Natural Gas at Road.	M iscellaneous	Lot located in the Upper Rattlesnake area of Missoula an is adjacent to City of Missoula recreation lands. Easy access to Rattlesnake Trail System.	
			Report File # 16-013ec	

	COMPARABLES	SALE INFORMATION	÷.			
		Location City/State		Polk Stree	et	
		City/State	Missoula, MT Missoula			
		County				
		Assessor Number	0003382509			
		Zoning	City	of Missoula	a R5.4	
		Site Size: Acres	0.930			
* / / / ·		Square Feet	40,511 July 31, 2015 \$159,900			
		Date of Sale				
		Sales Price				
		Less Cost of Improvements				
		Sales Price Adjusted	\$159,900			
		MLS #		3688		
THE PARTY OF THE P		MLS T	2013	3000		
	ANALYS	SIS OF SALE				
	71101213					
Price per Acre	\$171,935	Price per Square Foot Price Per Front Foot		\$3.95 N/A		
		1110010111001	Į IVA		•1	
	TRANSFER	INFORMATION				
Grantor	Mary A. Kinney	Grantee	Eldei	n L. In abnit	& lone C. Inab	
Type of Instrument	Warranty Deed	Document #	201513634			
		Marketing Time		ays on Ma		
Financing/Conditions	Cash/Market	Verified By	Tex Cates, Buyers Agent			
Legal Description	Tract 1 of Certificate of Survey #6397	Intended Use/Comments	Purchased for Residential Use			
Section/Township/Range	S23/13N/R19W					
	PROPER	RTY DETAILS				
Access	Paved Road	View		ntains, City	Skyline	
Topography	Sloped with level building areas.	Lot Dimensions	Vario			
Flood Plain	According to Flood Map # 30063C1480E, the property is not in an area of Elevated Flood Risk.	Improvements	None)		
Feet of Water Frontage	None	Value of Improvements	\$0			
Utilities	Electricity, Phone, City Water, Natural Gas at Road.	Miscellaneous	area	of Missoul ty of Misso	ne Rattlesnake a and is adjace oula recreation	
			Re	port File #	16-013ec	

	COMPARABLES	ALE INFORMATION		
	The Contraction of the Contracti	Location City/State County Assessor Number Zoning Site Size: Acres Square Feet Date of Sale Sales Price Less Cost of Improvement Sales Price Adjusted MLS #	Lot 15 Elk Ridge Rd. Missoula, MT Missoula 0000016107 Missoula Co. C-RR1 Residential 1.290 56,192 March 2, 2015 \$165,000 \$5,000 \$160,000 20141124	
	ANALYS	IS OF SALE		
Price per Acre	\$124,031	Price per Square Foot Price Per Front Foot	\$2.85 N/A	
	TRANSFER	INFORMATION		
Grantor	Cristian W. Goss & Kelly D. Goss	Grantee	Krista C. Hellem & Russel J. Hellem	
Type of Instrument	Warranty Deed	Document # Marketing Time	201503620 357 Days on Market	
Financing/Conditions	Cash/Market	Verified By	Lewis Matelich, Listing Agent	
Legal Description	Lot 15 of Elk Ridge Addition No. 3	Intended Use/Comments	Purchased for Residential Use	
Section/Township/Range	e S2/13N/R19W			
	PROPER	TY DETAILS		
Access	Paved Road	View	Mountains, City Skyline	
Topography	Sloped with level building areas.	Lot Dimensions	Various	
Flood Plain	According to Flood Map # 30063C1215E, the property is not in an area of Elevated Flood Risk.	Improvements	City Water and Septic Drain Figure installed.	
Feet of Water Frontage	None	Value of Improvements	\$5,000	
Utilities	Electricity, Phone, City Water, Natural Gas at Road.	Miscellaneous	Lot located in the Upper Rattlesnake area of Missoula and very near City of Missoula Recreation Lands. Listing agent indicated that this lot required some excavating costs to put in	
			the driveway.	

PENDING LAND SALE 1


	PENDING SAI	LE INFORMATION	
PENDING SAL		Location City/State County Assessor Number Zoning Site Size: Acres Square Feet Date of Sale Contract Price Less Cost of Improvement Contract Price Adjusted	7855 Lime Springs Trail Missoula, MT Missoula 0005850276 City of Missoula PUD/Grantland 4.340 189,050 N/A - Pending Sale \$165,000 fs* \$0 \$165,000
		MLS #	20156458
	ANALYS	SIS OF SALE	
Price per Acre	\$38,018	Price per Square Foot Price Per Front Foot	\$0.87 N/A
	TRANSFER	INFORMATION	
Owner	James A. Cain	Grantee	N/A - Pending Sale
Type of Instrument	N/A - Pending Sale	Document # Marketing Time	N/A - Pending Sale 201 Days on Market
Financing/Conditions	N/A - Pending Sale	Verified By	Lewis Matelich, Listing Agent
Legal Description	Lot 5A of Lots 4A & 5A of Lime Springs	Intended Use/Comments	Marketed for Residential Use
Section/Township/Range	e S23/13N/R19W		
	PROPER	RTY DETAILS	
			Na
Access	Gravel Road Sloped with level building areas.	View Lot Dimensions	Mountains, Trees Various
Topography Flood Plain	According to Flood Map # 30063C1185E, the property is not in an area of Elevated Flood Risk.	Improvements	None
Feet of Water Frontage	None	Value of Improvements	\$0
Utilities	Electricity, Phone, Community Water, City Sewer, and Natural Gas at Road.	Miscellaneous	Lot located in Colorada Gulch area of Grant Creek. Listing Ager indicated that the lot could be
			listed for more if some of the timber was thinned. Had inferior views to some other properties in the area. Sold on July 21, 2016 fc \$165,000.

COMPARABLE HOME SALES

We conducted a search for sales of homes on sites similar in size to the subject sites and in similar neighborhoods in Missoula. The most applicable and recent four home sales located are described on the table below;

	Comparable Home Sales							
Sale #	Address	City	Neighborhood	Sale Date	Sales Price	Less Site Value	Sale Price of Improvements	
1	7305 Rosew ood Ct	Missoula	Grant Creek	2016	\$465,000	\$160,000	\$305,000	
2	9600 Old Mill Trail	Missoula	Grant Creek	2015	\$405,000	\$160,000	\$245,000	
3	1320 Starw ood Dr	Missoula	Grant Creek	2015	\$489,000	\$160,000	\$329,000	
4	7700 Parkw ood Dr	Missoula	Grant Creek	2015	\$450,000	\$160,000	\$290,000	

A complete description of each comparable is included in the individual comparable write-ups provided in this section of this report. A map depicting the location of the subject properties in relation to the comparable sales is below;



Location City/State County Assessor Number Zoning Site Size: Acres Squar Date of Sale Sales Price Adjustment to S. Adjusted Sales F

Location	7305 Rosewood Court
City/State	Missoula, MT
County	Missoula
Assessor Number	0005850936
Zoning	City of Missoula PUD/Grantland
Site Size: Acres	0.751
Square Feet	32,714
Date of Sale	January 29, 2016
Sales Price	\$465,000
Adjustment to Sales Price	\$0
Adjusted Sales Price	\$465,000
MLS#	20156405

TRANSFER INFORMATION					
Grantor	Aleagha Nasim	Grantee	Shane D. Chapman & Deborah L. Chapman		
Recording Data	Warranty Deed #201601397	Marketing Time	108 Days on Market		
Financing/Conditions	Conventional/Market	Verified By	Kris Hawkins, Listing Agent		
egal Description Lot 40 of Grantland #13		Intended Use	Residential		
Section/Township/Range	S28/T14N/R19W				

Sales Price

Estimated Site Value Sales Price of Improvements Improvement Price/SF

DESCRIPTION	OF IMPROVEMENTS
Water Frontage	N/A
Access	Paved Road
House Square Feet	2,620
Bedroom/Bathrooms	3BR/3BA
Year Built or Renovated	1985 *Recently Renovated
Basement	1,372 SF Basement. Finished but lower quality than Main and Upper Level
Construction	Wood Frame
Quality	Good
Condition	Good
Water/Sewer	Community Water/City Sewer
Utilities	Electricity/Telephone/Gas
Topography	Level/Rolling
Garage	3-Car Attached
Outbuildings	None
Miscellaneous	Recently Renovated home in Grant Creek area of Missoula. Located at end of cul-de-sac, borders 28 private wooded acres and is very near National Wildlife Federation Land.



ANALYSIS OF SALE

Report File # 16-013ec

\$465,000 <u>\$160,000</u> \$305,000 \$116

COMPARABLE SALE INFORMATION Location City/State



9600 Old Mill Trail			
Missoula, MT			
Missoula			
0001398200			
Missoula Co. C-RR1 Residential			
1.767			
76,971			
November 25, 2015			
\$405,000			
\$0			
\$405,000			
20155611			

TRANSFER INF	ORMATION	
David L. Wilson & Lea Ann Wilson	Grantee	Barton E. Norton & Jaime L. Oeberst
Warranty Deed #201522250	Marketing Time	97 Days on Market
VA/Conventional	Verified By	Michael Warren, Listing Agent
Lot 45 of Grantland #8	Intended Use	Residential
S21/T14N/R19W		
	David L. Wilson & Lea Ann Wilson Warranty Deed #201522250 VA/Conventional Lot 45 of Grantland #8	Warranty Deed #201522250

Sales Price

Estimated Site Value
Sales Price of Improvements
Improvement Price/SF

DESCRIPTION OF IMPROVEMENTS				
Water Frontage	N/A			
Access	Gravel road			
House Square Feet	2,084			
Bedroom/Bathrooms	3BR/2BA			
Year Built or Renovated	1996 *Kitchen recently updated			
Basement	1,200 SF, 300 SF Finished			
Construction	Wood Frame			
Quality	Good			
Condition	Good			
Water/Sewer	Well/Septic			
Utilities	Electricity/Telephone/Gas			
Topography	Gentle Slope w / Level Bldg Area			
Garage	2-Car Attached			
Outbuildings	None			
M iscellaneous	Home on 1.76 wooded acres across the road from subdivision common area and National Forest Lands. Easy access to hiking and			
	biking trails and close to Snowbowl Ski Area.			



ANALYSIS OF SALE

\$405,000 \$160,000 \$245,000 \$118

COMPARABLE SALE INFORMATION Location 1320 Starwood Drive City/State Missoula, MT County Missoula Assessor Number 0005851047 City of Missoula PUD/Grantland Zoning Site Size: Acres 0.571 Square Feet 24.873 November 10, 2015 Date of Sale Sales Price \$489,000 Adjustment to Sales Price \$0 \$489,000 Adjusted Sales Price MLS# 20152676 TRANSFER INFORMATION Grantor Thomas L. Russell & Irma S. Grantee Marta Amelia Timmons & Dennis Bernard Sparrow Russell Days on Market Recording Data Warranty Deed #201521347 Marketing Time 194 Financing/Conditions Cash/Market Verified By Susan Liane, Listing Agent Lot 51 of Grantland #13 Legal Description Intended Use Residential Section/Township/Range S28/T14N/R19W **DESCRIPTION OF IMPROVEMENTS** ANALYSIS OF SALE Water Frontage Sales Price \$489,000 Paved Road Estimated Site Value \$160,000 Access House Square Feet \$329,000 1.971 Sales Price of Improvements 4BR/3BA Bedroom/Bathrooms Improvement Price/SF \$167 Year Built or Renovated 1987 *Recently Renovated Basem ent 1,493 Finished but lower quality than Main and Upper Levels Construction Wood Frame Very Good Quality Condition Good Water/Sewer City Water & Sewer Utilities Electricity/Telephone/Gas Topography Rolling Garage 3-Car Attached **Outbuildings** Home in Grant Creek Hills on 0.57 M iscellaneous acres and adjacent to 4.5 acre subdivision common area. House had been recently remodelled with high end finishes.

Report File # 16-013ec

COMPARABLE SALE INFORMATION 7700 Parkwood Drive Location City/State Missoula, MT County Missoula 0005851092 Assessor Number City of Missoula PUD/Grantland Zoning Site Size: Acres 0.544 Square Feet 23.697 March 20, 2015 Date of Sale Sales Price \$455,000 Adjustment to Sales Price (\$5,000)Adjusted Sales Price \$450,000 MLS# 20147058 TRANSFER INFORMATION Grantor Home Savers of Montana, LLC Grantee Dennis Kelly Gregory & Lisa C. Gregory Marketing Time Days on Market Recording Data Warranty Deed #201504640 122 Financing/Conditions Conventional/Market K.C. Hart, Listing Agent Verified By Lot 56 of Grantland #13 Intended Use Residential Legal Description Section/Township/Range S28/T14N/R19W DESCRIPTION OF IMPROVEMENTS ANALYSIS OF SALE Water Frontage N/A Sales Price \$450,000 Paved Road Estimated Site Value \$160,000 Access House Square Feet 2.656 Sales Price of Improvements \$290,000 4BR/3BA \$109 Bedroom/Bathrooms Improvement Price/SF Year Built or Renovated 1986 *Recently Renovated Basement 8 1 1,958 Finished but lower quality than Main and Upper Levels Construction Wood Frame Quality Good Very Good but Unusual Layout Condition Water/Sewer City Water & Sewer Electricity/Telephone/Gas Utilities Topography Rolling Garage 2-Car Attached Outbuildings None Horne in Grant Creek Hills on 0.54 Miscellaneous acres and adjacent to 4.5 acre subdivision common area. House had been recently remodelled with high end finishes. *Listing Agent indicated the the floorplan was offputting to many prospective buyers and with a more traditional layout the property would have sold for significantly more. *5K Seller Concession for Closing Costs. Report File # 16-013ec

SITE VALUE ESTIMATE

All of the site sales presented were utilized to derive the value of this subject lot. Adjustments have been considered for differences between the sales and this subject site. Any adjustments made are noted on the spreadsheet below;

	COMPARA	BLE SALES ANALYSI	S FOR SUBJECT SITE			
			(AREA, MISSOULA, M	Γ		
			,			
DESCRIPTION	SUBJECT	SALE 1	SALE2	SALE3	SALE4	PENDING SALE 1
IDENTIFICATION		9560 Keegan Trail	5114 Elk Ridge Rd	NHN Polk St	Lot 15, Elk Ridge Rd	7855 Lime Springs Tr
CITY		Missoula, MT	Missoula, MT	Missoula, MT	Missoula, MT	Missoula, MT
SALES PRICE		\$220,000	\$250,000	\$159,900	\$165,000	\$165,000
ADJUSTMENT FOR LIST PRICE		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ADJUSTMENT FOR IMPROVEMENTS		\$0	-\$10,000	\$0	-\$5,000	\$0
PROPERTY RIGHTS	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple
PROPERTY RIGHTS ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FINANCING	Market	Market	Market	Market	Market	Market
FINANCING ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CONDITIONS OF SALE	Market	Market	Market	Market	Market	Market
CONDITIONS OF SALE ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ADJUSTMENTS FOR BUYER EXPENDITURES		**	**	***	***	
DEMOLITION		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ENVIRONMENTAL		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
OTHER		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LEGAL/ZONING		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ADJUSTED PRICE		\$220,000	\$240,000	\$159,900	\$160,000	\$165,000
DATE OF SALE		10/23/15	10/15/15	07/31/15	03/02/15	PENDING
MARKET CONDITIONS FACTOR		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
ADJUSTED PRICE		\$220.000	\$240,000	\$159,900	\$160.000	\$165,000
ADJOUTED TRICE		Ψ220,000	Ψ240,000	ψ139,900	ψ100,000	ψ100,000
SITE SIZE/ACRES	1.534	1.530	2.970	0.930	1.290	4.340
ADJUSTMENT FOR:						
LOCATION	Grant Creek	Grant Creek	Rattlesnake	Rattlesnake	Rattlesnake	Grant Creek
		-25%	-25%	-25%	0%	0%
SHAPE	Irregular	Irregular	Irregular	Rectangular	Irregular	Irregular
		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
TOPOGRAPHY	Some Slope	Level	Some Slope	Some Slope	Some Slope	Significant Slope
		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
FRONTAGE/ACCESS	Public Road	Public Road	Public Road	Public Road	Public Road	Public Road
		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
ZONING	CA-3, Residential	C-RR1	C-RR1	R5.4	C-RR1	C-RR1
		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
EASEMENTS AFFECTING USE	None	No	No	No	No	No
		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
ELECTRICITY/TELEPHONE	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available
		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
SITE SIZE/ACRES	1.534	1.530	2.970	0.930	1.290	4.340
		0%	0%	20%	0%	0%
TOTAL PERCENTAGE ADJUSTMENT		-25%	-25%	-5%	0%	0%
TOTAL ADJUSTMENT		-\$55,000	-\$60,000	-\$7,995	\$0	\$0
A D II IOTED DDIOE DED OF		0405.000	6400.500	6454 005	0400 000	0407.000
ADJUSTED PRICE PER SF		\$165,000	\$180,000	\$151,905	\$160,000	\$165,000

Discussion of Adjustments

Adjustment for List Price: The comparables are all closed sales or the contract price was utilized in this analysis. No adjustments was necessary in this category.

Adjustments for Improvements: Any improvements (and contributory value of improvements) are included with each sale write-up. The contributory values of any improvements were removed from each sale in order to determine the subject site value as vacant.

Property Rights: The ownership interest in this report for the subject lot and for all of the land sales is the fee simple interest. Consequently no adjustments were necessary in this category.

Financing: The sales were all purchased with cash or market financing. No adjustment was necessary in this category.

Conditions of Sale: No adjustment is necessary to any of the comparables in this category.

Buyer Expenditures: No adjustments were necessary for the comparable sales in this category.

Market Conditions: The closed comparable sold in 2015. The available data indicates that market conditions for properties like the subject site have not changed appreciably since the beginning of 2015. For this reason, no adjustment was necessary in this category.

Location: The subject property, Land Sale 1, and Pending Sale 1 are in the Grant Creek area of Missoula. Land Sales 2, 3, and 4 are in the Rattlesnake area of Missoula. According to our interviews with knowledgeable area realtors, most market participants consider these areas to be similar. Both offer somewhat rural living with proximity to Missoula. No adjustment was considered necessary for neighborhood differences.

While no adjustment was considered necessary for neighborhood differences, some adjustment was considered appropriate for proximity to open space. The subject property abuts two privately owned parcel and one privately leased parcel. It does not have direct access to open space. Land Sales 1, 2, and 3 all abut open space. Land Sale 4 is near open space but abuts privately owned parcels. Land Sales 2 and 4 are in the same subdivision and are adjacent to each other. We have paired these sales for determination of an appropriate adjustment for proximity to open space. This analysis is below;

Location Adjacent to Green Space - Paired Sale Set			
Address 1 5114 Elk Ridge Rd			
Sale Date	October 2015		
Sales Price/SF*	\$216,00		
Address 2	Lot 15, Elk Ridge Rd		
Sale Date	March 2015		
Sales Price/SF	\$160,000		
Suggested Downward Adjustment for Proximity to Green Space -25.93			
*Sales price reduced by 10% for size difference.			

Downward adjustments of 25% were considered necessary and appropriate for Land Sales 1, 2, and 3 in this category.

Shape: The subject lot and all of the comparables have shapes that are suitable for development and no adjustment was necessary in this category.

Topography: The subject lot and all of the closed comparables have topographies that are suitable for development and no adjustment was necessary in this category. Based upon our observation, Pending Sale 1 has significantly steep topography. According the listing agent, Pending Sale 1 reportedly includes level areas that could accommodate a home. Topography is addressed in the Reconciliation.

Frontage/Access: The subject lot and all of the comparables have frontage along and access from public roads and no adjustment was necessary in this category.

Zoning: The subject property and the comparables are in residential zoning districts. No adjustments were necessary in this category.

Easements Affecting Value: The subject site and comparables do not include easements that affect value. No adjustment was necessary in this category.

Electricity/Telephone: The subject property and all of the comparables have similar access to all necessary utilities. No adjustment was necessary in this category.

Size/Acres: The comparables bracket the subject site in acreage. Land Sale 3 is considered sufficiently dissimilar in size compared to the subject site and other comparables that some adjustment was necessary in this category. We paired this sale with the adjusted indications from the other comparable land sales. An upward adjustment of approximately 20% is reasonable appropriate in this category for this Land Sale 3. Pending Sale 1 differs significantly in size compared to the subject property; however, the overall usable or buildable area is considered similar to the subject.

Reconciliation of Sales Comparison Approach for Subject Site

The comparables provide adjusted indications of value for the subject site of \$165,000, \$180,000, \$151,905, \$160,000, and \$165,000. All weight is accorded Land Sale 1 and Pending Sale 1 are they as it is the only comparables located in the subject neighborhood. Pending Sale 1 is larger than the subject site but due to the slope, the overall usable or buildable area of this comparable is considered similar to the subject. The indications from the remaining sales bracket and generally support this value conclusion. A value of \$165,000 is well supported by this analysis for the subject site as if vacant.

Site Value as if Vacant

\$165,000

IMPROVEMENT VALUE ESTIMATE

A sales comparison analysis for the subject property utilizing the comparables selected is below;

	SALES COMI LOT 1A, COS #6021, GF	PARISON ANALYSIS FOR			
DESCRIPTION	SUBJECT	SALE1	SALE 2	SALE3	SALE4
IDENTIFICATION		7605 Rosewood Ct	9600 Overland Trail	1320 Starwood Dr	7700 Parkwood Dr
LOCATION		M issoula, MT	Missoula, MT	M issoula, MT	Missoula, MT
SALES PRICE		\$465,000	\$405,000	\$489,000	\$455,000
SALE CONCESSIONS		,,	1 11,111	,,	-\$5,000
PROPERTY RIGHTS	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple
PROPERTY RIGHTS ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0	. \$0
FINANCING	Market	Market	Market	Market	Market
FINANCING ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CONDITIONS OF SALE	Market	Market	Market	Market	Market
CONDITIONS OF SALE ADJUSTMENT		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ADJUSTMENTS FOR BUYER EXPENDITURES				· ·	
DEMOLITION		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ENV IRONMENTAL		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
OTHER		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LEGAL/ZONING		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
DATE OF SALE		01/29/16	11/25/15	11/10/15	03/20/15
MARKET CONDITIONS FACTOR		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
ADJUSTED PRICE		\$465,000	\$405,000	\$489,000	\$450,000
LESS SITE VALUE		(\$160,000)	(\$160,000)	(\$160,000)	(\$160,000
ADJUSTED IMPROVEMENT PRICE		\$305,000	\$245,000	\$329,000	\$290,000
ADJUSTMENT FOR:					
LOCATION/SITE	Grant Creek	Grant Creek	Grant Creek	Grant Creek	Grant Creek
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
QUALITY	Good	Good	Good	Very Good	Good
		\$0	\$0	-\$32,900	\$0
CONDITION	Average	Good	Good	Good	Good
		-\$30,500	-\$24,500	-\$32,900	-\$29,000
AGE/YEARS	32	5	20	5	
		-\$41,175	-\$14,700	-\$44,415	-\$39,150
BATHROOMS	3	3	2	3	3
		\$0	\$5,000	\$0	\$0
HOUSE SIZE/SF	1,975	2,620	2,084	1,971	2,656
		-\$38,700	-\$6,540	\$240	-\$40,860
FINISHED BASEMENT/SF	976	1,372	300	1,493	1,958
		-\$11,880	\$20,280	-\$15,510	-\$29,460
OUTBUILDINGS	Shed/No Garage	Superior	Superior	Superior	Superior
		-\$12,000	-\$10,000	-\$12,000	-\$10,000
TOTAL ADJUSTMENT		-\$134,255	-\$30,460	-\$137,485	-\$148,470
NET ADJUSTMENT PERCENTAGE		-44%	-12%	-42%	-51%
ADJUSTED PRICE INDICATION		\$170,745	\$214,540	\$191,515	\$141,530

Discussion of Adjustments

Concessions, Property Rights, Financing, Conditions of Sale, & Adjustments for Buyer Expenditures: Home Sale 4 required a downward adjustment of \$5,000 for atypical closing costs paid by the seller. Based upon the information we verified, no additional adjustments were necessary in these categories for the comparables.

Market Conditions: The comparable sales closed in 2015 and 2016. The available data indicates that market conditions for homes on similar sized sites in the Missoula area have not changed appreciably since 2015. For this reason, no adjustment is necessary in this category.

Location: The contributory site values for the sales were removed. This results in the comparison of the subject improvements to the improvements associated with each sale.

Quality: The subject and Home Sales 1, 2, and 4 were all rated as good in overall construction quality. No adjustments were necessary for these comparables in this category. Home Sale 3 was rated as very good in overall construction quality. A downward adjustment of 10% was made to this sale as it is considered to be of superior construction quality than the subject residence. This adjustment percentage is subjective but is considered reasonable and indicative of the actions of market participants.

Condition: The subject residence was considered to be in overall average condition. Based upon the information verified for each sale, the comparables were in good condition at the time of sale. Downward adjustments of 10% were made to all of the comparables in this category. This adjustment percentage is subjective but is considered reasonable and indicative of the actions of market participants.

Age: Adjustments were considered necessary for differences in age between the subject and the comparables. The comparables were adjusted by 0.5% per year of age difference between the subject residences. This adjustment is reasonable based upon our analysis of annual physical depreciation typically found in single family residences. Improved Sales 1, 3, and 4 were recently extensively renovated. For that reason we used an effective age of 5 years for these sales.

Bathrooms: The subject residence includes 3 bathrooms. We utilized an adjustment amount of \$5,000 per full bathroom. There is not sufficient market data available on which to base credible adjustments in this category; however, the adjustments made are considered indicative of the actions of market participants.

House Size: Based upon the average sales prices per square foot for the residence associated with each sale, an adjustment for size differences between the comparables and the subject of \$60 per square foot is considered reasonable and appropriate.

Finished Basement Size: The subject residence includes 976 square feet of finished basement area. All of the comparables included finished basement area that was different in size compared to the subject finished basement area. The finished basement areas for the subject and comparables are considered inferior to the above grade square footage. For this reason, we used a lower adjustment

of \$30 per square foot for finished basement area for each sale.

Outbuildings: Adjustments were made for any differences between our opinions of contributory values of outbuildings for the comparables compared to the subject property. Adjustments for garages were included in this category.

Reconciliation of Sales Comparison Approach for Subject Improvements

The comparables provided adjusted indications of market value for the subject improvements of \$170,745, \$214,540, \$191,515, and \$141,530. Approximately equal weight is accorded the indications from all four comparables. Considered together, the comparables provide a reasonable estimate of market value for the subject residence. The disparity between the value indications is due to the limited availability of comparable market data. A value of \$180,000 is reasonable and well supported for the subject improvements.

Total Value Conclusion

The total value conclusion is derived by adding the subject site value to the estimated value of improvements. The calculations are below;

Total Value Indication	\$345,000
Subject Improvements Value	\$180,000
Subject Site Value	\$165,000

RECAPITULATION OF VALUE INDICATIONS

The market values for each subject property are recapitulated on the table below;

Lot#	Site Value	Value of Improvements	Total Value	Effective Date of Market Values
1A	\$165,000	\$180,000	\$345,000	7/13/2016

QUALIFICATIONS OF THE APPRAISERS ELLIOTT (ELLIE) M. CLARK, MAI

PROFESSIONAL DESIGNATIONS

MAI Designated Member of the Appraisal Institute (2004)

FORMAL EDUCATION

College of Charleston, Charleston, SC Bachelor of Science – Geology (1985)

REAL ESTATE EDUCATION

Appraisal Institute

- 1990 Basic Valuation Procedures
- 1990 Real Estate Principles
- 1992 Capitalization Theory and Technique
- 1994 Advanced Income Capitalization
- 2001 Highest and Best Use and Market Analysis
- 2001 Advanced Sales Comparison and Cost Approaches
- 2002 Standards of Professional Practice, Part A
- 2002 Standards of Professional Practice, Part B
- 2002 Report Writing and Valuation Analysis
- 2002 Advanced Applications
- 2003 Comprehensive Exam
- 2003 Separating Real & Personal Property from Intangible Business Assets
- 2004 Demonstration Appraisal
- 2006 7 Hour National USPAP Update Course
- 2006 Business Practices and Ethics
- 2008 7 Hour National USPAP Update Course
- 2010 7 Hour National USPAP Update Course
- 2012 7 Hour National USPAP Update Course
- 2012 Fundamentals of Separating Real Property, Personal Property and Intangible Business Assets
- 2012 Valuation of Conservation Easements
- 2014 7 Hour National USPAP Update Course
- 2015 Real Estate Finance Statistics and Valuation Modeling
- 2016 7 Hour National USPAP Update Course
- 2016 Eminent Domain & Condemnation

Institute of Financial Education

- 1985 Real Estate Law I
- 1986 Real Estate Law II

IAAO

1991 - Standards of Practice and Professional Ethics

Citadel Evening College

1993 - Residential Appraisal Reports Using URAR Form

William H. Sharp & Associates

1995 - The Home Inspection

Trident Technical College

1997 - Uniform Standards of Appraisal

Historic Preservation Consulting

1998 - Appraising Historic Property

The Beckman Company

2004 - The Technical Inspection of Real Estate

WORK EXPERIENCE

2003 - Present	Clark Real Estate Appraisal – Owner/Commercial Real Estate Appraiser
1995 - 2003	Sass, Herrin & Associates, Inc. – Commercial Real Estate Appraiser
1990 - 1995	Charleston County Assessor's Office – Sr. Staff Real Estate Appraiser
1986 - 1989	First Sun Capital Corporation - Mortgage Loan Officer
1985 - 1986	First National Bank of Atlanta - Mortgage Loan Processor
1984 - 1985	South Carolina Federal Savings Bank - Mortgage Loan Processor

STATE LICENSES/CERTIFICATIONS

Montana State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser - REA-RAG-LIC-683

APPRAISAL SEMINARS ATTENDED

- 2000 JT&T Seminars: Financial Calculator HP-12C
- 2000 Appraisal Institute: Highest and Best Use Applications
- 2004 Appraisal Institute: Evaluating Commercial Construction
- 2005 Appraisal Institute: Scope of Work: Expanding Your Range of Services
- 2006 Appraisal Institute: Subdivision Valuation
- 2006 Appraisal Institute: Appraising from Blueprints and Specifications
- 2006 Appraisal Institute: Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions
- 2007 Appraisal Institute: Analyzing Commercial Lease Clauses
- 2007 Appraisal Institute: Condominiums, Co-ops, and PUDs
- 2008 Appraisal Institute: Spotlight on USPAP
- 2008 Appraisal Institute: Quality Assurance in Residential Appraisals: Risky Appraisals = Risky Loans
- 2008 Appraisal Institute: Office Building Valuation: A Contemporary Perspective
- 2009 Appraisal Institute: Appraisal Curriculum Overview (2-Day General)
- 2010 Appraisal Institute: Hotel Appraising New Techniques for Today's Uncertain Times
- 2010 Appraisal Institute: The Discounted Cash Flow Model: Concepts, Issues & Applications
- 2011 Appraisal Institute: Understanding & Using Investor Surveys Effectively
- 2011 Appraisal Institute: Advanced Spreadsheet Modeling for Valuation Applications
- 2012 Appraisal Institute: Appraising the Appraisal: Appraisal Review-General
- 2013 Appraisal Institute: Business Practices and Ethics

PARTIAL LIST OF CLIENTS

Rocky Mountain Bank

State of Montana Department of Natural Resources

United States Government Services Administration

CHRISTOPHER D. CLARK

FORMAL EDUCATION

Millikin University, Decatur, Illinois Bachelor of Arts in Political Science

REAL ESTATE EDUCATION

Appraisal Institute

Course 110 – Appraisal Principles, 2005

Course 120 – Appraisal Procedures, 2005

Course 410 – 15- Hour National USPAP Course, 2005

Course 203R – Residential Report Writing & Case Studies, 2006

Course REA070513 - Analyzing Commercial Lease Clauses, 2007

Course 06RE0638 – Condominiums, Co-ops, PUD's, 2007

Course REA071154 – Hypothetical Conditions, Extraordinary Assumptions, 2008

Course 07RE0734 – 7-Hour National USPAP Update, 2008

Course 06RE0641 – Quality Assurance in Residential Appraisals, 2008

Course 06RE1286 – Office Building Valuation: A Contemporary Perspective, 2008

Course 430ADM 0 Appraisal Curriculum Overview – 2009

Course I400 - 7-Hour National USPAP Update - 2010

Course OL-202R - Online Residential Sales Comparison and Income Approach – 2011

Course OL-200R - Online Residential Market Analysis and Highest & Best Use – 2011

Course OL-201R - Online Residential Site Valuation & Cost Approach – 2011

Course I400 – 7-Hour National USPAP Update Course – 2012

Course REA110436 – Appraising the Appraisal: Appraisal Review General – 2012

Course 08REO643 – Business Practices and Ethics -2013

Course I400 – 7-Hour National USPAP Update – 2014

Course REA4380 – Online Introduction to Green Buildings: Principles and Concepts

Course REA120108 – Online Cool Tools: New Technology for Real Estate Appraisers

Course REA6260 – Real Estate Finance Statistics & Valuation Modeling 2015

Course REA-REC-REC-7415 – 2016-2017 7-Hour USPAP Update – 2016

Course REA-CEC-REC-7494 – Eminent Domain and Condemnation - 2016

WORK EXPERIENCE

2005 - Present	Clark Real Estate Appraisal, Inc. – Real Estate Appraiser
2003 - 2005	IKON Office Solutions – Technology Marketing
2002 - 2003	Relational Technology Services – Technology Marketing
1998 - 2003	IKON Office Solutions – Technology Marketing
1988 – 1998	CMS Automation (Formerly Entré Computer Center) – Technology Marketing

STATE LICENSES/CERTIFICATIONS

Montana Licensed Appraiser # REA-RAL-LIC-841

APPRAISERS LICENSES

State of Montana Business Standards Division

Board of Real Estate Appraisers

License #: REA-RAG-LIC-683

Status: Active Expiration Date: 03/31/2017

ELLIOTT M CLARK **CLARK REAL ESTATE APPRAISAL** 704C E 13TH STREET #509 WHITEFISH, MT 59937

This certificate verifies licensure as:

CERTIFIED GENERAL APPRAISER

With endorsements of:

REAL ESTATE APPRAISER MENTOR

LABOR & INDUSTRY

RENEW OR VERIFY YOUR LICENSE AT https://ebiz.mt.gov/pol/

State of Montana Business Standards Division Board of Real Estate Appraisers

License #: REA-RAL-LIC-841 Status: Active Expiration Date: 03/31/2017

CHRISTOPHER D CLARK **CLARK REAL ESTATE APPRAISAL** 704C E 13TH STREET #509 WHITEFISH, MT 59937

This certificate verifies licensure as: LICENSED APPRAISER


RENEW OR VERIFY YOUR LICENSE AT https://ebiz.mt.gov/pol/


ADDENDUM

NATIONAL ECONOMIC DATA

Real GDP increased by 1.0% in the fourth quarter of 2015 after increasing 2.1% in the third quarter of 2015 according to the Bureau of Economic Analysis of the US Department of Commerce

(BEA). According to the BEA, the fourth quarter increase in real GDP reflected positive contributions from personal consumption expenditures, residential fixed investment, and federal government spending that were partly offset by negative contributions from exports, nonresidential fixed investment, state and local government spending, and private inventory investment.





4.9 %. This is the same as the January 2016 rate but down from the October, November, December 2015 unemployment rate of 5.0%. This is the lowest national unemployment rate since July of 2008. Generally, continued slow growth is forecasted for the US economy during 2016.

STATE ECONOMIC DATA

Montana is the 44th most populous state in the US. 2010 US Census data estimated a population of 989,415 indicating a growth in population of 9.7% from 2000 to 2010. According to ESRI using US Census data, the 2015 population of Montana was forecasted to be 1,027,698. This estimate shows a 3.87% increase since the 2010 census. The state economy is diverse with a wide variety of industries. The top five employment categories in the state are;


- Trade, Transportation, and Utilities
- Government (Federal, State, & Local)
- Education & Health Services
- Healthcare & Social Assistance
- Leisure & Hospitality

These industries employ from 11% to 16% of the workforce in Montana per category. The remaining categories employ less than 10% each.

According to the Federal Reserve Minneapolis economic models, employment growth is forecasted to slow in 2016 for the region; however, consumer spending and tourism expenditures are forecasted to increase. Specific to Montana, the Federal Reserve Minneapolis forecasts the following for 2016; nonfarm employment in Montana is projected to increase by 0.7%, unemployment for the state is projected to decrease to 3.8%, and personal income is projected to increase by 4.5%.

MISSOULA COUNTY DATA

The subject property is in Missoula County which is the western half of the state. The total land area of the county is approximately 2,618 square miles. The county seat is the city of Missoula which is in the southern portion of the county. A map of Montana with counties identified is below.



Geographical Information

Missoula County is bordered to the north by Flathead, Lake, Sanders and Mineral Counties of Montana. It is bordered to the south and east by Ravalli, Granite and Powell Counties of Montana. A small portion of Missoula County is bordered to the west by Idaho and Clearwater Counties in Idaho. The general geography of the county is mountainous. Missoula County is comprised of five valleys and includes two significant rivers. There are a number of national protected areas in the county. These include; the Rattlesnake National Recreation Area and portions of Bitterroot, Flathead and Lolo National Forests.

City and Communities

Missoula is the only incorporated city in Missoula County. Towns and Census designated places in Missoula County include; Bonner, Clinton, Condon, East Missoula, Evaro, Frenchtown, Huson, Lolo, Milltown, Orchard Homes, Seeley Lake and Wye

Population


Missoula County is the 2nd most populous county in Montana. The 2015 county population estimate from ESRI based upon US Census Bureau data was 113,665. The population is projected to increase to 118,223 by 2020 or 0.8% per year.

Income

According to data from the US Census the median household income for Missoula County was \$47,534 in 2015. This is 0.40% less than the median household income for the same period for the state of Montana. Approximately 16.8% of the population of Missoula County was below the poverty level between 2008 and 2012. This is higher than the percentage below the poverty level for the state of Montana for the same period of 13.7%.

Employment

According to ESRI there were 56,415 people over 16 years of age in the workforce in Missoula County in 2010. The workforce percentages by industry are included on the chart below;



Services comprise the largest employment be substantial margin. The next largest category is retail trade.

Real Estate

According to ESRI estimates based upon US Census data there were 52,799 housing units in Missoula County in 2015. The home ownership rate was estimated at 51.6% in 2015. The median home value was estimated to be \$224,299 in 2015. It is expected to increase by approximately 4.5% per year to \$275,920 in 2020.

Education & Healthcare

There are elementary, middle schools and high schools in the various population centers of Missoula County. The University of Montana and The University of Montana College of Technology are both located in Missoula County. There are two acute care hospitals in Missoula County.

Linkages & Transportation

United States Interstate Highway 90 runs through Missoula County. US Highway 12 and 93 both go through the county. There are Montana Highways in the county as well. There is an International Airport in Missoula.

County Data Conclusion

Missoula County is one of the most populous counties in Montana. The population is slated to increase approximately 0.8% per year through 2020. Missoula County is the home of the University of Montana. The location of the university provides some stability in employment. The county unemployment rate is similar to that of the state but lower than of the nation. The economy and the real estate market have remained relatively stable for the past several years. Missoula County did experience the real estate "bubble"; however, the market appears to have stabilized. The economy of Missoula County is considered relatively stable with growth likely in the foreseeable future

CITY OF MISSOULA DATA

Missoula is the only incorporated city in Missoula County. It is also the county seat. It is located along the Clark Fork and Bitterroot Rivers and at the convergence of five mountain ranges. The University of Montana is located in Missoula.


Population and Income

According to the 2015 US Census estimates, the population of the City of Missoula was approximately 69,396. Missoula has been the second largest city in Montana since 2000. According to ESRI forecasts, the population of the City of Missoula is projected to increase approximately 3.94% by 2020. The median household income was indicated to be \$39,082 in 2015. Approximately 20% of the population were below the poverty line.


Employment

According to data from ESRI there were 38,258 people 16 years or older in the workforce in the City of Missoula. The workforce percentages by industry are included on the chart on the following page.

The industries which include 3% or more of the workforce are identified on the right side of the graph below. The categories of healthcare, education, retail trade, and accommodations/food service make up approximately half of the workforce. The location of the University of Montana in Missoula has a significant impact on employment in the area.



According to the United States Department of Labor Bureau of Labor and Statistics, the unemployment rate for Missoula as of March 2016 was 3.9%. Historical unemployment for Missoula, the state of Montana, and the United State are included on the graph below;



The unemployment rate in Missoula increased significantly during the national recession. The employment for Missoula generally fluctuates seasonally.

Linkages & Transportation


US Interstate 90 runs west and east along the northern edge of Missoula. The interstate connects with US Highway 93, US Highway 12, and Montana Highway 200 in Missoula. US Highway 93 serves as a major economic corridor for western Montana. It connects the Flathead Valley to the north to the Bitterroot Valley to the south.

Air travel to and from Missoula is served by Missoula International Airport. It is the largest airport in western Montana. According to the FAA, there were 350,361 enplanements in 2015 which is 5% above the previous high number in 2014. There is no passenger rail service currently in Missoula; however, there are rail lines that provide cargo service to and from other portions of Montana and national destinations.

Residential Real Estate

According 2015 US Census data estimates, there were 30,607 households in Missoula and approximately 32,233 housing units. Approximately 42.9% were owner occupied, 52.0% were rented, and 5.0% were vacant. According the 2009-2013 ACS Housing Summary, 51.5% of the housing units were single family detached type, 44.0% were multi- family type units and 4.3% were mobile homes. The location of the University of Montana in Missoula has a significant impact on housing demand in Missoula.

Residential building permits issued by the City of Missoula through 2014 are included on the table below;




The number of residential permits of all types has increased since 2012.

Median home sales prices for Missoula urban areas from 2005 through 2014 are included on the table below;

SAL	ES ACTIVITY IN I	MISSOUL	A URBAN AREA
Year	Annual Number of Sales	Median Price	% Change in Median Price
2014	1265	\$225,000	4.7%
2013	1322	\$215,000	2.5%
2012	1068	\$209,700	2.3%
2011	878	\$205,000	2.2%
2010	903	\$200,500	-4.0%
2009	1033	\$208,775	-2.9%
2008	996	\$215,000	-2.1%
2007	1392	\$219,500	6.2%
2006	1586	\$206,600	7.7%
2005	1558	\$191,900	7.2%
TABLE 4			Source: MOR Multiple Listing Service

Overall the residential real estate market in Missoula has continued to improve since the national recession. The number of annual home sales have increased in recent years. There was an increase in the number of foreclosure sales during the national recession; however, the number of such sales in 2014 was near pre-recession levels.

Absorption per price category is included on the table below;



According to this data, absorption for homes in most price ranges is below 10 months. The exception is the \$350,000 or greater price range.

The median household income of \$39,082 is not sufficient to afford the median home price. There is a significant need for affordable housing in Missoula. As of 2014, the waiting list for Montana Housing Authority Section 8 Vouchers totaled approximate 1,600. There were 37 people on the waiting list for the Montana Housing Authority Homeless Project in 2014.


Commercial Real Estate

Historical commercial building permits issued by the City of Missoula are included on the table below;

			Comme	rcial Building) Pe	ermits - S	ource: City o	f M	lissoula D	evelopment S	ervices			
Fiscal Year	2	2010	2	2011		2	2012			2013		2014	2	2015
Туре	# of Permits	Valuation	# of Permits	Valuation		# of Permits	Valuation		# of Permits	Valuation	# of Permits	Valuation	# of Permits	Valuation
Assembly	1	\$1,964,620	1	\$844,862		1	\$278,853		2	\$2,559,355	5	\$3,824,431	5	\$3,824,431
Business	7	\$8,180,771	15	\$15,701,820		9	\$2,811,140		8	\$6,815,035	12	\$8,068,324	12	\$8,068,324
Educational			1	\$1,215,000		2	\$217,408							
Hazardous														
Institutuional														
Total Construction	8	\$10,145,391	17	\$17,761,682		12	\$3,307,401		10	\$9,374,390	17	\$11,892,755	17	\$11,892,755
Commercial - Addition or Remodel	254	\$19,689,427	305	\$34,414,821		246	\$21,166,784		268	\$22,160,993	269	\$22,767,311	269	\$22,767,311
				Table depi	cts	City of M	issoula Fisca	1 Y	ears - Jul	v 1 - June 31				

Money spent on commercial addition or remodel typically far outpaces spending on new construction each year.





According to the area MLS data presented above, demand and pricing have fluctuated during the period studied. The 2016 data indicates an average high price for the period studied; however, this data is incomplete and will likely change during the remainder of the year.

Also according to the area MLS data, there were an average of 39 sales per year from 2011 through 2015. There are currently 58 active listings which represents an approximately 1.5 year supply. Supply exceeds typical annual demand. The average list price for the active listings is \$1,294,650. The average active list price is above the highest average price received during the period studied. There will likely be downward price pressure on the active listings due the current oversupply.


Recreation

There are over 400 acres of parkland and 22 miles of trails and approximately 5,000 acres of open space in conservation land in Missoula. The Clark Fork and Bitterroot Rivers provide additional recreational opportunities.

City of Missoula Conclusion

Missoula is the second most populated city in Montana. The population was projected to increase by approximately 3.9% between 2010 and 2015 and is projected to continue to increase at approximately the same rate through 2020. Missoula did experience the real estate "bubble" seen in other cities of Montana between 2005 and 2007; however the location of the University of Montana has been a stabilizing force. The outlook for general commercial properties is guarded; for the short term. As the population increases, demand for commercial properties will increase as well. There is a need for affordable housing in the city. The overall economy in Missoula is forecasted to continue improving.

Missoula Area Map



SCOPE OF WORK

(Page 1 of 3)

ATTACHMENT B

MONTANA DNRC TRUST LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION Supplemental Appraisal Instructions

This Scope of Work and Supplemental Appraisal Instructions are to be included in the appraiser's addendum.

Subject Properties:

		GRANT CREEK
Sale #	Acres	Legal Description
823 1.305 ±		Lot 5, Grant Creek Cabin Sites COS 6021, Section 16, T14N-R19W
823	1.305 ±	Lot 5, Grant Creek Cabin Sites COS 6021, Section 16, T14N-R19W
823	2.401 ±	Lot 5, Grant Creek Cabin Sites COS 6021, Section 16, T14N-R19W
823	1.3 0 5 ±	Lot 5, Grant Creek Cabin Sites COS 6021, Section 16, T14N-R19W
823	1.305 ±	Lot 1A, Grant Creek Cabin Sites COS 6021 Section 16, T14N-R19W
		MCGREGOR LAKE
823	1.37 ±	Lot 15 McGregor Lake Cabin Sites, COS 19909, Section 16, T26N-R25W
823	1.37 ±	Lot 1 McGregor Lake Cabin Sites, COS 19909, Section 16, T26N-R25W
809	1.37 ±	Lot 15 McGregor Lake Cabin Sites, COS 19909, Section 16, T26N-R25W
8●0	1.37 ±	Lot 26 McGregor Lake Cabin Sites, COS 19909, Section 16, T26N-R25W
		PLACID LAKE
809	0.827 ±	Lot 14 Placid Lake Cabin Sites, COS 5049 Section 30, T16N-R15W
		PLACID LAKE
809	1.803 ±	Lot 23 Seeley Lake Outlet West Cabin Sites, COS 5140, Section 4, T16N-R15W
800	0.827 ±	Lot 2 Seeley Lake Outlet West Cabin Sites COS 5140, Section 4, T16N-R15W
823	2.401 ±	Lot 2 Seeley Lake Outlet West Cabin Sites COS 5140, Section 4, T16N-R15W
816	1.001 ±	Lot 1 Seeley Lake Outlet West Cabin Sites COS 5140, Section 4, T16N-R15W
809	1.863 ±	Lot 11 Seeley Lake Outlet West Cabin Sites, COS 5140, Section 4, T16N-R15W
818	1.133±	Lot 4 Seeley Lake Outlet West Cabin Sites COS 5140, Section 4, T16N-R15W

Rev. 11/15

819	1.311±	Lot 21 Seeley Lake Outlet West Cabin Sites, COS 5140, Section 4, T16N-R15W				
810	1.311±	Lot 6 Seeley Lake Outlet West Cabin Sites, COS 5140, Section 4, T16N-R15W				
821	1.325±	Lot 6 Seeley Lake Outlet West Cabin Sites, COS 5140, Section 4, T16N-R15W				
821	1.325±	Lot 10 Seeley Lake Outlet West Cabin Sites, COS 5140, Section 4, T16N-R15W				

Separate values must be supplied for each sale parcel including; total value, land value and improvement value.

DNRC Contact Information: Emily Cooper, Lands Section Supervisor P.O. Box 201601 1625 11th Avenue Helena, MT 59620-1601 Phone: (406) 444-4165 ecooper@mt.gov

Sale 823	824	825			
Vincent & Relinda Lindgren	James & Loretta Diede	Richard Bridges			
10599 Grant Creek Road	10777 Grant Creek Road	10660 Grant Creek Road			
Missoula, MT 59808	Missoula, MT 59808	Missoula, MT 59808			
826 Ross & Cynthia Rademacher 10755 Grant Creek Road Missoula, MT 59808	MMP Investors, et al. c/o Craig Langel 3819 Stephens, Suite 100 Missoula, MT 59801	806 Williams Living Trust PMB 2778 3916 Potsdam Ave. Sioux Falls, SD 57104			
808 Joseph & Renee Russell 50 Bruyer Way Loop Kalispell, MT 59901	809 Peter & Colette Gross PO Box 7276 Kalispell, MT 59904	810 Howard & Tabatha Mann 670 McGregor Lane Marion, MT 59925			
812	813	814			
Susan Robinson	Michael Evans	George & Gail Kerscher			
PO Box 1551	PO Box 1324	1203 Pineview Dr.			
Ketchum, ID 83340	Seeley Lake, MT 59868	Missoula, MT 59802			
815	816	817			
Beesnest Properties LLC	Corey & Kristi Markovich	Lori Posey			
1540 Sunflower Drive	2827 Lexington Ave.	3040 Tipperary Lane			
Missoula, MT 59802	Butte, MT 59701	Idaho Falls, ID 83404			
818	819	820			
Joseph & Gina Bollinger	James & Kathleen Workman	David & Julie Lapham			
3816 Rue Left Bank	PO Box 773	1572 Fox Field			
Alexandria, LA 71303	Great Falls, MT 59403	Missoula, MT 59802			
821 Bertoglio Family LLC 34 Sidewinder Loop Montana City, MT 59634	822 Byron & Lynsie Denzer PO Box 554 Conrad, MT 59425				

Rev. 11/15

(Page 3 of 3)

The following will be located in the body of the contract:

The appraisal report will be one document containing the parcel data and the analysis, opinions, and conclusions of value(s) for the parcel. If deemed necessary by the contractor rather than including the specific market data in the appraisal report, a separate addendum may be submitted containing the specific market data as a stand-alone document, which must be reviewed and accepted along with the appraisal, and will be returned to the appraiser for retention in his/her files. The appraiser must submit an electronic copy as well as a printed copy of the appraisal report.

The definition of market value is that as defined in 70-30-313 MCA.

The DNRC will provide access to the state parcel record, as maintained by the land office, including but not limited to aerial photos, land improvements, property issues, surveys (if any), and production history. The local land office will provide contact information to the appraiser, if necessary, in order for the appraiser to obtain access to the property.

Rev. 11/15

16