

APPRAISAL REVIEW REPORT
Proposed Land Banking Sales
Sale #'s 108, 112 & 240 Garfield County, Montana
Sale #'s 123, 124, 125, 545, 546, 547, 548, 550, 553 & 561 Rosebud County, Montana
Effective Date: May 20, 2009

Prepared for:
State of Montana
Department of Natural Resources and Conservation
Trust Land Management Division
Real Estate Management Bureau
1625 11th Avenue
Helena, MT 59620

Prepared by:
Thomas J. Konency, Appraiser
State of Montana
Department of Natural Resources and Conservation
Trust Land Management Division
Real Estate Management Bureau
1625 11th Avenue
Helena, MT 59620

REVIEWER’S SUMMARY OF SALIENT FACTS

Clients & Intended Users of Review: The clients are the State of Montana, the Montana Board of Land Commissioners, and the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC). The intended users are the clients, the Real Estate Management Bureau of the DNRC Trust Land Management Division, and the Eastern Land Office.

Intended Use of Review: The purpose of the review is to provide the clients and intended users with an opinion of the credibility of the appraiser’s opinions of current fair market values of the appraised properties. The intended use of the review will be for utilization in the decision making process concerning the setting of a minimum bid price for the potential sale of the subject properties at public auction.

Present Owner: State of Montana

Property Interest Appraised: Fee Simple

Subject Properties, Acreages, Legal Descriptions & Locations:

Sale	Acres	Legal	Location
108	626	LOTS 1 - 4, E2W2, E2, Sec 7, T20N-R40E, Garfield County	20 Miles Northeast of Jordan
112	640	ALL, Sec 36, T19N-R39E, Garfield County	10 Miles East of Jordan
240	640	All, Sec 16, T19N-R36E, Garfield County	12 Miles Northwest of Jordan
123	640	All, Sec 36, T10N-R38E, Rosebud County	25 Miles North of Forsyth
124	640	All, Sec 16, T9N-R39E, Rosebud County	20 Miles North of Forsyth
125	640	All, Sec 36, T9N-R39E, Rosebud County	16 Miles North of Forsyth
545	640	All, Sec 36, T9N-R35E, Rosebud County	33 Miles Northwest of Forsyth
546	640	All, Sec 16, T9N-R36E, Rosebud County	30 Miles Northwest of Forsyth
547	640	All, Sec 36, T9N-R36E, Rosebud County	27 Miles Northwest of Forsyth
548	640	All, Sec 36, T10N-R39E, Rosebud County	22 Miles North of Forsyth
550	640	All, Sec 36, T10N-R44E, Rosebud County	18 Miles Northwest of Miles City
553	640	All, Sec 16, T8N-R44E, Rosebud County	20 Miles West of Miles City
561	320	N2, Sec 26, T12N-R32E, Rosebud County	12 Miles North of Sumatra

Present Use: Inholdings used for Native Grazing

Highest and Best Use: Investment with plottage value to existing holdings. (Remaining Grazing)

Dates: The effective date of the appraisal and review is May 20, 2009.

Purpose and Intended Use of the Appraisal: The purpose of the appraisal is to provide the clients and intended users with credible opinions of market values of the subject properties, intended for use in the decision making process concerning potential sale of said subject properties.

Appraiser’s Opinion of Values of Real Estate: The subject parcels do not have legal access, and those parcels were appraised both under the **hypothetical condition** as having legal access and in their actual “as is” condition without legal access. **USPAP defines hypothetical condition as: that which is contrary to what exists but is supposed for the purposes of analysis. Use of this hypothetical condition mentioned here and elsewhere in the report might have affected the assignment results.** Per the appraiser, no paired transactions of having and not having legal access were found that were directly comparable to the subject properties. However, two of the comparables sales did have legally restricted access which did provide an indication of values. Additionally the appraiser looked at some other generally paired sales of different types of properties for analysis and conclusions. The appraiser’s reported the following market values for the subject properties as of May 20, 2009:

Sale	Acres	Value With Access	Value without Access
108	626	\$84,500 (\$135 per acre)	\$50,700 (\$81 per acre)
112	640	\$86,400 (\$135 per acre)	\$51,800 (\$81 per acre)
240	640	\$94,100 (\$147 per acre)	\$56,500 (\$88 per acre)
123	640	\$96,000 (\$150 per acre)	\$57,600 (\$90 per acre)
124	640	\$96,000 (\$150 per acre)	\$57,600 (\$90 per acre)
125	640	\$96,000 (\$150 per acre)	\$57,600 (\$90 per acre)
545	640	\$112,600 (\$176 per acre)	\$67,600 (\$106 per acre)
546	640	\$112,600 (\$176 per acre)	\$67,600 (\$106 per acre)
547	640	\$112,600 (\$176 per acre)	\$67,600 (\$106 per acre)
548	640	\$89,600 (\$140 per acre)	\$53,800 (\$84 per acre)
550	640	\$92,800 (\$145 per acre)	\$55,700 (\$87 per acre)
553	640	\$92,800 (\$145 per acre)	\$55,700 (\$87 per acre)
561	320	\$50,900 (\$159 per acre)	\$30,500 (\$95 per acre)

Reviewer’s Comments: This reviewer finds the report appears credible and that it is acceptable. This review is not a stand alone document and is expressly interrelated to the appraisal report.

Reviewer’s Conclusion of Value: It is this reviewer’s opinion that the appraiser’s estimates of values for the separate parcels are appropriately supported.

APPRAISAL REVIEW REPORT

Client & Intended Users of Review:

The clients are the State of Montana, the Montana Board of Land Commissioners, and the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC). The intended users are the clients, the Real Estate Management Bureau of the DNRC Trust Land Management Division, and the Eastern Land Office.

Purpose and Intended Use of Review:

The purpose of the review is to provide the clients and intended users with an opinion of the credibility of the appraiser's opinions of current fair market values of the appraised properties. The intended use of the review will be for utilization in the decision making process concerning the setting of a minimum bid price for the potential sale of the subject property at public auction. An opinion of the quality of the appraisal report as well as this reviewer's opinion of the credibility of the values estimated will be reported. The appraisal was submitted by Andrew A.D. Rahn IV, Bozeman, Montana. This review report is not a stand alone document and is expressly interrelated to the appraisal report under review, which the reader may need to refer to for further detail or explanations.

Subject Property, Acres, Legal Description, Location & Owner of Record:

The subject properties consist of 13 separate, non-contiguous, unimproved parcels of native rangeland, spread across two counties in eastern Montana. Quality of the parcels varies. Terrain ranges from almost level to rolling with some steeper slopes.

Sale	Acres	Legal	Location
108	626	LOTS 1 - 4, E2W2, E2, Sec 7, T20N-R40E, Garfield County	20 Miles Northeast of Jordan
112	640	ALL, Sec 36, T19N-R39E, Garfield County	10 Miles East of Jordan
240	640	All, Sec 16, T19N-R36E, Garfield County	12 Miles Northwest of Jordan
123	640	All, Sec 36, T10N-R38E, Rosebud County	25 Miles North of Forsyth
124	640	All, Sec 16, T9N-R39E, Rosebud County	20 Miles North of Forsyth
125	640	All, Sec 36, T9N-R39E, Rosebud County	16 Miles North of Forsyth
545	640	All, Sec 36, T9N-R35E, Rosebud County	33 Miles Northwest of Forsyth
546	640	All, Sec 16, T9N-R36E, Rosebud County	30 Miles Northwest of Forsyth
547	640	All, Sec 36, T9N-R36E, Rosebud County	27 Miles Northwest of Forsyth
548	640	All, Sec 36, T10N-R39E, Rosebud County	22 Miles North of Forsyth
550	640	All, Sec 36, T10N-R44E, Rosebud County	18 Miles Northwest of Miles City
553	640	All, Sec 16, T8N-R44E, Rosebud County	20 Miles West of Miles City
561	320	N2, Sec 26, T12N-R32E, Rosebud County	12 Miles North of Sumatra

Additional information, photos and maps are contained on the appraisal report. The State of Montana has owned the properties for at least five years.

Date of Appraisal, Estate Appraised & Date of Review:

The effective date of the appraisal is May 20, 2009 and the date of the report is June 15, 2009. Property rights appraised are fee simple, using the **hypothetical condition** that no leases or licenses exist on the parcels. **USPAP defines hypothetical condition as: that which is contrary to what exists but is supposed for the purposes of analysis. Use of this hypothetical condition might have affected the assignment results.** The appraisal report goes provides more detail about the rights appraised and also the market value definition. The reader is referred to the report for more information. The effective date of the review is June 25, 2009, with the review report date being June 30, 2009.

Client and Intended Users and Purpose Of Appraisal Report:

The clients and intended users are the State of Montana, the Montana Board of Land Commissioners, and the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC). The purpose of the appraisal is to provide the clients and intended users with credible opinions of market values of the subject properties, intended for use in the decision making process concerning potential sale of said subject properties.

Appraiser’s Estimate of Value:

The appraiser used the Sales Comparison Approach to determine the values for the subject properties. The subject parcels do not have legal access, and those parcels were appraised both under the **hypothetical condition** as having legal access and in their actual “as is” condition without legal access. **USPAP defines hypothetical condition as: that which is contrary to what exists but is supposed for the purposes of analysis. Use of this hypothetical condition mentioned here and elsewhere in the report might have affected the assignment results.** Per the appraiser, no paired transactions of having and not having legal access were found that were directly comparable to the subject properties. However, two of the comparables sales did have legally restricted access which did provide an indication of values. Additionally the appraiser looked at some other generally paired sales of different types of properties for analysis and conclusions. The appraiser’s reported the following market values for the subject properties as of May 20, 2009:

Sale	Acres	Value With Access	Value without Access
108	626	\$84,500 (\$135 per acre)	\$50,700 (\$81 per acre)
112	640	\$86,400 (\$135 per acre)	\$51,800 (\$81 per acre)
240	640	\$94,100 (\$147 per acre)	\$56,500 (\$88 per acre)
123	640	\$96,000 (\$150 per acre)	\$57,600 (\$90 per acre)
124	640	\$96,000 (\$150 per acre)	\$57,600 (\$90 per acre)
125	640	\$96,000 (\$150 per acre)	\$57,600 (\$90 per acre)
545	640	\$112,600 (\$176 per acre)	\$67,600 (\$106 per acre)
546	640	\$112,600 (\$176 per acre)	\$67,600 (\$106 per acre)
547	640	\$112,600 (\$176 per acre)	\$67,600 (\$106 per acre)
548	640	\$89,600 (\$140 per acre)	\$53,800 (\$84 per acre)
550	640	\$92,800 (\$145 per acre)	\$55,700 (\$87 per acre)
553	640	\$92,800 (\$145 per acre)	\$55,700 (\$87 per acre)
561	320	\$50,900 (\$159 per acre)	\$30,500 (\$95 per acre)

Scope of Review:

The reviewer will be reviewing and making an opinion of the quality of the appraisal, along with providing an opinion of the credibility of the values reported. The reviewer's opinion of appraisal quality and opinion of the credibility of the values reported will be based mostly on the material submitted in the reports. Limited use will also be made of information on the cadastral system, (such as legal descriptions, maps, aerial photos and topography maps, etc...), and limited information on the subject properties in this reviewer's file. This reviewer did not perform a physical viewing of the subject properties. The comparable sales were not inspected and were only "viewed" through use of the appraisal report and cadastral system. No in-depth market research was done. The reviewer's valuation opinions are limited to an orientation prospective of the appraiser's opinions, i.e., "the appraiser's opinions of values appear high, low, appropriate, or unsupported", etc. In the development of this reviewer's opinion of the credibility of the values estimated, an **extraordinary assumption** has been made that the material about the subject property and on the comparable sales, along with other market information submitted in the appraiser's reports is credible. **USPAP defines Extraordinary Assumption as: an assumption, directly related to a specific assignment, which, if found to be false, could alter the appraiser's opinions or conclusions. Use of the extraordinary assumption mentioned here and elsewhere in the report might have affected the assignment results.** This review is not a stand alone document and is expressly interrelated to the appraisal report, which the reader may need to refer to for further detail.

Highest and Best Use:

The subject properties are currently used for grazing by the surrounding landowners. The appraiser determined the Highest and Best Use of the subject properties is as: Investment with plottage value to existing holdings. This reviewer concurs with the Highest and Best Use determinations made. The reader is referred to the appraisal report for the appraiser's analysis and conclusions.

Subject Property Data & Analysis Summary:

The appraiser appears to have provided sufficient information on and done an adequate analysis of, the subject properties, the neighborhoods and the market in general. To state again, in the development of this reviewer's opinion of the credibility of the values estimated, an **extraordinary assumption** has been made by this reviewer that this material is credible. This review is not a stand alone document and is expressly interrelated to the appraisal report.

Valuation Summary:

The appraiser considered both the Cost and Sales Comparison Approaches in his analysis, and determined the Sales Comparison Approach to be the most applicable guide to the values of the parcels, and this reviewer concurs. The appraiser appears to have done a thorough search of the subject's market area for comparable sales and market data, reviewed other market data, done a sufficient job in the descriptions and explanations, done a rational examination of the various elements involved with the various value approaches and done a reasonable analysis of the vacant sales information. The reader is referred to the actual appraisal report for the appraiser's descriptions, analysis and conclusions. Again, in the development of this reviewer's opinion of the credibility of the value estimated, this reviewer has made the **extraordinary assumption** that the elements in the value approaches, the comparable vacant land sales and other market information is credible.

Comments:

The reviewer did note and discuss with the appraiser three items. First, the appraiser reserved the leased fee and leasehold definitions; second, the acreage for Sale #125 is 640-acres not 636-acres as noted as usable acres on the grazing lease and this did affect the values slightly, with the corrected amounts shown in this review report, and; third, additional explanation of the land mix ratio procedure was supplied to this reviewer. However new report pages were not requested. A couple typographical errors were also noted. Based on review of this report and follow-up discussion with the appraiser, this reviewer finds the report appears credible and that it is acceptable given the intended use. The material appears complete, the data appears adequate and relevant, the adjustments appear proper, the appraisal methods and techniques appear appropriate, and the analysis, opinions and conclusions appear appropriate and generally reasonable. This review is not a stand alone document and is expressly interrelated to the appraisal report.

Conclusion of Value:

This reviewer did not replicate the steps completed by the original appraiser. This reviewer has concluded that the work under review is credible and in general compliance with the applicable development standards and has been extended to this reviewer’s value opinion development process on the basis of an **extraordinary assumption** by the reviewer. It is this reviewer’s opinion that the appraiser’s estimates of values for the separate parcels are appropriately supported. The appraiser’s reported opinions of market values for the subject properties as of May 20, 2009, are:

Sale	Acres	Value With Access	Value without Access
108	626	\$84,500 (\$135 per acre)	\$50,700 (\$81 per acre)
112	640	\$86,400 (\$135 per acre)	\$51,800 (\$81 per acre)
240	640	\$94,100 (\$147 per acre)	\$56,500 (\$88 per acre)
123	640	\$96,000 (\$150 per acre)	\$57,600 (\$90 per acre)
124	640	\$96,000 (\$150 per acre)	\$57,600 (\$90 per acre)
125	640	\$96,000 (\$150 per acre)	\$57,600 (\$90 per acre)
545	640	\$112,600 (\$176 per acre)	\$67,600 (\$106 per acre)
546	640	\$112,600 (\$176 per acre)	\$67,600 (\$106 per acre)
547	640	\$112,600 (\$176 per acre)	\$67,600 (\$106 per acre)
548	640	\$89,600 (\$140 per acre)	\$53,800 (\$84 per acre)
550	640	\$92,800 (\$145 per acre)	\$55,700 (\$87 per acre)
553	640	\$92,800 (\$145 per acre)	\$55,700 (\$87 per acre)
561	320	\$50,900 (\$159 per acre)	\$30,500 (\$95 per acre)

Dated: June 30, 2009

Thomas J. Konency, Appraiser
Montana Dept of Natural Resources & Conservation, Trust Land Mgt Div

ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

The certification of the appraiser appearing in the appraisal review report is subject to the following conditions and to such other specific and limiting conditions as set forth in the review report.

1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature affecting either the property being appraised or the title to it. Except for information that was provided or uncovered during the research involved in performing the appraisal review and ordinarily employed by real estate appraisers, no opinion is intended to be expressed for legal matters or that would require specialized knowledge or investigation. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable, (“free and clear”), and, therefore, will not render any opinions about the title. The property is appraised on the basis of it being under responsible ownership and/or competent management. Unless otherwise mentioned in this review report, the property is appraised as if owned in fee simple title without encumbrances.

2. The appraiser assumes that the legal descriptions furnished are correct and the appraiser has not surveyed the property. Acreage of land types and measurements of improvements are based on physical inspection of the property or information provided unless otherwise noted in the report. Sketches or drawings in this report are included to assist the reader in visualizing the property. They are not to be considered a legal survey or engineer’s plan of any kind. Any and all other sketches, drawings, maps, etc., are also provided for informational purposes only and are not for any legal reference. Access has been investigated only to the satisfaction of the appraiser. No assurance of legal access, or lack of, is expressed or implied as a legal opinion. The same is true of encroachment and trespass issues.

3. The appraiser has noted in the appraisal review report any adverse conditions, (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, depreciation, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, flood planes, etc), observed during the routine inspection of the subject property, and/or adjacent properties, or that was discovered during the normal research involved in performing the appraisal review. Unless otherwise stated in this report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent conditions of the property, and/or adjacent properties, or adverse environmental conditions, (including, but not limited to, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc), that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied, regarding the condition of the property. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, the appraisal review report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property. Concerns about soil conditions, actual condition of improvements or systems, or property conformity to zoning, building, fire, ADA, and other such applicable laws, regulations, rules and codes, should all be referred to the proper experts.

4. The appraiser is not an expert in minerals, mineral rights, timber, timber volumes, crops, farm programs or water requirements and rights. Unless otherwise noted, only surface rights will be appraised or reviewed with no value specifically allotted to the mineral rights or deposits. Timber values, if considered a part of the report, will rely on proper experts, as will farm programs. Typically, growing crops are not considered in the appraisal report. Usually it is assumed the water rights have been secured or perfected, with their value generally considered an inherent part of the land value, with any deviation from this to be included in the report. Rental and lease agreements, conservation plans, options and other situations may also require reliance on proper experts.

5. The appraiser has obtained information, opinions, estimates, surveys, plans, maps and information on regulations, restrictions and studies, etc., from various sources including the property owner, agent, or manager, as well as from real estate professionals, government agencies, appraisers and other sources. Unless otherwise noted, the sources are considered reliable and the information is complete and correct. However, the appraiser does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of such items that were furnished by other parties.

6. The appraiser assumes no responsibility or liability for future conditions, about which information was not supplied or readily available or was not public knowledge at the time the appraisal is made, nor for the effect of events, which might concern the value of the subject property subsequent to date of appraisal. Montana is a non-disclosure state and as such sales prices of real estate are not publicly recorded. Therefore, with few consolidated sources of sales information existing, and no obligation to release or verify information by many of the parties associated with the transactions, sales of comparable properties may not be known of by this appraiser, and absolute verification of the sales found may not be possible.

7. The scope of work has been disclosed in the report and is specific to the needs of the clients and intended users and the intended use. All extraordinary assumptions and hypothetical conditions, including, but not limited to, satisfactory completion and repairs or alterations, will be noted in the appraisal report. It is assumed there will be consistency with all the plans, estimates, specifications, planned work, projections, or requirements, initially provided. Deviation from those items may affect the value reported. Great effort has been taken to eliminate all error in identifying, developing and processing the report. However, if errors or omissions are found, they will have to be reviewed to see if they will affect the opinion of value reported.

8. The appraiser will not disclose the contents of this appraisal review report except as provided for in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, and/or applicable federal, state, or local laws, rules or regulations. The appraiser is not obligated to/by any unauthorized use of this report by third parties or the "extraction" of only parts of the report and attempting to apply those parts in any other process or to reach a conclusion.

9. It is assumed that there has not been any significant change, physical or otherwise, to the subject property between the inspection date and date the report is signed.

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: This review appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and review, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in the appraisal review report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.

2. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal review or any future or anticipated appraisal reviews was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or develop or present any analysis, opinions or conclusions supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum or maximum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific event or action, or the subsequent event directly related to the use of this appraisal review report.

3. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value in the development of my opinion of market value for the subject property. I have noted in the appraisal review report any adverse conditions, (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, depreciation, the presence of hazardous materials, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing the appraisal review. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value to the extent that I had market evidence to support them, and have commented about the effect of the conditions on the marketability of the subject property.

4. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from the appraisal review report that would have an impact on value and I believe, to the best of my knowledge, that all statements and information in the appraisal review report are true and correct. I have stated in this appraisal review report only my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the limiting conditions specified in this appraisal review report.

5. To the best of my knowledge, I have performed this appraisal review in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. I have personally analyzed and prepared all the conclusions and opinions about the real estate that are set forth in this appraisal review report. If I have relied on significant real property appraisal or review assistance from any individual(s) in the performance of the appraisal review or preparation of the appraisal review report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in the appraisal review report. I certify that any individual(s) so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in the appraisal review report; therefore, any change made to the appraisal review is unauthorized and I take no responsibility for it.

Dated: June 30, 2009

Thomas J. Konency, Appraiser
Montana Dept of Natural Resources & Conservation, Trust Land Mgt Div